# Head of Safety Panel Concedes White House Pressure on Jobs

NYTimes

By JOHN D. MORRIS MAY 22 1973 Special to The New York Times

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, May 21—The chairman of the National Transportation Safety Board, which was established as an independent agency, acknowledged today that the White House had influenced the appointment of key staff personnel.

The chairman, John H. Reed, also confirmed reports that the board's Republican members had been threatened with "disciplining" if the 1972 annual report included a recommendation that the board's house-keeping functions be removed from the Department of Transportation.

Mr. Reed, a former Republican Governor of Maine, testified at a hearing before the Senate Commerce Committee. The committee is trying to determine whether the board's independence, mandated by Congress, has been compromised.

Independent by Statute

The five-member board was independence in its annual report. The report is now being port. The report i

He testified that he so advised the Republican members,

Independent by Statute

The five-member board was created six years ago as the Federal Government's investigatory and advisory arm on the safety of aviation and land transportation. Although independent by statute, it is located in the Transportation Department, which handles its supplies and performs other houskeeping functions.

Mr. Reed insisted that no attempt had been made by the White House or the department to influence the board "as to its substantive duties"

Daniel Ellsberg's psychiatrist.

Mr. Reed and Mr. Hefflefinger agreed that Mr. Reed had asked Mr. Krogh for information or the Administration's attitude toward a possible statement about the board's independence in its annual report. Mr. Krogh expressed ignorance of the issue at the time. Later, according to Mr. Heffelfinger's testimony, Mr. Krogh sold him to inform Mr. Reed of the Administration's "disfavor."

Mr. Reed and Mr. Hefflefinger agreed that Mr. Reed had asked Mr. Krogh for information or the Administration's attitude toward a possible statement about the board's independence in its annual report. Mr. Krogh expressed ignorance of the issue at the time. Later, according to Mr. Reed of the Administration's "disfavor."

Mr. Reed and Mr. Heffle-finger agreed that Mr. Reed had asked Mr. Krogh for information or the Administration's attitude toward a possible statement about the board's independence in its annual report.

Mr. Reed and Mr. Heffle-finger agreed that Mr. Reed had asked Mr. Krogh for information or the Administration's attitude toward a possible statement about the board's independence in its annual report.

Mr. Reed and Mr. Heffle-finger agreed that Mr. Reed had asked Mr. Krogh or information or the Administration's attitude toward a possible statement about the board's independence in its annual report.

Mr. Reed denied reports that he had been ordered to "cease is substantive duties".

white House or the department to influence the board "as to its substantive duties."

He said he saw no impropriety in his appointment of the board's general manager, Richard L. Spears, and several other high staff officials recommended by the White House.

As for threats of disciplinary action, Mr. Reed said he merely passed along to board members a "message" received through William S. Heffelfinger, Assistant Secretary of Transportation for Administration.

Mr. Heffelfinger, he reported, told him "it was the position of the Administration that the safety board was properly located within the department."

"It was suggested," Mr. Reed added, "that I so advise the Republican members of our board and indicate that any action taken to the contrary in our annual report would be looked upon with disfavor and could result in the disciplining of the Republican members."

Advised 3 Members

He said he saw no impropriety which could be construed as critical" of the Transportation Department or the executive branch.

The other members of the board, in later testimony, backed up that statement and others to the effect that there had been no interference with the board's "substantative" work, such as accident investigations and special studies.

"Should Avoid Criticism'

Reed as having passed along word from the department that the board "should avoid public statements that would be in disagreement with the policies and programs of the various agencies administered by the Department of Transportation and its programs."

Mr. Reed denied reports that he had been or ordered to "cease issuing reports which could be construed as critical" of the Transportation The executive branch.

The other members of the board, in later testimony, backed up that statement and others to the effect that there board's "substantative" work, such as accident investigations and special studies.

"Should Avoid Criticism'

But Mr. Haley, one of the Republican members of the department."

"It was suggested," Mr. Reed as having passed along word from

He testified that he so advised the Republican members, Isabel A. Burgess and William R. Haley, and the independent member, Louis M. Thayer.

Three days later, Mr. Reed said, Mr. Heffelfinger told him that "the reference to disciplining the members should be ignored."

Mr. Heffelfinger, who was called to the witness stand, denied that he had raised the question of possible disciplinary action in informing Mr. Reed that the Administration "would"

HELP REFRESH A KID.