Magruder Calls O'Brien a Target

WASHINGTON, June 14 An effort to discredit former Democratic chairman rence F. O'Brien emerged publicly today for the first time as a major objective of the break-in and espionage at the Democratic National headquarters at the Watergate complex.

ters at the Watergate complex.

Jeb Stuart Magruder, testifying before the Senate Watergate Committee, said officials who planned the espionage were hoping to find evidence of an alleged kickback scheme in connection with the Democratic National Convention. They were hoping that the scheme might be tied to the Democrats or to Mr. O'Brien, Mr. Magruder indicated.

Said Mr. Magruder: "I think

scheme might be tied to the Democrats or to Mr. O'Brien, Mr. Magruder indicated.'

Said Mr. Magruder: "I think there was a general concern that if he [Mr. O'Brien] was allowed to continue as Democratic National Chairman, because he was certainly their most professional... political operator, that he could be very difficult in the coming campaign.

"So we had hoped that information [thus gained] might discredit him."

Richard J. Murphy, convention manager for the Democrats, greeted the disclosure of the plan with laughter.

A Small Exposition

Mr. Magruder indicated.

Lawrence F. O'Brien

burglar and at the time general counsel to the re-election common the re-election common that it is was a general concern to the re-election common that it is was a general concern to the re-election common that it is was a general concern to the re-election common that it is was a general concern to the re-election common that it is was a general concern to the re-election common that it is was a general concern to the re-election common that it is was a general concern to the re-election common that it is was a general concern to the re-election common that it is was a general concern to the re-election common that it is was a general concern to the re-election common that it is was a general concern to the re-election common that it is was a general concern to make it, it was dropped," he added.

Mr. Murphy said he thought the men who approached them were "both Republicans" and that their principal backer was a Republican.

He said the proposal was discussed at several open meetings and that during three public sessions, representatives of the Republican National Committee, and wanted to see how the Democrats were going about it.

Mr. O'Brien declined to comment on the matter because of a pending 36.4-million damage suit he and the party brought against the re-election committee and supporters of President National Committee, and wanted to see how the Democratic National Committee, and wanted to see how the Democratic Nation

A Small Exposition

Mr. Murphy said both the Democrats and the Republicans had been approached about the same scheme. He said the Democrats had turned it down and that he thought the Republicans rejected it, too.

The proposal was to hold a small exposition, "called an exhibition of the American economy or something like that," as a fund-raising device much like a convention program book, he said. Corporations were to have been asked publicly to purchase space in the exhibit and the Democrats were to get a flat fee.

"The very idea of suggesting that this was to be money to be kicked back to Mr. O'Brien or the Democratic National Committee—why, it baffles the imagination," Mr. Murphy said.
"It's laughable."

Mr. Magruder, second 8n said that potential targets for wiretapping and photographing and sandther may a "Walter Scott" and sandther may a

imagination," Mr. Murphy said.

"It's laughable."

Mr. Magruder, second 8n said that potential targets for wiretapping and photographing were discussed at a meeting with John N. Mitchell, the former Attorney General, John W. to Tom Evans, Mr. Murphy said. The reference was to Thomas former counsel and G. Gordon B. Evans Jr., co-chairman of Liddy, a convicted Watergate



mittee. He could not be reached for comment immediately.

Mr. Murphy said the Demo-

crats sought a legal opinion on whether the scheme was legal and that Robert S. Struauss, then the Democratic party treasurer, insisted that the exhibition concern produce records showing that it was financially sound.

"Mr. Strauss indicated that we just couldn't be worried to take this on with all the other problems we had unless this firm guaranteed it was financially solvent and that it was cially solvent and that it was reputable.

"I think Mr. Strauss set a date for them to show evidence of financial backing and when they didn't make it, it was dropped," he added.