RLICHMAN CAL 1973 SECRECY JUSTIFIED

Ties 1971 Security Mission by Intelligence Unit to Acts to Hide Group's Existence

By JAMES M. NAUGHTON special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, July 26 John D. Erlichman said today that the special White House intelligence unit undertook in 1971 a mission so important to national security that it justi-fied President Nixon's efforts to hid the group's existence.

Mr. Ehrlichman, the former assistant to the President for domestic matters, did not dis-close the nature of the mission but told the Senate Watergate

Excerpts from the testimony will be found on Page 11.

committee this afternoon that it was not "inherently" related to the Watergate conspiracy.

He said that efforts by Government and Senate investigators to learn the truth about the Watergate case might have jeopardized the secrecy of the highly sensitive mission. G. Gordon Liddy and E. Howard Hunt, Jr., two of the Watergate conspirators, once worked for the special White House intelligence unit, called the "plumbers" because it sought to plug leaks of Government secrets.

Mr. Ehrlichman and his lawyer, John J. Wilson, told the Senate Select Committee on Presidential Campaign Activities that they were under specific White House orders not

Continued on Page 10, Column 1

1. 14

Continued From Page 1, Col. 7 disclose the nature of the to disclose th 1971 mission.

1971 mission. But Mr. Ehrlichman said that he would be willing to discuss it in a secret session of the committee if the White House approved, and Mr. Wilson of-fered to seek the approval if the Senators would guarantee that they would not disclose the information later. "Leaks out of your commit-

"Leaks out of your commit-tee have been colossal," Mr. Wilson declared.

Wilson declared. Frank A. Sturgis, another Watergate conspirator, was quoted in The New York Times earlier this year as saying that he worked under Hunt on a 1971 investigation of illicit drug traffic into the United States from Mexico, Paraguay and Panama and Panama.

and Panama. In addition, there were pub-lished reports last spring that the "plumbers" were suspected of engaging in illegal meas-ures, perhaps even an aborted assassination plan, aimed at Brig. Gen. Omar Torrijos Herrera, the military ruler of Panama.

Mr. Ehrlichman dia not refer Mr. Ehrlichman did not rerer to any of these reports today, but said that the matter was so "heavily weighed in favor of national security" that he was confident the Senators would agree with him if they learned the details.

learned the details. The injection of a note of mystery came in Mr. Ehrlich-man's third full day at the wit-ness table in the televised hear-ings into the burglary of the Democratic headquarters in the Watergate complex and its sub-sequent cover-up. Mr. Ehrlich-man is to return for a fourth appearance tomorrow. Mr. Ehrlichman testified that

Mr. Ehrlichman testified that he and Mr. Nixon were con-vinced last summer, on the basis of oral reports from John W. Dean 3d, the deposed White House legal counsel, that no one at the Executive Mansion had been involved in the Watergate break-in. He clashed at length with

several members of the panel whose questions evidenced deep skepticism about the witness's consistent denials of involve-ment in anything connected to a Watergate cover-up.

"It didn't dawn on me that there was a cover-up going on," Mr. Ehrlichman insisted late in the day.

SEG

たし

A

170

2

٢,

ってい

c

Ş

537720

+0

Strend of

٤ ser si

Senator Howard H. Baker Jr. of Tennessee, the Republican vice chairman of the investigatvice chairman of the investigat-ing committee, pressed Mr. Ehr-lichman for some explanation of the secret 1971 "plumbers" operation. He said that the issue was "terribly important" be-cause it bore on the President's conduct after the Watergate break-in on June 17, 1972.

'We Are Not Playing Games'

"I need to know whether or

"I need to know whether or not we're playing games," Senator Baker told the witness. "We are not playing games," Mr. Ehrlichman replied. How, the Senator pressed on, could the committee be certain? He said that the matter "won't float" on Mr. Ehrlichman's as-surances alone, and that the panel seemed to have been put in "an untenable position" by the White House restrictions on discussion of the "plumbers" mission.

discussion of the "plumbers" mission. Mr. Wilson said that he did not even know himself what the substance of the matter was, but that he would seek White House permission for Mr. Ehrlichman's secret testimony on it—or, alternatively, for a briefing by someone else—if the close meeting was limited to the Senators and they guaranteed that the informa-tion would "go no farther." Senator Baker said that he would have to consider that option. JUNIN STAC

CARR -SL 12×2

would have to consider that option. At one point, Senator Baker asked Mr. Erlichman if the 1971 mission was so important to national security that Mr. Ehrl-ichman would "bite the bullet" and "take all the punishment" dealt by the Senate committee to witnesses from the upper echelons of the White House or the Committee for the Re-election of the President. "In my opinion, it's that im-portant," Mr. Ehrlichman an-swered somberly. Earlier in the day, the com-mittee dealt a certain amount of punishment to Mr. Ehrlich-man in the form of biting, sar-donic and occasionally hostile interrogation. The witness, whose demeanor the two previ-ous days ranged from assertive to belligerent was generally complaint today, although he got off an acid retort to Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr., the North Carolina Democrat who is the committee chairman. committee chairman.

