Aides Explain Nixon's Pentagon Papers Assertion

NYTIMES MAY 25 1973.
Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, May 24 -White House sources offered sible Government official know pared the study, said yesterday to Rand for secret research, an explanation today for Presi- that they had been stolen. Most that the compilers had criginal- were being photographed and dent Nixon's assertion that The officials did not know they ex-ly intended to produce a volume shown to antiwar senators.

"Not until a few hours be- mer Pentagon official who was that a wide variety of docu-

fore publication did any respon- on the Pentagon team that pre- ments about Vietnam, entrusted

an explanation today for President Nixon's assertion that The sources asid Mr. Nixon was referring to texts of Government had read the more official of the study, were mentioned in it or cited in its footnotes. The sources added that Mr. Nixon's assertion was based on "Moreover, a majority of the statement describing what called national-security justifications for domestic investigations at issue in the Watergate case.

The editors noted that no documents were published. The editors noted in the Pentagon Study.

The diffor Suprise

Referring to the start of The Referring to the start of Times's Pentagon Papers and generating the statement declared:

The diffor Suprise

Referring to the start of The Referring to the start of Times's Pentagon Papers had comments and the statement declared:

The difformation of the Pentagon Papers had officials did not know they ex-ly intended to produce a volume is not present that they had been stolen. Most the presentation of the dovernment memorandums and covernment demands the covernment demands and the papers call staff and facilities preventation. The first data the papers