

By WARREN WEAVER Jr.

Special to The New York Times WASHINGTON, Aug. 1 Hope for wresting the White House tapes from President Nixon shifted today from efforts by the Senate Watergate committee to the cour action started by the special prosecutor, Archibaid Cox. Attorneys for the committee,

headed by Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr., expressed increasing doubt that the courts would take jurisdiction of a challenge brought against the President by a unit of the legislative branch.

"We are in pretty bad shape," one committee lawyer said. "None of us has very much confidence that we can get the courts to accept jurisdiction."

Mr. Cox, on the other hand, Mr. Cox, on the other hand, has a court action under way, with the President's lawyers under order to make their de-fense public next Tuesday, and appeared today to enjoy at least three major legal advantages over any kind of lawsuit available to the Senate committee. committee

The special prosecutor does not have to meet the argument that the legislative branch has no right to executive branch has no right to executive branch documents or the related con-tention that the Senate com-mittee does not really need the tapes to draft bills that at-tempt to avert repetition of the Watergate offenses.

¶Conversely, Mr. Cox can buttress his demand for the White House records with the continuing requirements of a criminal *investigation by a grand jury, a cause that the courts have regularly seen fit to honor. The Cox

court action based on a simpler and more direct legal question than those raised by the Ervin committee, can almost certainly proceed through the courts more swiftly, probably reaching the Su-preme Court before the Jus-tices are scheduled to recon-vene on Oct. 1 and thus necessitating a special term. Suit Won't Be Limited

Senate sources reported that the committee's lawsuit against the committee's lawsuit against the President would nct be filed until Friday or Monday and would not be limited to the request for a declaratory judgment, or advisory opinion, that Senator Ervin had origi-nally proposed. Instead, the Watergate com-mittee's complaint will list a number of alternative legal ap-proaches under which the Sen-

proaches under which the Sen-ators may be able to challenge the President, giving the court a number of theories from which to choose.

a humon which to choose. So concerned had committee lawyers become over the ap-parent legal instability of their position that Samuel Dash, the chief counsel, telephoned Mr. chief counsel, telephoned Mr. Cox last week and asked if Senator Ervin and his col-leagues could join in the prose-cutor's case. Mr. Cox said no.

Experts Give Advice

Generally, the Cox staff and

Generally, the Cox staff and the Ervin staff have cooperated to the extent of providing each other with factual information on the various Watergate epi-sodes, but little attempt has been made to integrate their legal operations or combine forces in their common pursuit of the White House tapes. Senator Ervin has been con-cerned for some time about the legal problems involved in the committee's persuading the court s to hear its complaint against Mr. Nixon. He said on television last Sunday that it was "quite possible" the Su-preme Court would refuse to take jurisdiction of a suit by the committee.

the committee, Prof. Alexander, M. Bickel of the Yale Law School reported-ly advised the committee last week that no suit based on the President's efusal to honor the committee subprace with the committee's subpoena could initiated without Congres-

Almost no one believed, how-Almost no one believed, how-ever, that it would be political-ly possible to maneuver such a bill through a tired and nerv-ous Congress, scheduled to re-cess for a month at the end of this week, or to win the necessary approval of Presi-dent Nixon. One lawyer said. "The Court can duck a difference of opin: no between Congress and the President over their respective Some authorities believe that" Chief Judge John J. Sirica will be able to reach a decision:

dent Nixon. One of the committee's other outside legal experts, Prof. Philip B. Kurland of the Uni-versity of Chicago, di not share Mr. Bickel's concern that the jursidctional problem would be fatal to a Senate suit. Another relative strength of the prosecutor's legal case is the prevailing assumption that the courts could not re-fuse to rule on the ground that a political question was in-volved, a move that could be much more likely if the suit pitted Congress and the Presi-dent against each other. dent against each other.

"The Supreme Curt can't be initiated without Congres-sional approval of a new sta-tute specifically giving the Fed-eral District Court here en-forcement powers. evidence for its investigation,"

Chief Judge John J. Sirica with be able to reach a decision within three weeks source within three weeks some within three weeks some Mr. Cox's request for an order to compel Mr.Nixon to produce in the tapes and other documents it is regarded as doubtful, however, whether the special legal procedures for bipassing the United States Court of Appa peaks on the way to the State weeks

the United States Court of Apro-peals on the way to the Spri-preme Court will be invoked. Lawyers eager for a definitive decision in the Supeme Court, the only kind President ixon will reportedly honor, do not want to risk an adverse ruling based on failure to observe customary appeals processes.