## 1973, By WARREN WEAVER JR. Special to The New York Times WASHINGTON, Aug. 2—The theory that President Nixon had the right to authorize "national security" wiretaps without a warrant, raised in behalf of John D. Ehrlichman in the Senate Watergate hearings, has been endorsed in a Federal District Court ruling here. Judge John H. Proff. Court Backs Ehrlichman Lawyer's View

had the right to authorize "national security" wiretaps without a warrant, raised in behalf of John D. Ehrlichman in the Senate Watergate hearings, has been endorsed in a Federal District Court ruling here.

Judge John H. Pratt held that the President's constitutional powers enabled him toorder electronic surveillance "relating to foreign affairs and deemed essential to protect this nation and its citizens against hostile acts of a foreign power" without getting court approval, as any other wiretapper must.

A week ago, John J. Wilson, Mr. Ehrlichman's counsel, told Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr., the committee chairman, that the President had the power to break the law if he determined that it was necessary to protect "national security."

Five days earlier, in a decimal for which Mr. Wilson was apparently unaware, Judge ful relations between the United within those permitted without a warrant by the omnibus Crime Control Act of 1968, the same authority cited by Mr. Wilson those permitted without a warrant by the omnibus Crime Control Act of 1968, the same authority cited by Mr. Wilson before the Ervin committee. The case arose when 16 members of the Defense League, including Rabbi Meir Kahane, sued Attorney General Mitchell for damages on the ground that the wiretaps on their head-quarters were unlawful because they had been installed without a court order. Judge Pratt dismissed their case, but an appeal is expected.

In his debate without a warrant by the omnibus Crime Control Act of 1968, the same authority cited by Mr. Wilson before the Ervin committee.

The case arose when 16 members of the Defense League, including Rabbi Meir Kahane, or of the Defense League, including Rabbi Meir Kahane, or of the Defense League authority cited by Mr. Wilson before the Ervin committee.

The case arose when 16 members of the Defense League authority cited by Mr. Wilson before the Ervin committee.

The case arose when 16 members of the owner of the contended that a unanimate of the members of the owner of the contended that a un