WXPost Text of White House Memo **To Watergate Probe Panel**

JUN 2 8 1973

This is the White House memorandum, prepared by the office of White House special counsel J. Fred Buzspeak connect J. Fred Buz-hardt for the Senate select Watergate committee and used by that panel in its questioning of former White House counsel John W. Dean III late yesterday afternoon. The memo, a commentary on Dean's testimony before the committee this week, is essentially a White House statement of the involvement of Dean and others in the Watergate affair.

The notations in parenthe-ses in the memorandum are Buzhardt's citations of previous Senate testimony and sworn depositions by various Watergate figures as authorities for his conclusions.

It is a matter of record that John Dean knew of and participated in the planning that went into the breakin at Watergate, though the ex-tent of his knowledge of that sendific operation on of tent of his knowledge of that specific operation or of his approval of the plan ulti-mately adopetd have not yet been established. There is no reason to doubt, how-ever, that John Dean was the principal actor in the Waterstein sector in the the principal actor in the Watergate coverup, and that while other motivations may have played a part, he had a great interest in covering up

great interest in covering up for himself. PRE-JUNE 17 Dean came to the White House from Justice from a background of working on problems of demonstrations and intelligence. Among those working under him at the White House were Tom Houston and John Caulfield Houston and John Caulfield. Houston and John Caulfield. Dean was involved in discus-sions in 1971 about the Sandwedge plan Caulfield proposed (Caulfield Testi-mony 611-612; Magruder Testimony 1880). Ehrlich-man was told that the origi-nal authors of the \$1,000,000 plan were Dean and Liddy (Ehrlichman Deposition hai authors of the \$1,000,000 plan were Dean and Liddy (Ehrlichman Deposition 116). Whatever the fact about this, it is clear that Dean attended the meetings that led up to adoption of the Watergate plan. Dean introduced Mitchell (who had sponsored Dean for his White House position) to Liddy on November 24, 1971 (Id., 120; Magruder Testi-mony 1941). Dean intro-duced Magruder to Liddy in December, 1971, and sug-gested Liddy for the com-bined position of general counsel and chief of intelli-gence gathering for CRP (Magruder Testimony 1878, 1939-1941). He told Magruder that Mitchell had hired Liddy (Magruder Testimony 2034). 2034).

Dean, Liddy, Mitchell, and Magruder met to discuss in-Magruder met to discuss in-telligence plans of this kind on January 27, 1972, and on February 4th (Magruder Testimony 1881, 1887). Dean was not present at the final meeting on March 30 when the \$250,000 plan was ap-proved (Magruder Testi-mony 1899). It is not clear whether he was not there because he disapproved or simply because ho was not because he disapproved or simply because he was not in Key Biscayne or because he wanted to try to keep his own record clean. He is re-ported as having said that he "didn't think it was ap-propriate for him to be in on those conversations" (Id on these conversations" (Id., 121). He is also reported to have said, at a meeting in Mitchell's office, that "We shouldn't discuss this in front of Mitchell or in the Attorney General's office." (Ehrlichman Interview 20; see also Magruder's Testi-mony 2078). At some point during the spring Magruder phoned Dean and asked him to talk to Liddy to try and calm him down (Ehrlichman Deposition 112). At another point Dean, knowing that a bugging operation was under serious consideration, called Magruder and refer-red to the importance of Liddy's intelligence activi-ties (Magruder Testimony 2078). This arose after an argument between Magruder and Liddy; Dean urged Ma-gruder not to let personal gruder not to let personal animosity "get in the way of the project" (Magruder Tes-timony 1897). Also in March, 1973, Dean claimed to Haldeman that, in the spring of 1972, he had told Haldeman that he had been to two meetings at which unacceptable and outlandish ideas for intelligence gatherideas for intelligence gathering had been rejected by himself and by Mitchell and that he, Dean, proposed not to attend any more such meetings. (Second Halde-man Interview 1, 12; Halde-man has no personal recol-tation for the form the form the form about the meetings at the time but is "willing to ac-cept that as a possibility" (Id., 184; First Haldeman In-terview 8).

POST-JUNE 17 Whatever the facts may be on the matters that are uncertain in the spring of 1972 about Dean's knowl-edge of specific approval of the breakin, it must have been clear to Dean, as a law-yer, when he heard on June 17th of Watergate, that he was in personal difficulty.

The Watergate affair was so clearly the outgrowth of the discussions and plans he had been in on that he might well be regarded as a conspirator with regard to them. He must immediately have realized that his pa-tron, Mitchell, would also be involved.

It appears that Ehrlich-man called Dean on June 17th to advise him of the problem and to direct him to take charge of it for the White House. Even without an instruction, this would have been his responsibility, as Counsel for the President, from the time of the occur-rence and he was active in that role from the moment of his return to the city a day or two after the breakin (Ehrlichman Deposition 228).

On June 19th Dean met with Liddy, Mitchell, Strach-an, Magruder, and Sloan. Dean, Mitchell and Magruder also met with LaRue and Mardian that evening in Mitchell's apartment. At Mitchell's apartment. At these meetings the coverup plan was hatched (Magruder Testimony 1913, 1955-1956). A series of meetings follow-ed throughout the summer (Id., 1918). Dean and Mitch-ell were Magruder's princi-pal contacts on the coverup (Id. 1919) (Id., 1919).

