COAST FINANCIER ACCUSED BY S.E.C. OF MASSIVE FRAUD JUN 1 1973

C. Arnholt Smith, Confidant of Nixon, Is Charged With **Corporate Manipulation**

By FELIX BELAIR JR. Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, May 31-The Securities and Exchange Commission today charged C. Arnholt Smith, a California financier and long-time confidant of President Nixon, with a massive fraudulent manipulation designed to misappropriate the assets of a West Coast conglomerate he heads and a San Diego bank.

A complaint filed by the commission in the Federal District Court at San Diego asked that Mr. Smith, along with two associates, the Westgate California Corporation and four subsidiaries be enjoined from continuing the alleged schemes.

In addition to injunctive relief, the court was asked to appoint a receiver to take over Westgate, to make a full investigation and accounting into its financial affairs and to take any steps necessary to recover all assets of the conglomerate that were "wasted or misappropriated by defendants or others."

'At Bargain Prices'

The thrust of the S.E.C. allegations was that Mr. Smith, as chairman and chief executive officer of Westgate as well as of the United States National Bank, arranged the sale of the conglomerate's assets to various defendants or their nominees "at bargain prices." The purchases, the S.E.C. alleged, were made with loans from the bank that were approved by Mr. Smith, and the bank in turn accepted the purchased assets as collateral on the loans The commission asked that Mr. Smith and Philip A. Toft, who succeeded him a year ago as president of Westgate, place under control of the court all their holdings of Westgate securities "and such other assets as may be necessary to satisfy any claims arising out of ac-

tions brought by the receiver." S.E.C. officials said that, pending the investigations and accounting by a receiver, there

was no way of knowing the amount of such claims or recoveries but suggested they might total more than \$100million.

Mr. Smith, 74 years old, is a San Diego multimillionaire. He is the owner of the San Diego Padres major league baseball team, but has announced plans to sell the team for \$12-million. He has been close to President Nixon since the President's days in the House of Representatives and has been a major

Continued From Page 1, Col. 5

contributor to Nixon political

contributor to Nixon political campaigns over the years. By coincidence, it was dis-closed today that Mr. Nixon's election campaign organization returned to Mr. Smith last year a \$300,000 contribution. The revelation came in a deposition connected with one of the sev-eral Watergate proceedings. The deposition was given

The deposition was given May 23 by Eveline M. Hyde, who worked for Maurice H. Stans, finance chairman of the Committee to Re-elect the Pres-ident. According to her lawyer, Leon T. Kauer, she said the contribution was returned be-cause of "a problem in Cali-fornia."

The Westgate conglomerate embraces numerous enterprises, including an airline, taxicab operations in many Western cities, financial institutions and tuna-fishing and canning operations.

tions. The S.E.C. suit was quickly followed by an announcement from the Controller of the Currency here that the San Diego bank and Mr. Smith had consorted to a coase and desirt consented to a cease and desist order requiring "the orderly collection of the loans presently held by the bank."

The announcement described the bank loans as "a concentra-tion of credits to Westgate California Corporation and other related companies." The order directed the bank "to limit any further loans to these entities."

The Controller's announce-ment added: "Pursuant to the ment added: "Pursuant to the order Mr. Smith has agreed to indemnify the bank against losses in connection with such loans. To date, the bank has experienced no losses in con-nection with such loans." Last Saturday, Mr. Smith re-signed as chairman of the ban?. In addition to Mr. Smith and Mr. Toft, the complaint named as a third individual defendant, M. J. Coen, chairman, president and chief executive officer of

and chief executive officer of the First California Company,

the First California Company, also of San Diego—a registered broker-dealer also indirectly controlled by Mr. Smith through Westgate. "To camouflage their fraudu-lent appropriation of Westgate and United States national bank assets," said the S.E.C. complaint, "the defendants cre-ated ostensible profits for these entities and published mislead-ing statements concerning their

ated ostensible published mislead-ing statements concerning their activities." "It appears that Westgate has included in its earnings statements manufactured earn-ings of over \$17.5-million from 1969 through 1972," it added. In most cases, according to the complaint, the financing role of the bank was concealed from Westgate auditors and the investing public by channeling the borrowings through numer-bus corporate entities including the Sovereign States Capital Corporation and the United States Holding Company, both of San Diego. The bolding company, was

States Holding Company, both of San Diego. The holding company was said by the S.E.C. to hold all the Class B common stock of Westgate, constituting about 53 per cent of the conglomerate's voting securities. All of the stock of the holding company was described in the complaint as "beneficially owned" by Mr. Smith, Lois S. Smith, his for-mer wife, and Carol Smith Shannon, his daughter.

The agency said of Sovereign States tha all of the stock evidencing ownership of the company was held in the name of he daughter-in-law of Mr.

he daughter-in-law of Mr. Smith. It added, however, that the activities of sovereign states were directed exclusively by Mr. Smith and Mr. Toft. While the bank loans were channeled through numerous subsidiaries and "sales were arefully structured to appear as arms-length transactions," taid the commission, Mr. Smith was "on all sides of the trans-action." The S.E.C. complaint elab-

The S.E.C. complaint elab-wrated: "He directed the sale of West-

The S.E.C. complaint elab-wrated: "He directed the sale of West-bete assets in conjunction with foft; he obtained corporations and other nominees to stand in as purchasers in conjunction with Coen; he authorized loans from the United States National Bank; and he passed the pro-ceeds of these loans to the pur-ported purchasers through Sov-ereign States, the United States Holding Company and their subsidiaries, again in conjunc-tion with Toft. "Thus Westgatt assets were sold at prices arbitrarily set by the defendants. As a result, Westgate's earnings were mai nipulated." In some instances, according to the complaint, the defend-ants converted Westgate's as-sets to their own by providing them as interest-free "loans" to subsidiaries. The subsidiary companies would then pledge these "loaned" assets as collateral for loans from the United States. National Bank on the author-ization of Mr. Smith, the com-plaint alleged. The funds gen-erated by such loans were then used by Mr. Smith, Mr. Toft, Mr. Coen and various corpo-rate defendants "for their own benefit and enrichment," ac-cording to the S.E.C. "Such lent collateral aggre-gated at least \$15-million," the agency said. On other occasins, the com-plaint added, "the defendants have been converting West-

agency said. On other occasins, the com-plaint added, "the defendants have been converting West-gate assets to their own use and benefit by selling assets to Westgate as grossly excessive prices and by purchasing such asserts at bargain prices with fundsprovided by the United National bank."

Subsidiary companies used at various times for this urpose



C. Arnholt Smith

and named as defendants in the S.E.C. suit included the British Columbia Investment Company of Kansas City, Mo., and Elsi-nore owned by Mr. Coen al-though all his British Columbia stock is pledged with Sovereign States Capital as collateral for loans loans.

loans. A separate count in the com-plaint alleged that First Cali-fornia, of which Mr. Coen is chairman and prsident, had been distributing unregistered Westgate stock that was owned by Sovereign States but "was passed through a number of en-tities including British Colum-bia to conceal its origin."