

LOS ANGELES, May 11 (AP)—Following is the text of a memorandum regarding missing records of a wiretap on Daniel Ellsberg's phone conversations that was sub-mitted to the Pentagon pa-pers trial yesterday by Henry E. Peterson, Assistant Attor-ney General: The attached are ensure

E. Peterson, Assistant Autorney General: The attached are answers provided by the Federal Bu-reau of Investigation to the questions put to the Govern-ment by the court today.
Q. How many interceptions of Ellsberg were there in 1969 and 1970?
A. We do not know the an-swer to this question because as yet we have not been able to locate the records.
Q. How long was surveil-lance of Halperin's residence?
A. The surveillance oc-curred between the spring of 1969 and June of 1971 as best we can determine. We do not know for what period of time within that span the surveillance was active.
Records Not Found

Records Not Found

Q. What was the nature of

Q. What was the nature of the surveillance? Example, was it court authorized. Au-thorized by the A.G., etc. A. Testimonial evidence in-dicates that surveillances were authorized by the At-torney General in accordance with national security proce-dures. The records, however, have not been found.

Q. Is it still correct that there are no records of the surveillance?

A. As of this date we have been unable to locate the records.

Q. Why, when the indexes Q. Why, when the indexes were run for Halperin during the period specified for his consultancy, was his name not discovered as having been the subject of surveil-lance?

lance? A. The period for Halperin's consultancy was from No-vember, 1971, to the present. The court directed us to search the F.B.I. records for surveillance during this pe-riod. There was no surveil-lance nor overhearings of Morton Halperin during this time frame.

Record-Keeping Process

Q. The judge wants full re-port on the results of the Ruckelshaus investigation into wiretapping on the Ells-herg case

A. Our investigation in-dicates no wiretapping inter-ceptions by the F.B.I. relating ceptions by the F.B.I. relating to the Pentagon papers in-vestigation, except those already submitted to the court in camera [in cham-bers] and those were not conducted in connection with the Pentagon papers inves-tigation.

Q. What were the proce-dures at the time of this sur-

veillance operation? What type of records were kept— tapes, logs, records, etc.

A. Telephonic interceptions A. relephonic interceptions were tape recorded and logs were transcribed from the tapes—summaries of the logs

were transcribed from the tapes—summaries of the logs were prepared and tapes were erased. Q. Why is there no record of the F.B.I.'s office or the Department of Justice of these supposed overhearings? A. One of the purposes of the present investigation under way is to determine the reason for the disappear-ance of these F.B.I. and De-partment of Justice records and the disposition thereof. Key interviews are currently being conducted, the results of which are expected to be obtained shortly. Our investi-gation shows records had been mantained, however, these records are now miss-ing and have been since approximately July-October, 1971. Q. The court wants to

1971.
Q. The court wants to check for any electronic surveillance of Halperin back to Jan. 1, 1967. If there are no logs or records, why not?
A. We are checking our records back to 1967 and we records back to 1967 and we records back to 1967 and we formation as soon as it is available.
Q. If there were logs and records and they're gone, why and where are they?
A. See previous answer No. 8.