Kenneth Crawford

Watergate-Watching In Small-Town America

From Washington, the thumb of Michigan—a principally rural and small-town area jutting into Lake Hu-ron—seems remote. From the thumb of Michigan, Washington—the center of American government—seems equally remote. Yet the residents of both areas see the same national tele-vision programs, read the same syndivision programs, read the same syndi-cated newspaper columns and sub-

scribe to some of the same periodicals. Considering that they share their primary sources of current informa-tion, the difference in their reactions to the Watergate affair and related scandals is remarkable. This difference cannot be accounted for, though it of-ten is, by the assumption that Wash-ington's political sophistication is un-matched in the hinterland. Politics and its practitioners are as well understood in county seats as in the federal seat-maybe better.

It is my impression after six weeks in Harbor Beach, Mich., that most of

The collateral fact that there are no pre-conditioned Nixon-haters among Republicans and not many even among Democrats in the district is more im-portant. Most of my Michigan neigh-bors either never heard of Alger Hiss or Helen Gahagan Douglas or have long since forgotten such characters in the Nixon saga. To them, Mr. Nixon is still the clearly preferable alternative to Sen. George McGovern. Another, even more important fact The collateral fact that there are no

Another, even more important fact, is that the people of the Eighth Dis-trict are doing very well. Unemploy-ment is low. Employment at good wages is high. Even with prices where

7-17-73 WPOST



The dock at Harbor Beach: "Politics are as well understood in county seats as in the federal seat—maybe better."

its inhabitants think, as do most Washingtonians, that President Nixon, while he may not have had prior knowledge of the Watergate burglary and other dirty' tricks of the 1972 campaign, knew about and may have participated in the cover-up. But it is taken for granted in this town, to a degree that it is not in Washington, that President Niron will finish cut his or Nixon will finish out his second term, still in command of the nation's destinies.

I am not surprised that Gallup finds 71 per cent of Americans unconvinced that Mr. Nixon is wholly innocent of guilty knowledge, if not guilty con-duct, in the Watergate scandal and nevertheless that only 18 per cent think he should resign or be subjected to impeachment. If I judge the atti-tude of The Thumb anywhere near right, this Gallup outcome reflects it. In this, the thumb represents the norm and Washington the eccentric. I am not surprised that Gallup finds

and Washington the eccentric. The Eighth Congressional District of Michigan, which embraces The Thumb, is traditionally Republican. It gave Mr. Nixon an overwhelming majority in 1972 and returned its Republican Congressman, James Harvey, an almost two-to-one vote. But this is not the whole explanation for its tolerance of President Nixon, guilty or not.

they are, there is very little grumbling in the supermarkets, probably because the farmers are sharing in the take. The gasoline shortage is one of several irritants. But irritants are tolerable when there is money in the pocket. The state of the dollar and the stock market are not primary concerns when the fishing is good in Lake Huron. In-terest rates are, however. terest rates are, however. There is no disposition in my Michi-

gan neighborhood, as there seems to be in Washington, to regard the suc-cession of Watergate witnesses before the Ervin committee as a parade of scoundrels. To the contrary, television has given some of them matinee-idol has given some of them matinee-idol status. John Dean was a hit, I was told, at the beauty parlor. He reminded some of the older ladies of the late Leslie Howard—clean-cut, regular-fea-tured, soft-spoken, the kind of fellow a woman would want her son to be or a girl her beau. (The hearings were win-ning some of the audience away from

the soap operas, even when rotation of coverage by the networks permitted a choice.)

Almost all the witnesses up to John Almost all the witnesses up to John Mitchell (his time came after I had re-turned to Washington) made good im-pressions. Their stories, however con-tradictory of each other; were individu-ally plausible. To suggest that Dean's conscience didn't quicken until he was constituent for a way out

conscience didn't quicken until he was caught and on the hunt for a way out at the expense of former associates was to start an argument. Hugh Sloan, Jeb Stuart Magruder and even James McCord won sympathy. There is something hypnotic about that electronic box. It used to be said that it was so revealing of character that it would automatically sort the good from the bad politicians. Now it seems more likely that almost anyone of decent appearance, having a consist-ent story to tell and sufficient expo-sure, can be a TV hero. Members of the Ervin committee have struck a public relations bonanza. The chairman, himself, however favorably known to his colleagues and to his con-

known to his colleagues and to his con-stituents, was unknown nationally un-til now. Almost overnight, he has become the country's symbol of wit and wisdom, integrity and perseverance. Co-chairman Howard Baker comes out

Co-chairman Howard Baker comes out of the tube so personably that he in-spires presidential talk. The country has discovered Herman Talmadge. It must be said that the committee has earned its good image. Unlike some previous senatorial investigating panels, it has not cast-itself as the heavy by bullying witnesses and in-dulging in temper tantrums. It has pursued its serious purpose courte-ously and with becoming restraint. Any of its members and even some of its witnesses would do well running for office in The Thumb of Michigan though not, probably against Jim Har-vey.