Lawyers Examine Ethics In the Light of Watergate

NYTimes

By LESLEY OELSNER JUL 1 3 1973

The incoming president of the try, that he, too, participated in American Bar Association the Watergate cover-up. pledged yesterday a "major thrust" in the coming year toward improving the way in affair has pointed up how poorwhich the nation's lawyers are ly the legal profession has been regulated and disciplined.

The Watergate scandal, said disciplining itself.

"It's clear to me we haven't

ter lawyer has either admitted done enough." to or been accused of Water- The association will set up a ment reached a peak this week entire membership at the anwith the admission of John N. nual meeting this August, Mitchell, formerly the highestranking attorney in the coun- Continued on Page 13, Column 4

Chesterfield Smith, the bar of-done a good job up to now of ficial, shows that "we need to handling it," Mr. Smith said in do something about it." o something about it." a telephone interview from his In recent months lawyer af-Florida law office. "We haven't

gate crimes ranging from jer-special permanent unit devoted jury to burglary to destruction to lawyer-discipline some time of evidence. The spectacle has in the coming year, Mr. Smith jolted and embarrassed many lawyers, and the embarrass- to be formally proposed to the

Continued From Page 1, Col. 5 a professor of constitutional law at New York University, and the professor and have started loss and his week. "But isn't it will hold seminars, collect data, and generally assist the disciplinary units of various started the professor." The planning for the center started before the Waterset?" he said, the seminary systems and have started loss of the planning for the center started before the Waterset?" he said, the said this week. "But isn't it was provided that the believed that the believed that the believed that the believed that the started all has revealed.

For Watergate has raised anew the old questions of just show lawyers should be real-alted. It has also raised the relatively new question of which were doing a handful of lawyers making lawyers of the profession; that nonlawyers of the courts." But has handful of lawyers making lawyers of the profession; that nonlawyers but from "all the problem of lawyers making lawyers but from "all the problem of lawyers making lawyers but from "all the profession," that nonlawyers but from "all the profession," that nonlawyers and profession that provided with the startes high content of the profession, that nonlaws but the profession of participate.

At the moment, two laymen being the profession, the nonlawyers but from "all the professions of its profession," the profession of the profession, the nonlawyers and profession that profession the profession of the profession that profession the profession of the profession that profession that profession the profession that profession the profession that profession that profession the pro

cific canon.

"The assumption of the present system is that the lawyers of the A.B.A. committee that are the appropriate group to is supposed to oversee the intell the community who can plementation of the Clark recpractice law, Norman Dorsen, ommendations, said this week law school unless the school has been "an increasingly divi-

sive and bitter issue" since the McCarthy era.

The rule at the moment is that "views and beliefs are immune" from questioning. The court has always insisted, though, that states do have a right to devise procedures designed to ensure that only those people of good "character" are admitted to the bar.

Once the student is admitted to practice, things are a bit easier. John G. Bonomi, counsel to the committee that processes complaints about lawyers in Manhattan and the Bronx, explained that lawyers are generally thought to have a type of "property right" after being admitted to their pffession. sion.

The Supreme Court, in one landmark case; held that a lawyer could not be disbarred simply because he pleaded his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination during an inquiry by a grievance commit-tee. In another, it said that disbarment proceedings are penal in nature and thus the at-torney is entitled to such due process rights as fair notice.

Mr. Bonomi, rated by Mur-y Teigh Bloom in his book "The Trouble With Lawyers," as one of the country's most effective grievance officials, effective grievance officials, says that the Supreme Court rulings present some difficul-ties for grievance committees.

In another area, he noted that there is no procedure in which one state notities other states that it has disbarred someone. Also grievance proceedings are secret. The community thus has no idea which lawvers are suspect. lawyers are suspect.