Texts of Documents Introduced in the Ellsberg Trial

NYT 5-11-71

Special to The New York Times LOS ANGELES, May 10-Following are the texts of a memorandum of William D. Ruckelshaus, Acting Director of the F.B.I., on electronic surveillance of Dr. Daniel Ellsberg; an F.B.I. report on an interview with Charles W. Colson, a former White House counsel, and a C.I.A. report released by the judge in the Pentagon papers trial:

Ruckelshaus Memo

Ruckelshaus Memo Attached hereto is a brief memorandum concerning the ongoing investigation of al-leged wiretaps possibly rele-vant to the Ellsberg case. My recommendation is that this memorandum be imme-diately filed with the court. May 9, 1973 Preliminary Report Con-cerning Ongoing Investiga-tion of Possible Wiretaps of Newsmen and Others. Shortly after assuming of-fice as Acting Director of the F.B.I., my attention was called to the newspaper al-legation that F.B.I. personnel had been wiretapping un-identified newsmen. I was also informed that a search of the F.B.I. records had not disclosed the existence of any such wiretaps. Neverthe-less, on May 4, 1973, I ini-tiated an investigation to in-terview present and retired F.B.I. personnel for the pur-pose of determining, if pos-sible, whether there had been any such taps.

A preliminary report which I received last night indicates that an F.B.I. employe re-calls that in late 1969 and early 1970 Mr. Ellsberg had been overheard talking from an electronic surveillance of Dr. Morton Halperin's resi-dence. It is this employe's recollection that the surveil-lance was of Dr. Halperin, and that Mr. Ellsberg was then a guest of Dr. Halperin. I have no information con-cerning the substance of the conversation, nor has the in-vestigation to date been able to find any record of such a conversation. The investiga-tion, of course, is not com-plete, and further facts bear-ing upon the wiretaps may be uncovered.

ing upon the wiretaps may be uncovered. Nevertheless, in view of the court's expressed desire for prompt information relat-ing to this matter, I am at this time giving you this pre-liminary report which may be relevant to the trial now in progress.

Colson Interview

Charles W. Colson, former special councel to the Presi-dent, was interviewed in the presence of his attorneys, David Shapiro and Judah Best, in their offices at 1735 New York Avenue, N. W., Washington, D. C.

Washington, D. C. Mr. Colson was advised he was being contacted at the request of the Department of Justice to detrmine if he could furnish iformation about an investigation conducted on behalf of the White House into the public disclosures of the Pentagon papers and specifically for information he may have about an alleged

burglary of the office of Dan-iel Ellsberg's psychiatrist by E. Howard Hunt and G. Gor-don Liddy during the course of that investigation. Mr. Colson voluntarily signed a waiver of rights. (FD 395) and advised as follows:

(FD 395) and advised as follows: Mr. Colson recalled attend-ing meetings in early July 1971, at the White House concerning the disclosures of the Pentagon papers and de-scribed those meetings as "kind of panic sesssions" to determine what was going on and trying to establish what was going to be pub-lished next by the newspa-pers and the accuracy of these publications. Mr. Colson indicated he was not involved in the White House investigation into the Pentagon papers disclosures but was engaged in the Government's litiga-tion to stop publication of the papers. He was engaged on an almost full-time basis at this time with the prepa-ration of the President's Aug.

15 economics decision ini-tiating Phase 1 of the price freeze.

freeze. When the Pentagon papers Were first published in The New York Times, there was a need in the White House for someone to do research and coordinate assignments involving investigation into the leak. Colson recalled he recommended Hunt, whom he had known for a period of years, and several other individuals for this assign-ment. Hunt was subsequently interview by John D. Ehrlich-man, former assistant to the President.

Later, Mr. Colson received a telephone call from Mr. Ehrlichman, who was then in Collifornia with the Durida Enrironman, who was then in California with the President, asking whether Hunt could be brought in and directing that he should be put to work on the investigation.

work on the investigation. Mr. Colson asked his staff secretary to process the nec-essary papers regarding the employment. Hunt was as-signed to Colson's staff for internal budget processingg only.

internal budget processingg only. Mr. Colson knew that the "plumbers" [publicly identi-fied as Egil Krogh Jr., David Young, Hunt and Liddy] were conducting a check for a personality profile of Daniel Ellsberg to determine what motivated him, what kind of "wild things" he might do. Mr. Colson said there was an enormous concern over leaks of sensitive information at the time.

No Advance Information

No Advance Information Mr. Colson had no discus-sion or advance information of the alleged burglary. He knew the plumbers were go-ing to the West Coast but did not know which of them would actually make the trip. He first heard about the alleged burglary sometime later at a meeting, and he could not recall the time of the meeting or who was pre-sent. He thought the meeting may have been a private one with Mr. Ehrlichman.