Dean was not merely one of the architects of the coverup plan. He was also its most active participant. Magruder correctly concluded that Dean "was involved in all aspects of this coverup" (Magruder Testimony 2053).

• It was Dean who sug-gested to Haldeman that the FBI was concerned that it might run into a CIA oper-ation (Second Haldeman In-

atton (Second Haldeman Intreview 6).
It was Dean who suggested to General Walters on January 26th that CIA pay the Watergate defendants while in jail (Walters Memo for Becord 6.28.72)

while in jail (Walters Memo for Record, 6-28-72). • It was Dean, purport-edly acting on behalf of Mitchell, who came to Ehrl-ichman several weeks after the breakin to obtain ap-proval for fundraising by Kalmbach for the arrested persons (Ehrlichman Interpersons (Ehrlichman Interview 7). • It was Dean who re-

viewed the papers found in Hunt's safe and declared that they were "politically sensitive" and should be given special treatment (Id.,

6).It was Dean who sought unsuccessfully to have the others omit his name from the list of those who at-tended meetings on the Liddy plans (Magruder Tes-timony 1924, 2082).

• It was Dean who urged Hunt to flee the country two days after the burglary (Hall Affidavit April 13).

• It was Dean and Mitch-

 It was Dean and Mitch-ell who prepared Magruder for his perjurious grand jury testimony (Magruder Testimony 1922).
 It was Dean who said of a memorandum Colson had prepared on August 29th stating the facts as the knew them: "For God's sake des-troy the memo. it impeaches troy the memo, it impeaches Magruder" (Ehrlichman In-terview 2).

• It was Dean who sug-gested that Sloan take the Fifth Amendment, though Sloan was innocent (Sloan

It was been who was the agent in some of the money dealings with the arrested persons (Second Haldowar Interview)

Haldeman Interview 6). • It was Dean who told Colson not to make a trancoison not to make a tran-script of Colson's taped con-versation with Hunt and said that he, Dean, would handle the matter (Report from federal prosecutors, New York Times 6-28-72). Throughout all of this Dean was perfectly situated to mastermind and to carry

to mastermind and to carry out a coverup since, as Counsel to the President and the man in charge for the White House, he had full access to what was happening in the investigation. He sat in on FBI interviews with White House witnesses and received investigative reports. Dean and Ehrlichman met with Attorney Genman met with Attorney Gen-eral Kleindienst late in July. The Attorney General de-scribed the investigation and said that "it did not ap-pear that any White House people or any high-ranking Committee people were in-volved in the preparation or planning or execution of the planning or execution of the breakin" (Ehrlichman De-position 173). History fails to record that at that moment Dean corrected the Attorney General's erroneous impres-Sion by pointing out that Mitchell, Magruder, and Dean had all been involved in planning of operations of which Watergate was an ob-vious derivative, or that Strachan had knowledge of the fruits of this kind of op-eration, or that all of them were suborning perjury and otherwise seeking to conceal

the facts. Dean's activity in the coverup also made him, per-haps unwittingly, the princi-pal author of the political and constitutional crisis that Watergate now epitomizes.

It would have been embarrassing to the President if the true facts had become known shortly after June 17th, but it is the kind of embarrassment that an immensely popular President could easily have weathered. could easily have weathered. The political problem has been magnified 1,000-fold because the truth is coming to light so belatedly, be-cause of insinuations that the White House was a party to the coverup, and, above all, because the White House was led to say things about Watergate that have since been found to have since been found to have been untrue. These added consequences were John Dean's doing.

Dean was responsible within the White House for becoming apprised of what had happened. From June 17th on, Dean had periodic coversations with Ehrlich-man "about virtually every aspect of this case" (Ehrlichman Deposition 142). Dean reported also to Haldeman (First Haldeman Interview 7; Second Haldeman Interview 3) and to Zieman Interview 3) and to Zie-gler, to whom he gave re-peated assurances that he had made an "intensive in-vestigation" and had found no White House involve-ment (Ziegler Interview 2). Dean was "the foundation of the proposition that the the proposition that the White House was not in-volved" (Ehrlichman Interview 15).

SPRING 1973

With the election passed and public interest in Watergate on the wane, Dean may have thought that this coverup have thought that this coverup had been a suc-cess, although he purported to continue an ongoing in-vestigation. At the same time Dean was affecting a failing memory and talking to Magruder as if Dean did not recall the pre-Watergate not recall the pre-watergate planning meetings in which he had participated (Magruder Testimony 1929). In February, however, with the Ervin Committee begin-ning its work the Decident ning its work, the President was again concerned that all of the available facts be made known. In the middle of February, -1973, Dean and Richard Moore met with Ehrlichman and Haldeman at San Clemente. Dean was assigned to reduce "to written form all of the detailed facts as they related both to the Committee to Re-Elect and the White House" (Ehrlichman Deposition 152; see also Moore Interview 6). Dean was pressed continually for that statement, par-ticularly by Haldeman, but never produced it (Id., 154).

