Nixon Plans Watergate Counterattack

By Jack Anderson

President Nixon is quietly reparing to fight back preparing to fight back against the Watergate charges.

The thrust of his counterattack isn't certain. But trusted aides are putting together evidence that subversives were conspiring to undermine the political system.

These aides, working in strict secrecy, are trying to show that the threat of subversion and violence during the 1972 campaign justified extreme counter measures.

If the President adopts the line they are preparing, the word will go out from the White House that Reds, radicals and subversives sought to bring down the system by creating political turmoil. Some of these radicals, it will be tors. charged, infiltrated into the Dire Warnings George McGovern campaign.
It has always been the first

rule of Nixon politics, that the private, the President has become militant about Watergate. He has told intimates that he has no intention of going back on television with ing back on television with a ens of documents we have ex-

He indicated, instead, that he will hit back at his accu-sers. He will explain for the record that he can't offer deing with the judicial processes tin, reviewing "current intelliant jeopardizing the rights of the accused. Then he intends tive" of the "antiwar leaders," to counterattack.

White House was flooded with scare stories on the eve of the 1972 campaign Most of the warned ominously, was to disrupt the Republican convention and to defeat President Nixon. 1972 campaign. Most of them came from Robert Mardian, then the Justice Department's internal security chief, who worked himself into a state of political paranoia.

He began chasing subversive shadows with such a ysis. vengeance that his counter-espionage efforts took on the dimensions of a police-state operation. He bombarded his superiors with warnings of civil disorders and political disruptions. Among those who received his intelligence reports urging his defeat. were the Watergate conspira-

We have obtained access to some of these hush-hush relong apology for the Water-gate offenses.

amined, however, the dire warnings turned out to be highly inflated.

Typical was a Jan. 18, 1972, tailed denials without interfer- uation Section, John L. Mar- fied.

he warned ominously, was to

"The disruption of the Republican convention represents the final phase of a An anxious three phase program designed to defeat President Nixon in

"Phase One was the People's Grand Jury Demonstra-tion held in Washington, D.C., on October 25, 1971. Phase Two will consist of individuals following President Nixon's campaign around the country

"Phase Three consists of a plan to have 500,000 to one million people attend the Republican National Convention in an attempt to deny President Nixon the Republican Party nomination."

The convention then was scheduled to be held in San Diego, and the analysis quoted a confidential FBI source as reporting the disrupters "have secured approximately 3,000 guns (small arms of various descriptions) and are equipping several trucks with armor plating." It was a report, memo to Mardian from the mor plating." It was a report, chief of his Analysis and Eval-however, that couldn't be veri-

The convention later was where, cording to the confidential reports, 2,082 crack paratroopers from the 82d Airborne Divi-sion were dispatched in case the police and National Guard couldn't handle the antici-

An anxious Community Relations Service task force, three phase program designed to defeat President Nixon in the 1972 presidential election," declared the intelligence analysis. plained the CRS role and indle cated some of the CRS con cerns if the military is de ployed."

The daily crisis reports sent, back to the Justice Department from both the Democratic and Republican conven-

tions, however, showed that only a handful of agitators went to Miami with violence

in mind.

Typical was this report on the eve of the GOP convention: "At present there are no major indications problems. We have made initial contact with officials and community groups. From these initial meetings, there is an indication that there will be minimal or no involvement of minority community groups.
The protesting groups intend

materialized.

@ 1973, United Feature Syndicate