Chided Over Hoover

Senator Ervin assailed Mr. Senator Ervin assailed Mr. Ehrlichman for suggesting yes-ought to have dismissed the late J. Edgar Hoover as direc-tor of the Federal Bureau of Investigation and for saying that the White House "plumb-ers" unit had been established because of an unresponsive at-titude on Mr. Hoover's part during the Pentagon papers in-vestigation.

during the Pentagon papers in-vestigation. The Senator suggested that, to the contrary, the White House sought to curtail the F.B.I. investigation of the Watergate case last year, dur-ing the Presidential campaign, and that it was difficult for him to accept Mr. Ehlrichman's assertions that the President was seeking a vigorous Water-gate inquiry. "I am reminded of the par-

able of the good Samaritan," Senator Ervin said. He recounted the biblical story of the priests and Levites who disregarded the traveler to Jericho who had been set upon by thieves, and added: "The evidence in this case tends to show thus far that the people in charge of the committee to Re-rlect the Presi-dent, the people in charge of the Finance Committee to Re-elect the President and the white House aides, like the priests and Levites, walked by on the other side and pretended that this thing did not occur." Mr. Ehrlichman asked for permission ot respond. Mr. Wil-son asked him, in abarely au-dible voice, "Are you going to use a parable."

Reports to President

Reports to President "I read the Bible but I do not quote it," Mr. Ehrlichman replied loudly, in an apparent rejoinder to the committee chairman's frequent invoca-tion of Scripture. He went on to say that the President had been assured, both by Mr. Dean and by for-mer Atorney General Richard G. Kleindienst, that the "most since the assassination of Presi-dent Kennedy had concluded that the seven original Water-gate defendants "were, in fact, the only ones involved' in the break-in. break-in.

Late in the day, Mr. Ehrlich-Late in the day, Mr. Ehrlich-man gave Senator Edward J. Gurney, Republican of Florida, the most detailed explanation to date of the background of Mr. Nixon's declaration last Aug. 29 that no one in the White House had been involved in the planning or execution of

Adg. 25 that no one in the White House had been involved in the planning or execution of the Watergate burglary. The witness said that, after receiving such assurances from Mr. Kleindienst on July 31, and in the belief that "I had the straight word," he told Mr. Nixon of the Justice Depart-ment's report. He said that it was his feel-ing then that Watergate was "a political liability that ought to be shed" and that, in the political campaign, Mr. Nixon could "take the shock" of the disclosure that officials of his re-election committee had been involved.

re-election committee had been involved. At a meeting last August, Mr. Ehrlichman went on, he urged that the campaign com-mittee "lay out the whole story." But he said that the suggestion had been rejected by the meeting participants who the meeting participants, who included former Attorney Gen-eral John N. Mitchell; Charles W. Colson, a former special

White House counsel; Clark White House counsel; Clark MacGregor, then the campaign director, and Bryce N. Harlow, a former White House official. Later that month, he said, after the President was nomi-nated for a second term at the Penublican National Conven-

self-assurance. When Ser Weicker Senator

When Senator Weicker witness about a 1971 memoran-dum describing White House efforts to arrange a Congres-sional investigation into the witness of the Pentagon papers,

stolar investigation into the leak of the Pentagon papers, Mr. Ehrlichman said, "I'm not your best witness on that." So far as the investigation was concerned, Mr. Ehrlichman added moments later, "I am not your man." The Senator pageing over his

The Senator, peering over his metal-rimmed glasses, declared bluntly, "You are my man. You are a good witness." He proceeded with a long list of cumbative questions and,

list of cumbative questions and, at length, challenged Mr. Ehrlichman's earlier testimony about the White Hous dis-covery last April 15 that L. Patrick Gray 3d, then acting director of the F.B.I. had de-stroyed documents taken from the White House safe of Hunt, the Watergate conspirator. "Was any action taken by the President?" the Senator asked.

the President: the Senator asked. Mr. Ehrlichman responded that Mr. Nixon asked for a Justice Department investiga-tion the same day "so that he woud know how to take the next step."

Public Disclosure

But the Senator said that Mr. Gray remained at his post until he was forced to resign 13 days later by the public dis-closure of the destruction of the papers. Then the Senator told Mr. Ehrichman and the television audience that it had been the Senator who had leaked the information to the news media.

leaked the information to the news media. In a long soliloquy tinged with emotion, Mr. Weicker said that Mr. Gray, who also is from Connecticut, was a close friend, but that when Mr. Gray disclosed the same information to him on April 25, or 10 days after the President learned of it. he felt compelled to make it, he felt compelled to make sure "that the story was laid

nated for a second term at the Republican National Conven-tion, he made the same sug-gestion to Mr. Nixon. He said that the President had asked by the suggestion that Mr. Nix-him how sure he was no one in the White House had been involved and that he had given Mr. Nixon the judgment that he was certain. On the basis of that infor-mation, Mr. Ehrlichman said, the President made the Aug. 29 statement that has sine haunt-ed the White House. **'I Am Not Your Man'** In wide-ranging and conten-tious questioning this morn-

"I Am Not Your Man" In wide-ranging and conten-tious questioning this morn-ing, Senators Lowell P. Weicker Jr., Republican of Con-necticut, and Joseph M. Monto-ya, Democrat of New Mexico, the former Presidential aide abandoned Mr. Gray's nomination to visible holes in the former White House aide's mantle of self-assurance. ate. Had Mr. Ehrlichman not said,