Inquiry on Nixon, 5 Others Is Confirmed by Coast Bar

Special to The New York Times

LOS ANGELES, Aug. 1 — The State Bar of California confirmed today that a preliminary investigation was initiated in May into the actions of President Nixon and five other lawyers in California who have testified or been the subject of comments before the Senate

of comments before the Senate Watergate committee.

Leonard S. Janofsky, president of the state bar, denied that formal proceedings had been begun, but said at a Los Angeles news conference this morning that the inquiry could lead to disciplinary action, including disbarment by the State Supreme Court.

The six lawyers named as

The six lawyers named as subjects of the investigation are Richard M. Nixon, John D. Ehrlichman, former assistant to the President for domestic matthe President for domestic matters; Herbert W. Kalmbach, Mr. Nixon's former personal attorney; Gordon C. Strachan, former aide to the resigned White House chief of staff, H. R. Haldeman; Robert C. Mardian, a former political consultant to the Committee for the Re-election of the President, and Donald H. Segretti, who allegedly directed efforts to sabotage the candidacies of Demo-cratic contenders in the 1972 Presidential election.

Disciplinary Measure

Disciplinary Measure

If formal proceedings are instituted and a recommendation is made for action by the State Supreme Court, disciplinary measures could include disbarment or suspension. On its own authority, the bar can issue a public or private reproval.

According to Mr. Janofsky, the state bar has a disciplinary duty to dispense when member lawyers commit "any act of moral turpitude, dishonesty, or corruption, whether a felony or misdemeanor has been committed or not."

The proceedings, if formally initiated, could last for many months. In less sensitive cases, the state bar has a disciplinary duty to dispense when member lawyers commit "any act of moral turpitude, dishonesty, or corruption, whether a felony or misdemeanor has been committed or not."

Brall, the disciplinary counsel for the state bar, requested inofrmation about the lawyers of violation of law or the legal profession's ethics.

Mr. Janofsky said that the only response he had received would be willing to cooperate, but that if any activities resulted in indictments and trials, it would not be possible too make any evidence available until "further down the line."

According to Mr. Janofsky, the state bar has been committed or not."

The proceedings are instituted and a recommendation is sel for the state bar, requested information about the lawyers of violation of law or the legal profession's ethics.

Mr. Janofsky said that the only response he had received would be willing to cooperate, but that if any activities resulted in indictments and trials, it would not be possible too make any evidence available until "further down the line."

According to Mr. Janofsky, the state bar has a disciplinary duty to dispense when member lawyers commit "any act of make any evidence available until "further down the line."

Watergate committee. Requests for cooperation have been made to prosecuting authorities, including Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr. of North Carolina, chairman of the Watergate committee; Archibald Cox, the special Government prosecutor in Washington; United States Attorney John Briggs in Florida duct by some lawyers." recommendation for discipline, has been made to the State Sn-preme Court. However, the state bar decided to waive the rule of consideration for discipline, has been made to the State Sn-preme Court. However, the state bar decided to waive the rule of consideration for discipline, has been made to the State Sn-preme Court. However, the state bar decided to waive the rule of consideration for discipline, has been made to the State Sn-preme Court. However, the state bar decided to waive the rule of consideration for discipline, has been made to the State Sn-preme Court. However, the state bar decided to waive the rule of consideration for discipline, has been made to the State Sn-preme Court. However, the state bar decided to waive the rule of consideration for discipline, has been made to the State Sn-preme Court. However, the state bar decided to waive the rule of consideration for discipline, has been made to the State Sn-preme Court. However, the state bar decided to waive the rule of consideration for discipline, has been made to the State Sn-preme Court. However, the state bar decided to waive the rule of consideration for discipline, has been made to the State Sn-preme Court.



Associated Press Leonard S. Janofsky, head of the State Bar of California, at news session in and Los Ángeles yesterday.

and District Attorney Joseph

Busch in Los Angeles.
In a letter of June 22 addressed to Senator Ervin, Helen,
J. Small, the disciplinary coun-

mitted or not."

The proceedings, if formally initiated, could last for many months. In less sensitive cases, the long procedure of a preliminary hearing, a formal trial and a recommendation to the court can take 15 to 24 months. According to Mr. Janofsky, one attorney on the investigative staff of the state bar is currently monitoring evidence being developed by the Senate Watergate committee. Requests for cooperation have been has been made to the State Sn-