## Ellsberg Profile: Higher...

A CIA psychlogical profile prepared for the White House "plumbers" in August, 1971, on Dr. Daniel Ellsberg concluded that he "seemed to be responding to what he deemed a higher order of patriotism" by giving the classified Pentagon Papers to the press.

The brief profile, printed in yesterday's New York Times and verified by The Washington Post, was rejected by the White House and declared "very superfi-cial" by former White House aide David Young.

The "plumbers," a White House group charged with investigating leaks to the news media, burglarized the office of Ellsberg's psychiatrist less than a month after the CIA profile had been rejected.

E. Howard Hunt Jr. and G. Gordon Liddy, who were later convicted in the Watergate bugging operation, were members of the "plumbers" in 1971-72 while on the White

House staff.

Hunt and Liddy both participated in the burglary of the office of Ellsberg's psychiatrist in September, 1971. The burglary was unsuccessful and did not produce any information on Ellsberg, according to testimony.

An Aug. 11, 1971, memo introduced in evidence at the Senate Watergate hearings last week showed that for-mer No. 2 White House aide John D. Ehrlichman approved "a covert operation . . . to examine all the medi-

cal files still held by Ells-berg's psychoanalyst covering the two-year period in which he was undergoing analysis."

In sworn, public testi-mony before the Senate committee, Ehrlichman denied that he was approving a burglary. When he later learned that the "covert operation" was a break-in, Ehrlichman testified, he warned the "plumbers" to never do it again.

charges Government against Ellsberg for his role in leaking the Pentagon Pa-pers were dismissed in May following disclosure of the burglary of his psychiatrist's office and a secret govern-ment-authorized wiretap on which Ellsberg had been overheard.

overneard.

The following is the text of the CIA profile:

This indirect personality

assessment is based primar-



Associated Press

Daniel Ellsberg and his wife, Patricia, at a June 28 press conference.

ily on background material and current impressions derived from press reports, including newspaper and magazine articles and television interviews. In addition, selected State Department and Federal Bureau of Investigation memoranda have been reviewed. As the data base is fragmentary and there has been no direct clinical evaluation of the subject, this indirect assessment should be considered highly speculative and in no way definitive.

There is nothing to suggest in the material reviewed that subject suffers from a serious mental disorder in the sense of being psychotic and out of touch with reality. There are suggestions, however, that some of his long - standing personality needs were intensi-fied by psychological pres-sures of the mid-life period and that this may have contributed significantly to his recent actions.

An extremely intelligent and talented individual, sub-

ject apparently early made his brilliance evident. It seems likely that there were substantial pressures to succeed and that subject early had instilled in him expectations of success that he absorbed the impression that he was special and destined for greatness. And indeed he did attain considerable academic success and seemed slated for a brilliant career.

There has been a notable zealous intensity about the subject throughout his career. Apparently finding it difficult to tolerate ambiguity and ambivalence, he was either strongly for some-thing or strongly against it. There were suggestions of problems in achieving full success, for although his ideas glittered, he had trou-ble committing himself in writing.

He had a knack for drawing attention to himself and at early ages had obtained positions of considerable distinction, usually attach-ing himself as a "bright young man" to an older and experienced man of considerable stature who was attracted by his brilliance and

But one can only sustain the role of "bright young man" so long. Most men between the ages of 35 and 45 go through a period of re-evaluation. Realizing that youth is at an end, that many of their golden dreams cannot be achieved, many men transiently drift into despair at this time.

In an attempt to escape from these feelings of despair and to regain a sense of competence and mastery, there is an increased trust towards new activity at this time. Thus this is a time of career changes, of extramarital affairs and divorce. It is a time when many men come to doubt their earlier commitments, and

earlier commitments and are impelled to strike out in new directions.

For the individual who is particularly driven towards the heights of success and prominence, this mid-life pe-

## Patriotism?

riod may be a particularly difficult time. The evidence reviewed suggests that this was so for Ellsberg, a man whose career had taken off like a rocket, but who found himself at mid-life not nearly having achieved the prominence and success he expected and desired.

Thus it may well have been an intensified need to achieve significance that impelled him to release the Pentagon Papers.

There is no suggestion that subject thought anything treasonous in his act. Rather, he seemed to be responding to what he deemed a higher order of patriotism. His exclusion of the three volumes of the papers concerned with the secret negotiations would support this.

Many of subject's own words would confirm the impression that he saw himself as having a special mission, and indeed as bearing a special responsibilty. On several occasions he castigated himself for not releasing the papers earlier, observing that since he first brought them to the attention of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, there had been "two invasions," more than 9,000 American lives lost, and hundreds of thousands of Vietnamese deaths.

deaths.

He also on several occasions had suggested quite strongly that his action will not only alter the shape of the Vietnam war, but will materially influence the conduct of our foreign policy and the relationship between the people and the government.

Ellsberg's reactions since

Ellsberg's reactions since emerging from seclusion have been instructive. Initially there was jubilation, an apparent enjoyment of the limelight. This was succeeded by a transient period wherein there was a sense of quiet satisfaction, of acceptance of his new-found stature, as if his personally significant actions had accomplished what he sought to achieve.

But then, embittered that Congress and the press had not wholeheartedly supported him, he turned against them. This is not surprising, for there would seem to be an instable quality to Ellsberg's strong need for success and recognition.