Watergate Inquiry Raising Issue Of How Publicity Affects Trials

14

By WARREN WEAVER Jr. specia to The New York Times WASHINGTON, July 22—Has WASHINGTON, July 22—Has former Attorney General John Mitchell Stans argument by the right to a fair trial on con-spiracy charges because the Ultamatery another request for a dismis-tize not only of Mr. Mitchell the constitutional issue all the and a co-defendant former Séc. Also at stake, at longer range, is the issue of whether Congress can continue to com-state witnesses and face prange, is the issue of whether Congress can continue to com-in which must stime to the defendants due process of the defendants due proses before the mand ato at stake, at longer in which must stime to the defendants due process of trial has created an atmosphere in which must stime to the defendants due process of trial as termsping to many the threat aprocedures trial the threat aprocedures trial as termsping to in which must stime to the stime to the stime to the stime range, is the issue of whether congress can continue to com-in which as created an atmosphere in which must stime to the defendants due process of in which must stimes stime to the defendants due process of in which stimes stimes at House hearings to correst can continue to com-in which stimes stimes at thouse hearings to correst can continue to com-in which stimes stimes at thouse hearings to correst can continue to com-in which stimes the trial backs charged with was impossible and thus denied that the very men whose wore stadauting prood yother was stimps white far trial judgment was stimps white must reations for the current was stimps white far trial was the stimes was impossible and thus denied that received extensive news trial of Billy Sol Estes, the fringed on his right to a fair trial of Billy Sol Estes, the tr

communications system gener-ates, or, alternatively, to im-pose curbs on that system.

Underlying Problem

The underlying problem is the The underlying problem is the difficulty of reconciling the First Amendment's guarantee of freedom of the press, as it applies to coverage of crime, and the Sixth Amendment's guarantee of speedy trial by an impartial jury in the lo-cality where the crime was

and the Sixth Amendment's guarantee of speedy trial by an impartial jury in the locality where the crime was committed. When the press freedom permits national telecasting of hearings in which interrogating of sendors are not bound by any fules of evidence or limits on prejudicial questioning, critics an impartial jury to try one of the hearing witnesses or some one he accused becomes far more difficult than it ordinarily would be. Available Procedures The available and the regarded as influential and the accused becomes far more difficult than it ordinarily would be. ily would be. Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Stans,

sive prejudicial publicity. Writing for the eight-justice majority, Associate Justice Tom C. Clark referred to the "carni-val atmosphere" at the trial. Attorney for Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Stans based their motion for dismissal in part on "the carnival atmosphere of Water-gate precipitated as it has been by the Senate hearings and the grand jury leaks." "Strong Measures"

trial.
Federal rules of practice prohibit any televising or radio broadcast of trial proceedings, so the Estes case would not be directly applicable to any Watergate defendants, but the effect of televised pretrial hearings on potential jurors could be regarded as influential.
The leading case, decided in the term of term of term of term of the term of term

some of the junctar pro-cedures available include, as Justice Clark indicated, trans-ferring the case to a court out-side the area of immediate pub-liaity restroning trial until

Illy would be. Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Stans, relying on this argument, have asked a Federal district judge in New York to dismiss the case against them, which in-volves an alleged conspiracy to obtain a \$200,000 campaign contribution from Robert L. Vesco, a financier then under Government investigation. If the judge will not dismiss the charges, the two former Cabinet officers have said, he should at least delay the trial indefinitely, presumably until the Watergate publicity has sub-sided, and move it to a court outside New York. The question, as it affects The question, as it affects The former Nixon aides, should be decided initially in a matter

THE NEW YORK TIMES, MONDAY, JULY 23, 1973



| United Press International | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Sepator Sam I Erwin Ir rid | th, enjoying a laugh after being asked to autograph a book titled "The Watergate Report" |
| | |
| by a re | llow Democrat, Jeff Wells of Fuguay Springs, N.C. The book was blank. |
| | |
| defense attorneys or, if need | Nixon's four appointees, would General of Ohio, has told er Court has not demonstrated |
| | not be as solicitous of a crimin- friends he believes the dedica- in its decisions on obscenity |
| tors. | al defendant, whether convicted tion of Chief Justice Warren E. or newsmen's privilege of con- |
| | or indicted, as the Warren Burger and his colleagues to fidentiality, to list two, any |
| Difference in High Court | Court was of Dr. Sheppard. strong law enforcement would inclination to expand the boun- |
| Some attorneys believe that | Senator William B. Saxbe, tend to moderate the Court's daries of the First Amendment |
| the present Supreme Court | Republican of Ohio, who de past distate for prejudicial when freedom of the press tends |
| heavily influenced if not al | fended the Shepard conviction pretrial publicity. to conflict with other constitu- |
| ways dominated by President | before the Court as Attorney On the other hand, the Burg- tional rights. |
| mays adminated by ficsiden | Berere are court as recorded i on the other hand, are barg tabliar elanes |