Tapings in Johnson Era Both Alleged and Denied

By R. W. APPLE Jr.

Special to The New York Times

The White House confirmed President." today that nearly all of Presi-

Ervin Jr., chairman of the Senate Watergate investigating committee, J. Fred Buzhardt, a special Presidential counsel, asserted that "the special Presidential Counsel, asserted the spe asserted that "the system, the Buzhardt letter, the White to that employed by the last House made almost no informa-Administration and which had been discontinued" from 1969 Question o until early 1971.

"a damned

Continued From Page 1, Col. 6

whether it would be made

public.

The crucial question left unanswered was whether the tape recordings of conversations the President had with alleged participants in the Watergate affair would be turned over to the committee. Mr. Warren repeatedly refused to say whether the tapes fell within the category of materials that the President refused on May 7 to make available to the committee's investigators.

Mr. Warren also left unanswered such other questions as these: Where are the tapes now? Have any been transcribed? If so, what have the transcripts been used for? Are such transcripts to be used recordings of conversations the

Are such transcripts to be used as the basis for Mr. Nixon's defense, as Mr. Butterfield suggested?

The President's action in requesting the recording was apparently not illegal.
Section 2511 of Title 18,
United States Code, provides that "it shall not be unlawful for a present of the state of the s for a person not acting under color of law to intercept a wire or oral communication where or oral communication where such person is a party to the communication or where one of the parties to the communication has given prior consent."

However, if someone other than the President or one of his aides who knew of the recordings used the Presidential telephone and was recorded, that would be illegal. Such an occurence would probably be infrequent.

Must Use Beeps

Under telephone company regulations, conversations that are being recorded must be interrupted by a periodic beep to let the person at the other end of the line know of it. This was not done at the White Hause White House.

White House.

"Normally if we discovered such a violation," a spokesman for the Chespeake and Potomac Telephone Company here said, "We'd notify the customer and ask him to stop doing it. I suppose if they continued the recording we could remove their phones. But I

WASHINGTON, July 16 - smear, a total smear on a dead

A spokesman for the Secret dent Nixon's meetings and tele- Service also contradicted the phone conversations had been assertion. He said the agency secretly recorded since 1971. had installed hidden recording In a letter to Senator Sam J. equipment at Mr. Nixon's re-

Question of Transmittal

Gerald L. Warren, the depu-That assertion was immedi- ty White House press secreately challenged by two of Pres- tary, said that Mr. Nixon, who ident Johnson's closest advisers. is recovering from a siege of One, Joseph A. Califano Jr., viral pneumonia at Bethesda now a Washington lawyer, described the Buzhardt statement of the Buzhardt letter. He also outrageous reported that the White House had been informed that Alexander P. Butterfield, a former White House aide, planned to disclose the recording plan to the Senate committee.

Mr. Buzhardt's letter promised a fuller statement "shortly," but Mr. Warren said he did not know when it would be sent to Senator Ervin or

Continued on Page 26, Column 4



Associated Press Fred Buzhardt confirmed tapes were made.

don't know what we would do

about the White House."

John Murray, the Secret Service Spokesman, said the tapes were stored somewhere in the White House, under safety of the Cabinet Room meetings mentioned by Mr. Califano.

Mr. Buzhardt's letter, dated July 16, which was delivered by hand to Senator Ervin this afternoon, read as follows: Secret Service supervision but in the "custody" of the Administration.

istration.

He confirmed Mr. Butterfield's testimony that the listening devices were placed in the White House, the Executive Office Building and the Presidential retreat at Camp David, Md. Asked whether there were others as well, he declined comment. He also declined to estimate how much the installation mate how much the installation

Mr. Califano, who was President Johnson's chief domestic adviser, said in a telephone in-terview that he recalled Mr. Johnson asking his secretary to make occasional shorthand notes of conversations while listening on an extension.

He also said that during several meetings in the Cabinet Rom in 1968, which dealt largely with Vietnam, the discussions were recorded. But it was done through microphones placed on the tables in full

view of al the participants. "There was absolutely no secret wiring of the place," Mr. Califano declared.

Representative James R. Jones, Democrat of Oklahoma, Representative who was the third man to hold who was the third man to hold under Mr. Johnson the same job that H.R. Haldeman held under Mr. Nixon, agreed. The caly recording equipment he knew of, he said, was on a telephone at the LBJ Ranch in Texas, and it was used exclusively to take down complicated messages for relay to the president. president.

Neither Marvin Watson nor Jack Valenti, Mr. Jones's predecessors in that position, could be reached for comment.

Transcripts Stored

The General Service Admin-The General Service Administration, which has jurisdiction over the Johnson Library in Austin, Tex., said that its archives contained "a section of materials" dealing with telephone conversations transcribed manually by a secretary or on Dictaphone belts, as well as transcripts of the Cabinet Room meetings mentioned by

afternoon, read as follows:

TEXT OF LETTER

Dear Mr. Chairman:
This leter is to confirm the afct, stated to your committee today by Mr. Alexander Butterfield, that the President's meetings and conversations in the White House have been recorded since the have been recorded since the spring of 1971.

I am advised that this system, which is still in use, is similar to that employed by the last Administration and which had been discontinued from 1969 until the spring of

A more detailed statement concerning these procedures will be furnished to the committee shortly.

Sincerely,

J. Fred Buzhardt.