Watergate Settlement Now Cloudy

By George Lardner and Jules Witcover Washington Post Staff Writers

Democratic National Chairman Robert S. Strauss said yesterday that any out-of-court settlement of Democratic litigation against the Committee to Re-Elect the President overthe Watergate break in was "a dead horse at the moment."

Instead, he said, a special fund-raising effort has been

launched to help pay the costs of pressing the \$6.4-million lawsuit for damages in last year's bugging of Democratic headquarters.

Strauss said the drive has raised \$75,000 in loans since President Nixon's announce-ment Tuesday evening of "major developments" in the

Watergate case.
"I can't begin to talk about settlement now," Strauss declared in a telephone interview. He acknowledged that he has come under heavy criticism from other Democrats since disclosure earlier this week of negotiations with the President's re-election commits the deep the lawsuit for tee to drop the lawsuit for \$525,000.

At the same time, one of the key figures in the Democratic lawsuit, R. Spencer Oliver, said he was still fearful that the case would be settled to

See SETTLE, A14 Col. 7

SETTLE, From Al

the detriment of a complete airing of the facts, and said he intends to file his own suit.

Oliver, whose dismissal as executive director of the Assoexecutive director of the Association of State Democratic Chairmen was decreed by Strauss last week, had the only phone at DNC headquarters that was actually tapped. Speaking out at a Capitol Hill press conference he blasted press conference, he blasted the DNC chairman for even considering a settlement. Oli-ver said it would destroy "an important forum which the truth about those can become

Strauss indicated compromise with the Republicans was close to fruition when reports of the bargaining surfaced. he said there was no final understanding, but "the parameters of the settlement were certainly agreed tlement were certainly agreed

Defending his initial inclina-



ROBERT S. STRAUSS ... money talks

tion to favor a compromise, Strauss said that the Demo-cratic National Committee was woefully short of cash when the dickering about an out-ofcourt settlement became serious several weeks ago.

"Our lawyers said they would need \$200,000 to \$250,000 to proceed and I had only enough money to get through the payroll," Strauss said. "We'd really been very unsuccessful in our fund-raising."

As a result, he said, found the prospect of a \$500,-000 bonanza instead of a \$250,-000 debt—"a shift of \$750,000" quite attractive.

The Democratic chairman said he recongized at the outset that any settlement would stir some protests from within the party. But he said preliminary checks he made with congressional Democratic leaders, several Democratic governors and "half of my executive committee" left him with the impression that a compromise would be acceptable.

He said the "vast majority" he said the "vast majority" of those he consulted agreed with him that the re-election committee's "payment in excess of \$500,000 would be taken by the American public as an admission of guilt in the Watergate case." (The offer of \$525,000 reportedly included \$25,000 for Oliver.)

Turning to his critics, which

Turning to his critics, which include a number of state Democratic chairmen, Strauss protested that they weren't coming up with any money to pursue the case while he was out laboring for contributions to the party.

"They never contributed a quarter to the fight," he said.
"Today, everybody is experting. But nobody was sending any money in."

Mr Nixon's Tuesday an-

Mr. Nixon's Tuesday announcement, Strauss said, helped change that The DNC chairman said he happened to

have arranged that same day for the help of Democratic fund-raiser Arnold M. Picker, a close friend and political ally of Sen. Edmund S. Muskie (D-Maine). Picker is a United Artist executive. With Picker's help, Strauss

said, the Democracts have managed to borrow \$75,000

within 48 hours.
Sheldon S. Cohen, general counsel for the DNC, began taking depositions in the case Wednesday.

Wednesday.
Oliver, meanwhile, said he believed Strauss' attempt to remove him was connected with the possibility of an out-of-court settlement of the suit, despite Strauss' denials of any such motive. such motive.

With himself out of the way, Oliver indicated, it would be easier for Strauss to have entered into an agreement. Oliver said that in the course of recent discussions, "it was suggested that a portion of that money would come to me an an effort to satisfy any claim might have for damages."

Though Strauss has been adamant about firing Oliver, there were indications yesterday that the state chairmen for whom Oliver has worked directly were rallying to his

On Tuesday, Robert Vance of Alabama, chairman of the state chairmen's group, said he would reluctantly go along with Strauss' demand that Oliver be dismissed, so that Strauss could have a man of his own choosing in the job.

But Oliver yesterday described his situation as "in abeyance".

A Democratic source said that the state chairmen were upset over Vance's apparent surrender to Strauss on the matter and had been pressuring Vance to backtrack.

possible solution, this source said, would be to pull the State Chairmen's Association out of the Democratic National Committee, or to set Oliver up in a separate office. As of now, the DNC pays the staff director's salary.