WXPost. Vesco Case Indictment **Challenged by Mitchell**

NEW YORK, July 9 (AP)— Former Atty. Gen. John N. Mitchell claimed today that the government improperly in-terjected the Watergate issue grand jury that in-Stans was his chief fund Taiser. 800 contribution to President Nixon's re-election campaign. He asked that the charges be dropped. "It presumptively leveraged

the grand jury toward indict-ment," defense lawyers charged in a pretrial hearing. They were joined in their motion for dismissal by attorneys for another defendant, former Commerce Secretary Maurice H. Stans.

Mitchell was in Washington, preparing for an appearance Tuesday before a Senate committee investigating the bug-ging and burglary last year of Democratic National Head-quarters in the Watergate Of-fice Building there.

His lawyers argued in federal court here that his May 10 indictment in the campaign the Washington hearings by "prejudicing "Mr. Mitchell's ability to properly defend him-self with regard to Water-gate."

forced to disclose any "electronic surveillance" it may have used against him in the New Youk case.

Mitchell and Stans are acthe \$200,000 campaign contrib-ution from financier Robert L. Vesco, in return for exerting influence in his babelf during Cohinet methods. influence in his behalf during a Securities and Exchange Commission inquiry into his affairs

They also are accused of trythey also are accused by try-ing to cover up the contrib-ution during an SEC hearing that eventually led to a civil suit against Vesco. The money ait against Vesco. The money inite postponement, because ventually was returned to of pretrial publicity. Gagliardi set no date for Other defendants in the ruling on the motions. eventually was returned

raiser. In court papers, Mitchell's attorneys said he was asked before the grand jury last March 20 whether he had ever met with E. Howard Hunt Jr., or Donald Segretti in April 1972, at the Waldorf-Astoria Hotel. "You must be kidding," Mitchell was quoted in reply.

"You must be kidding," Mitchell was quoted in reply. Mitchell was quoted in reply. "I certainly did not. I testified previously I've never met ei-ther one of them in my life." "E. Howard Hunt, of course, was well known to this grand

jury as one of the convicted Watergate burglars," the de-fense argued in the motion for dismissal. "Donald Segretti was gaining equivalent fame as the alleged chief political saboteur of the 1972 Republi-

can campaign. "For all the indictment re-veals, the questions about veals, the questions about these men had no relevance to the matter under investiga-tion... The clear and undoubself with regard to Water-gate." Ion. . The clear and undoub-ted impact was to raise at least the suspicion that Mr. Mitchell is expected to deny that he specifically authorized eavesdropping in the Water-gate case. Meanwhile, he asked that the government be forced to disclose any "electronic surveillance" to substantial acts of political in 1972. It presump-gate to disclose any "electronic surveillance" to substantial acts of political in the substantial acts of political substantial acts of political in the substantial acts of political is the substantia

the Jury toward indictment. any Stans claimed his lawyer, Kenneth Wells Parkinson, was summoned here from Wash-ington May 4 for questioning ac-by federal attorneys in con-

Cabinet member's motion went on. "Mr. Parkinson was led to believe he was in danger of criminal indictment."

Federal Judge Lee Gagliardi was asked to dismiss the in-dictment, or else to remove it from New York after an indef-