THE NEW YORK TIMES, WEDNESDAY,

Haldeman Says He Knew of Key Watergate

DENIES HE KNEW OF LAW-BREAKING

Ex-Aide's Testimony Remains Vague as to Why Facts Were Not Disclosed

By WALTER RUGABER cial to The New York Time:

WASHINGTON, July 31 H. R. Haldeman testified today that he had learned a number of details about several of the more controversial aspects of the Watergate affair long before they became public.

In his second day of ques-tioning by the Senate Water-gate committee, Mr. Haldeman, the former chief of staff at the White House, stressed that he had not understood any of

he had not understood any of the events to have been illegal or improper. But he has said that he, President Nixon and John D. Ehrlichman, the President's for-mer domestic adviser, were eager during the campaign last year to disclose as many details of the Watergate affair as po-sible.

"The view of all three of us through the whole period was that the truth must be told, and quickly," Mr. Haldeman said in is opening statement yesterday, "although we did not know what the truth was."

Testimony Vague

Testimony Vague It remains somewhat vague so far in Mr. Haldeman's testi-mony as to why the facts avail-able to him were not made public. He has indicated, how-ever, that John W. Dean 3d, the former counsel to the Pres-ident, blocked the alleged im-pulse in some cases. "His concern, as I under-stood it, was that the case was complex, it involved rights of defendants and other legal complexities, the facts were not clear, and that nothing should be done publicly," Mr. Halde-said.

said.

said. But Mr. Haldeman, who was senior to Mr. Dean at the White House, has maintained in his Senate testimony and before that the facts suggested no law-breaking. And they were much clearer inside the White House than outside it. For example, it was not until

House than outside it. For example, it was not until last January that rumors began to circulate indicating that Nix-on campaign funds had been used to pay the seven men in-dicted in the Watergate con-spiracy, and it was not until months later that such pay-ments were established.

Testifies on Payments

Mr. Haldeman testified today that he had learned "sometime in the period shortly after the Watergate break-in" of pay-ments "for the legal fees and for family support of the de-fendants." This exchange with Samuel Dash, the chief counsel of the Committee, followed: Q. Did you ask why Mr. [John N.] Mitchell, who was heading up the campaign, and Mr. Dean, who was counsel to the President, Mr. Haldeman testified today

would be involved in raising funds to pay for legal fees, and families of burglars and wiretappers? A. No, I did not. This was

A. No, I did not. This Was incidental information that I received and dismissed. I did not pursue it in any way. Q. Well, did you consider that if that became public that it might be a matter of embarrassment to the cam-paign? A. No, J did not consider

paign? A. No, I did not consider that... had I thought that the Committee [for the Re-election of the President] was going to conduct its business in a way that would embar-rass the President, I would have reised that question.

rass the President, I would have raised that question. Q. Do you believe that spending political campaign funds to pay for the defense of criminal defendants could embarrass the President? A. I don't know.... it depends on the circumstances and situa-tion I think tion, I think. Q. What about these cir-

Q. What about these chr-cumstances and this situation involving the Watergate? A. I don't know that I can make a judgment on that. I again don't know clearly yet what the circumstances actu-ally are

to transfer his capabilities' from Senator Edmund S. Mus-kie of Maine to Senator George McGovern of South Dakota, who were then seeking the Democratic Presidential nomi-nation nation.

General Description

After Liddy and E. Howard Hunt Jr. were implicated in the Watergate conspiracy, their work at the White House dur-ing 1971 was described by the Administration in general terms. Hunt, for example, who worked there as a consultant, was said to have been con-cerned with declassification of the Pentagon papers and to have been working on interna-tional narcotics traffic prob-

make a judgment on utat. 1
again don't know clearly yet what the circumstances actually are.
The committee has heard testimony that more than \$400,000 in campaign funds was paid to the defendants.
Fragmentary Reports
It was not until last March the public began to receive fragmentary reports of two meetings in Mr. Mitchell's office at the Justice Department at which spying on the Democrats had been discussed.
Mr. Mitchell and others present have insisted that the proposals for wiretapping were turned down on both occasions. But they had been offered by G. Gordon Liddy, and Liddy was soon implicated in the Matter at weiterapping.
Mr. Haldeman said it was his "general recollection" that the general recollection may have after the second meeting on Feb. 4, 1972.
Mr. Haldeman also said he could not remember receiving a memorandum subsequently that included a report on a \$300,000 "sophisticated intelligence plan" at the President's re-election organization.
He also said he could nto recall ordering an aide, Gordon C. Strachan, to instruct Liddy



AUGUST 1, 197.