BOARD TO CHECK JET CRASH CHAR

MAY 2 6 1973

U.S. Safety Panel Skeptical About Sabotage Allegations and Link to Watergate NYTimes-

By ROBERT LINDSEY

By ROBERT LINDSEY
The National Transportation
Safety Board said yesterday
that it would look into allegations by a Chicago legal researcher that a United Air
Lines jetliner that crashed
Dec 8 as part of the Watergate case cover-up.
However, officials of the
agency said privately that they
tended to give little credence
to the charges of the Chicagoan, Sherman H. Skoinick,
who has been lecturing widely
across the country on his
theory. It was learned that representatives of the Senate comresentatives of the Senate committee that has been investigating the Watergate break-in were also studying his assertions.

tions.

The wife of E. Hooward Hunt Jr., who pleaded guilty to spying in the Watergate case, was one of 43 persons killed when a United Air Lines Boeing 737 crashed while attempting to land at Midway Airport in Chicago. She was found to have been carrying \$10,000 in 100 bills.

Mr. Skolnick maintains that the plane was sabotaged to kill Mrs. Hunt and three other persons.

persons.

Among other things, he has cited as evidence of wrongdoing the presence of cyanide in the body of the pilot and six other victims of the crash. He has also linked the crash to the appointment in January of Dwight L. Chapin, then President Nixon's appointment secretary, as an executive of secretary, as an executive of United.

No Evidence Found

"We can find no evidence of sabotage," a senior official of the safet yboard, an independent agency of the Transportation Department that investigates accidents, said yesterday. The official said that cyanide was often found in the victims of not only plane crashes but also fires in homes, explaining this is because of a chemical reaction in the blood stream resulting from the inhaling of smoke produced in the burning of certain synthetic materials.

Despite its skepticism, the board said it would have its representatives meet with Mr. Skolnick "to hear what evi-

Skolnick "to hear what evidence he has to support his case," an official said. The decision was made after a United executive, E. O. Fennell, senior vice president, urged the agenty to do so in order to resolve cy to do so in order to resolve public concern aroused by Mr. Skolnick's widely publicized views.

"Mr. Skolnick has succeeded in achieving a wide dissemination of his sensationalized version of the circumstances sursion of the circumstances surrounding the accident, both in radio broadcasts and written publications," he said. "Based on United's knowledge gained during the investigation, there is absolutely no factual basis for the statements made by Mr. Skolnick with respect to accident causation, and no attempt has been made by Mr. Skolnick to support his position other to support his position other than with rhetoric."

However, Mr. Fennell added, his charges had raised doubts about the cause of the accident and he urged the board to hear Mr. Skolnick out. Mrs. Isabel Burgess, the acting chairman of the board, replied in a letter to Mr. Fennell yesterday that it would do so. it would do so.

The safety board has not completed its formal report on completed its formal report on the accident, which will identify the "probable cause." The agency conducted hearings in Chicago afterthe accident and at thattime refused to permit Mr. Skolnick to testify, saying that it appeared he had nothing significant to offer

that it appeared he had nothing significantto offer.
Subsequently he filed asuit, still pending, protesting the decision. In it, he charged the four persons who he said were the target victims of the conspiracy relating either to the Watergate incident or possibly an alleged bribe connected with a Government antitrust suit against a riveline company in the South-

The 43-year-old Mr. Skolnick, a college dropout who says he has read many law books, has been a legal gadfly well known in Chicago for more than 15 years. His campaigns forced the resignation of two members of the Illinois Supreme Court in 1969 amid charges of coaflict of interest.

Other cases—including

Other cases—including to long-running battle with the National Archives to get information he contends will disclose an assistantian