ES DENY NIXON APPROVED CHARGE

They Assert Memo on Dean Given to Panel Is Not the White House Position

By JOHN HERBERS

Special to The New York Times

SAN CLEMENTE, Calif., June 28-Spokesmen for President Nixon attempted today to dissociate the President from any personal involvement in or approval of the statement before the Watergate committee charging that John W. Dean 3d was the chief architect of the White House cover-up.

"It is not the President's position, it is not the White House position," the deputy press secretary, Gerald L. Warren, said of the statement submitted to the committee yesterday by J. Fred Buzhardt Jr., special counsel to the President on Watergate matters

The statement, in the form of questions and allegations that Senator Daniel K. Inouye of Hawaii put to Mr. Dean as a White House paper, did not budge the former White House counsel in his insistence that he had only carried out orders from his superiors, including the President, in his involvement in the complex of political

Continued on Page 27, Column 4

Continued From Page 1, Col. 5

espionage and cover-up attempts.

In a related matter, Mr. War ren made it clear that President Nixon would accept neither an invitation nor a subpoena to go before the Senate committee and tell his version of what

and tell his version of what happened.

"We feel the President should not testify," Mr. Warren said, reiterating a position that the President had taken before he was implicated in the cover-up. "As for a subpoena, we feel that would be constitutionally inappropriate."

The Buzhardt statement was surrounded by considerable

surrounded by considerable ments confusion as to whether it rep-prior resented the President's latest position in the Watergate matas the Watergate case has un-folded—from one of absolutely no White House involvement to

ments to investigators testimony to the Ervin committee."

"This memorandum was pro-

and Watergate now epitomizes."

vided to the committee to contribute to their process of to him by the President, but he nued. "The document does not repeated several times that the nued. "White House posi-statement was "not reviewed with the President as white House posi-statement was "not reviewed with the President as with the President as with the President as with the President as with the President was "not reviewed with the President as wi to him by the President, but he cover-up.

that statement, of his own in-Mr. Warren said that Mr. nocence, was contradicted by Buzhardt, in submitting the Mr. Dean, it did not charge statement, was acting under Mr. Dean, as did the Buzhardt the general authority granted statement, with directing the

It was not clear from any of

no White House involvement to an acknowledgment on May 22 that some of Mr. Nixon's aides were deeply involved but that any cover-up activity on his part was innocently related to protecting national security matters.

It was not clear from any of the represent a White House position. It was not reviewed by the President. It was based exclusively on the statements of witnesses. Its sole purpose was to facilitate examination of Mr. sel's office, and that is where the matter rests," he said.

If the statement was a product of the White House counters as well as Mr. Dean, testified or made statements to the investigative bodies or the president's position, Mr. Warren was asked who was making for cross-examination, from available statements of other witnesses in the several investigative forums, including depositions in civil suits, state—

The White House involvement to an acknowledgment on May 22 that some of Mr. Nixon's aides the thouse position. It was not clear from any of the represent a White House position. It was not reviewed the represent a white House on whose statement was "not reviewed the the remarks today on whose initiative the Buzhardt state—ment and questions had been were deeply involved but that the president."

The statement was a product of the White House counter rests," he said.

If the statement was not the matter rests," he said.

If the statement was not the matter rests," he said.

If the statement was not the matter rests," he said.

If the statement was not the matter rests," he said.

If the statement was not the matter rests," he said.

If the statement was not the matter rests," he said.

If the statement was not the statement was not the matter rests," he said.

If the statement was not the matter rests," he said.

If the statement was not the matter rests," he said.

If the statement was not the matter rests," he said.

If the statement was not the statement of was asked, what is the president's position?

The was not clear from any of the remarks today on whose dent."