## U.S. Reportedly Withheld Ecuador Aid on I.T.T. Plea

By MICHAEL C. JENSEN

lions of dollars in foreign aid had applied pressure in the to Ecuador in 1971 and 1972 Ecuador case, and that it had at the request of the Interna-caused a "bitter dispute" tional Telephone and Telegraph the Government. Corporation, according to the Aug. 11 issue of Business Week tions allow, and sometimes remagazine.

The pressure was exerted by I.T.T. to secure a more favorable settlement for its expropriated properties, the magapriated properties, the maga-zine said. I.T.T. denied applying pressure to the Govern

ment.

The big conglomerate came under attack for its Latin-American tactics last June when a Senate subcommittee said it had "overstepped the behavior" by seeking to enlist the help of the Central Intelligence Agency to influence a behavior" by seeking to enlist the help of the Central Intelligence Agency to influencea a Presidential election in Chile in 1970. 

It said I.T.T. had asked the State Department to invoke sanctions, including outting off foreign aid and loans, because

Government source

The United States Govern-Washington said yesterday in a ment allegedly withheld mil-telephone interview that I.T.T.

## Cutoff Allowed

quire, the United States Gov-

quire, the United States Government to cut off foreign aid or loans in the wake of expropriations of United Statesowned property.

Business Week said that I.T.T. in 1970 had demanded \$1.3-million for the seized properties of its subsidiary, All American Cables and Radio, "but negotiated down to about \$600,000 plus some valuable land."

It said I.T.T. had asked the

in Continued on Page 40, Column 3

## ECUADOR AID CUT IS LINKED TO I.

Continued From Page 37

\$25,000 stood between the com-

\$25,000 stood between the company and Ecuador.

"I.T.T. was determined to teach the Ecuadorians a lesson as a matter of principal," one Government official said. They were trying to teach all of Latein American a lesson.

"It was the Treasury that adopted the I.T.T. position uncritically. It demoralized many of the people at A.I.D. [Agency for International Development]."

A State Department spokesman, also reached by telephone yesterday, said the United States had stopped accepting applications from Ecuador for aid between August, 1970 and November, 1971. Both the A.I.D. and the Inter-American Development Bank were affected.

November, 1971. Both the A.I.D. and the Inter-American Development Bank were affected.

\$21-Million in Loans Withheld
The bank withheld loan approvals totaling more than 21-million, the spokesman said. He adde, however, that the action was taken for two reasons: the I.T.T. expropriation and seizures by Ecuador of United States tuna boats, and did not result from pressure.

Another Government official said the Ecuadorian situation was more successful for I.T.T. than its highly-publicized attempts to influence the Chilean

tempts to influence the Chilean

election.

"The leverage was greater in Ecuador," he said. "Ecuador was forced to reverse its policies."

cies."

Business Week quoted one of I.T.T.'s former Washington representatives, Jack Neal, "invoked the names of top to bend the bureaucrats to I.T.T.'s will."

An I.T.T. spokesman denied that there had been an impasse.

An I.T.T. spokesman denied that there had been an impasse over \$25,000 or any similar amount. "At issue was whether or not I.T.T. would receive any compensation at all for its expropriated property," he said. "During the course of the negotiations I.T.T. informed appropriate agencies of the U. S. Government of current developments. "Decisions on loans made by financing institutions, to which I.T.T. is not privy, were made by those institutions alone and were not made as a result of pressure or browbeating by I.T.T."

I.T.T. said it had placed the original value of its Ecuador properties at \$5-million and was currently negotiating a settlement

currently negotiating a settle-

ment.