At this point the Gray confirmation hearings were imminent and the Ervin hearings were on the horizon. The President, who had barely known Dean, deter-mined that Counsel to the President was the appropriate person with whom to work in formulating the President's position on executive privilege and similar legal issues that these hearings—and news conferences on March 2nd and 15th at which they would arise— would present. Between. February 27th and April 16th the President met with Dean (and usually othern) 31 Dean (and usually others) 21 or 22 times and there were 14 telephone conversations between March 10th and April 22nd. It is probable that Dean helped induce the views on attorney-client privilege and on separation of powers that would have immunized Dean himself from having to testify under from having to testify under oath. During this period Dean was developing other problems. On March 10th there were press reports that it was Dean who had recommended Liddy to CREP. On March 22nd Pat Gray testified that Dean had lied to him during the course of the FBI investigation of Watergate. On March 23rd McCord's letter to Judge Sirica was made public. The coverup coming uncovered.

During this period the point was frequently raised by various people, including primarily the President, that the whole story of Watergate should be made public. "Dean's answer always was we can't do it while the investigation is continuing, there are conflicting versions of events and the rights of defendants might be prejudiced by a statement" (Second Halde-

statement" (Second Halde-man Interview 4). On March 20th the Presi-dent indicated that he still did not have all the facts (Id., 9). In the preceding week Dean had begun to ex-press to Bishard Macon conpress to Richard Moore concern about Dean's own involvement, referring to the meetings in Mitchell's office, the plumbers' operation and the Ellsberg break-in, and the demand by Hunt, possibly on March 16th, for more money (Moore Inter-view 16). After the two of them met with the President on March 20th, Moore told Dean: "I don't think the President has any idea of the kinds of things that you'-

ve told me about." When Dean agreed that the President did not, Moore told Dean that it was his obligation to advise the President and lectured Dean on this subject (Id., 17). On March 21st Dean gave the Presi dent a more complete, but still laundered, version of the facts, and so surprised the President that, according to press accounts of what Dean is saying: "The President came out of his chair." At this meeting Dean indicated that Magruder was involved but that he did not know about Mitchell. He mentioned the Ellsberg break-in and possibly a second story job at the Brookings Institution. (Second Haldeman Interview.) He told about the attempt by Hunt to blackmail Ehrlichman over the Ellsberg break-in. He suggested that Haldeman, Ehrlichman, and Dean might all have some problem about the financial problem about the financial transactions with the de-fendants but that he thought they were more technical and political than legal. He gave no hint, however, of his own orchestration of perjured testimony by Magruder and others.

Ehrlichman suggested that everyone be made to Ehrlichman appear before the grand jury and waive executive privilege. Dean thought this privilege. Dean thought this would be a good idea but only if the persons who ap-peared before the grand jury were given immunity. At another meeting that day Ehrlichman strongly op-posed immunity (Second Haldeman Interview 9-10). On March 23rd Dean was sent to Camp David in order to complete the long-promised report. Dean was at Camp David for six days but came down on the night of the 28th and "delivered nothing" (Ehrlichman Deposition 154).

The failure of Dean's Muse while he was on the mountain is understandable, since by this time it would have been impossible to write a believable report that would not have been self-indicting. While he was at Camp David, Dean told Ehrlichman's assistant that he was "not getting the statement - done but was planning his own defense" (Ehrlichman Interview 23). Haldeman talked with him several times and felt that "Dean was not having much progress in writing his report but it became clear that he was worrying more about himself" (Second Haldeman Interview 12). On the 25th the President suggested it be announced that Dean would appear before the grand jury. On the 26th Dean agreed but said that he would do so only if given immunity.

On March 30th the President relieved Dean of any further responsibility for the Watergate investigation. He called Ehrlichman in, told him that it was evident to the President that "Dean was in the thing up to his evebrows," and assigned Ehrlichman to look into Watergate (Ehrlichman Deposition 155). The President indicated to Ehrlichman that his conversations with Dean throughout the preceding month had given him "a growing awareness of Dean's personal involvement in this . . . (Id., 155-156).

Relieved of his Watergate duties by the President and aware that his own complicity had become obvious, Dean decided to strike out on his own to hunt for immunity for the long list of wrongs he had committed. According to the press, it was April 2nd when he first established contact with the prosecutors and attempted to bargain for immunity. While he carried on these negotiations, Ehrlichman completed his report and advised the President on April 14th that Mitchell, Magruder, and Dean were all involved (Second Haldeman Interview 15). On the 16th Dean was asked by the President to resign but refused to do so. On the 30th he was dismissed. His increasingly shrill efforts since that date to save himself by striking out recklessly at others are too familiar and too painful to require mention.

Three Networks To Air Hearings

All three major television networks will carry live coverage of today's testimony by former presidential counsel John W. Dean III before the Senate Watergate Committee. NBC-WRC (Channel 4), ABC-WMAL (Channel 4), ABC-WMAL (Channel 7) and CBS-WTOP (Channel 9) will begin their coverage at 10 a.m. WETA (Channel 26) will rebroadcast the hearings at 8 p.m.