Nixon Panel Sent TV Poll Phony Ballots 4/26/73 By Carl Bernstein

By Carl Bernstein and Bob Woodward Washington Post Staff Writers

President Nixon's re-election committee rigged Metromedia television station WTTG's poll on the public's response to the President's decision to mine Haiphong harbor last year by sending in between 2,000 and 4,000 phony ballots to the station.

WTTG asked its viewers last May to send in a card indicating whether they agreed or disagreed with the mining decision and placed a sample ballot in newspaper ads. The final result of the poll as announced by the station was 5, 157 viewers agreeing with the President and 1,158 disagreeing.

One former Nixon committee official said yesterday that committee employes sent in at least 4,000 of the responses agreeing with the President, which would have completely distorted the poll's results.

Another official, DeVan L. Shumway, spokesman for the Nixon re-election committee, confirmed the effort but said the committee sent in only

See WATERGATE, A24, Col. 1

WATERGATE, From A1

"about 2,000 ballots and re-

James Dooley, the former head of the mail room at the Nixon committee, said in an interview yesterday that committee workers were sent to buy about 1,000 newspapers from which the ballots were clipped and sent to the television station. In addition, Dooley said, 2,000 postcards were filled out and mailed in, all showing total support of the President.

President.

"Work ground to a halt in the press office while everyone filled out 15 postcards," Dooley, 19, said. "Ten people worked for several days buying different kinds of stamps and postcards and getting different handwriting to fake the responses."

Asked to comment on the tampering with the poll, Ed Turner, news director for Metromedia, said yesterday: "I think its a most unfortunate abuse of an honest attempt to survey public opinion."

Nixon committee spokesman

shumway said: "When you're involved in an election, you do what you can. That type of voluntary poll is the most stackable type thing. We assumed the other side would do it also. On that assumption we proceeded. I don't know if the other side did."

Frank Mankiewicz, a top official in the Democratic presi-Sen. dential campaign of George McGovern (D-S.D.), said, "We didn't do it. It didn't occur to us. These guys (the Nixon committee) are some-thing. They assume we have the same sleazy ethics as theirs."

The Washington Post ported yesterday that the Nixon committee also conducted a campaign last May to distort the overall view of that the the American public's response to the mining. That effort included paying for telegrams supporting the President to be sent to the White House and the placing of a deceptive, apparently illegal, \$4,400 advertisement in The New York Times. The ad, which also supported the President's Haiphong mining decision and which criticized a Times editorial, had appeared to have been sent by a group

of citizens, rather than the re-election committee.
When WTTG reported the results of its Haiphong mining poll the week of May 15, the

station qualified the results by noting that it had received several thousand postcards that were of a suspicious nature becuase they had apparently been typed on the same type-writers and used the same phrasing in many cases.

Dooley, the former head of the Nixon committee mail room, said he had been told that the effort to distort the poll was made because cam-

paign officials said it was a le- ered at the committee headgitimate attempt to influence public opinion.


"But in my opinion," Dooley

quarters, so they shredded the newspapers.

said, "when you come out and tamper with a poll it is wrong and misguided. It upset a number of people."

After the WTTG ballots were clipped from the 1,000 according to Dooleys "But all the newspapers, including The Washington Post and The Everghredded as discording to Dooleys and ning Star were shredded as directed."

Without elaborating, Dooley said that "McCord was often fed up with what the press of-




Reuter

NAIROBI, Kenya, April 25—The Watergate scan-dal is giving the United States a good name—at least in Nairobi.

Readers of the Nairobi Daily Nation were told today:

It is going to be easy-to say that a corrupt system exists in the United exists in the United States. Yet . . . Americans have something to be proud of. They have a society in which scandals involving their chief executive are discussed in public. How many other countries can boast the same tradition?"



James Dooley, former head of the mail room at the Nixon re-election committee,

Photos by Tom Allen-The Washington Post said committee workers bought 1,000 newspapers and 2,000 postcards for poll.