SFExaminer

## Lawyers Day

By C. P. McCarthy

shaky legal ground in his mittee investigating Waterbattle with the Senate com-President Nixon is

moved into the unprecedented position of taking the the Watergate committee tioned by The Examiner as nion of several local consti-President to court. lutional law experts ques-That was the majority opi-

one of the most dramatic in history. constitutional confrontations cordings - pits the president's rejection of commitdency against Congress in House records and tape retee subpenas for White The issue — the Presi-

expert thinks it is an unwardent losing. But at least one constitutional law experts in ject the whole matter. lieves the courts should reranted confrontation and bethe Bay Area see the Presi-It is a showdown some

have plenty of devices."

Fight It Out,

gress and the President."
If the court chooses not of individuals and not to act courts is to secure the rights University of California at Berkeley. "I think the major as umpire between the Coninvolved," said Professor unction of the federal constitutional Jesse Choper, who teaches "The courts should not be law at the

to decide," Choper said, vices, the respective parties respective parties' own de-"and just leaves it to the

Francisco law school

gaining with the President. nominations — to use in baring to approve presidential tain options — such as refus-He said Congress has cerhas received almost no attention by the courts," he what the President won't be

able to get away with."

"It's an area of law that

## Sen. Gravel Case

am by no means suggesting it," he said, "is to impeach "The ultimate option, and I And Congress, Choper noted, does hold the ace. the President."

by of A different view was taken Professor Peter Donnici

he

tell a Boston grand jury how

acquired the secret

When Gravel refused to

the Pentagon Papers. Mike Gravel of Alaska and said, but he noted similari-

ties with the case of Sen.

ruled that Gravel's Senate papers, the Supreme Court



Jesse Choper

Where Does Privilege Executive



make some law," he said. the court the opportunity to "In the future we'll know "This case is going to give matters other than immediate congressional busiimmunity did not extend to

## Same for President

what the President will be

able to get away with and

cover it. gal goings-on to get elected said. "If it's arguable that would apply toward execu-- the immunity would not dent's duties — such as illesome of these tapes and normally within the Presipapers concern activities not tive immunity," Donnici think the same rule

tivities, ized to commit criminal acdent's duties is he author-"Nowhere in the Presi-

shouldn't apply to that."

What may happen, says Donnici, is that some court, do fall under the protection and documents to see if they will have to review the tapes possibly in closed session,

to see is if the President court trying to decide." would turn them over to a of executive privilege. "What will be interesting

## See Nixon Defeat

other will win this battle. courts ruling against President Nixon. Cohn cautioned, fidence that one side or the anyone who says with conhowever, that he distrusts professor at Hastings College of the Law, see the and Jon Van Dyke, associate Cohn of Stanford University Both Professor William

cisions go, it is totally unpre-cedented," Cohn said. there has been no judicial can be confident where decision. As far as court de-"I don't know how anyone

some executive privilege," said Cohn, "the question is where it ends." Van Dyke sees the Nixon "If you recognize there is

argument as "totally re-orienting what the founding fathers had in mind.

seems to be twisting the he was given a four-year constitution on its head sibly as he chooses. That mandate to act as irrespon-"He seems to be saying