He believes Mr. Ehrlichman told him, he does not recall specifically, but he gained the impression from the conversa-tion that "they" tried to get the records of Ellsberg's psy-chiatrist and did not get them Ehrlichman told him this was a national security matter and not to be discussed with anyone. Mr. Colson never had any discussion of a burglary attempt on the home of Ells-berg's psychiatrist. Mr. Colson was asked if he had any other discussions with White House staff mem-bers about the burglary. He recalled in connection with the Watergate investigation and gave a deposition to Mr. Sil-bert of the United States At-torney's office. He asked John Dean, counsel to the Presi-dent, what to do if the Penta-gon papers question came up. Dean told him that if asked he was not to discuss the matter, inasmuch as it was a national security matter of the highest classification, and that he [Dean] would inter-rupt such questions if pres-ent. He recalled receiving the same instructions from Mr. Ehrlichman in late March or April, 1973. He never dis-cussed the burglary with Hunt or Liddy. Matter intervention in the intervention from the intervention in the intervention function in late March or April, 1973. He never dis-cussed the burglary with Hunt or Liddy.

A Chance Meeting Concerning a current newspaper story that Hunt reportedly tried to talk to Mr. Colson sometime later about the burglary, Colson recalled a chance meeting with Hunt one morning in his outer of-fice. Hunt was waiting for him, but Mr. Colson could not recall when the meeting took place.

not recall when the meeting took place. Hunt told him he was on his way to give a briefing on what "they" had learned about Ellsberg, and that he had about a half an hour before the briefing, and he wanted to talk to Colson about it. Colson told Hunt he did not have time to talk to him then, that he was in a hurry. Hunt did not try to broach the subject matter again.

again. Concerning the memoran-dum from Colson to Jon Huntsman dated Sept. 13,

1971, requesting in accord-ance with an earlier arrange-ment the reimbursement of Hunt for the following ex-penses: Air fares for two men from New York City to Washington, D.C., \$63; din-ner check, Miami — \$35.85; hotel bill for three men, Los Angeles—\$156.90, Colson ad-vised as follows: Colson furnished a copy of this memorandum from his own office files to the Fed-eral Bureau of Investigation in the summer of 1972 when he was asked about Hunt's travel. This was the first occasion on which he had seen the memorandum, and he did not know to what the entries on the memorandum related or the identity of the three men referred to in the memo. Colson never saw any of

memo. Colson never saw any of Hunt's vouchers or claims for reimbursement. These were initialed and submitted by Colson's secretary.

Concerning a recent news-paper story according to which Egil Krogh reportedly said he requested funds from Colson for implementing the effort to acquire information about Ellsberg, Colson could not recall Krogh asking him for money for the trip to California. He has a vague recollection

California. He has a vague recollection that at about that time Mr. Ehrlichman spoke to him about getting some funds, maybe for Krogh, but when he checked with Mr. Ehrlich-man later, Ehrlichman could not recall the request.

man later, thinking the request. not recall the request. From time to time Colson Saw memorandum prepared for the White House group working on the Pentagon papers investigation, but he saw no reports prepared by that group. The memoranda which were shown to him by Hunt because of Colson's general interest in the issue of the Pentagon papers general interest in the plumbers' unit and Hunt's analysis of the investigation. Colson saw nothing related by the plumbers was to Egil Krogh and David Young. Colson had no information ducted by that group. Colson had no information consults of investigation consults of one papers generated by the plumbers was to Egil Krogh and David Young. Colson had no information ducted by the group consults of investigation on behalf of the White House. **CI.A. Report**

Question: Did we have any her dealings with Liddy other



Dr. Daniel Ellsberg, right, and Anthony J. Russo Jr. talking to reporters in Los Angeles. They have asked that Judge William Matthew Byrne Jr. dismiss the Government's case.

while he was in the Treasury or the White House?

or the White House? Response: We did not have any prior dealings with George Gordon Liddy in an operation-al sense. Our records do re-flect, however, that in Decem-ber, 1969, security action was initiated to grant Liddy a number of agency special clearances in connection with his employment by the De-partment of the Treasury. At that time, Liddy was listed as a member of the Presidential task force reporting on nar-cotics, marijuana, and danger ous drugs. In August, 1971, Mr. George

cotics, marijuana, and danger ous drugs. In August, 1971, Mr. George Gordon Liddy was briefed on several additional sensitive programs in connection with his assignment to the White House staff. Memos prepared in August and September, 1971, indicate that Liddy was working with Mr. David R. Young to investigate leaks of classified information to the news media. Liddy was de-briefed of these special clear-ances on 22 February 1972. In effect, Mr. Liddy held agency clearances because of his White House duties, but he was not utilized by C.I.A. Question: H. More details are desired about the tape recorder and the miniature camera which apparently Hunt had secured from the agency sometime in August, 1971. Answer: All the details about the tape recorder are

sometime in August, 1971. Answer: All the details about the tape recorder are contained in Item G. On 25 August 1971 Mr. Hunt was furnished with a commercial Tessina camera disguised in a tobacco pouch. At Mr. Hunt's request, an unidenti-fied associate was also given support material and docu-ments. These items were pro-vided to him on the under-standing that they were re-quired in connection with his official duties.

The agency is not aware of the purpose for which these items were intended or used. The agency refused a request to assist actively by pro-viding a backstopped address and phone contact. On 27 August 1971 Mr. Hunt, on ar-rival from California, returned the concealed Tessina camera as unsuitable for his use. He requested that a roll of un-developed film be developed for him immediately. He was met later the same day and given the developed prints and film.

and film. At this point, the agency determined that Mr. Hunt's requests for agency support had escalated to an unaccept-able level, and no further agency contact with or assist-ance to Mr. Hunt of any sort occurred after August, 1971.

LIDDY > FELIPE DE DIEGO? SEE COL. 1