M'CORD REJECTED LENIENCY OFFERS

MAR 27 1973

Prosecutor Says He Refused Deals to Give Data and Face Lesser Charge NYTimes

By SEYMOUR M. HERSH Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, March 26-The chief prosecutor in the Watergate trial disclosed tonight that James W. McCord Jr., one of those convicted, had twice turned down government offers of reduced charges in return for becoming a state's witness in the case.

The prosecutor, Earl J. Silbert, principal assistant United States attorney, declared that he was baffled by McCord's decision to start talking now to the select Senate committee investigating the case. "I can't figure it out," Mr. Silbert said.

The first offer, Mr. Silbert said, was made in October and would have resulted in the dropping of seven of the eight charges against McCord - including wiretapping and burglary counts-in return for a guilty / plea to conspiracy charges and a promise to name all of the officials involved in the June 17 bugging of the Democratic National Committee headquarters in Washington.

Mr. Silbert, obviously stung by the growing criticism of his prosecution of the case, said that the October offer-conveyed to attorneys for McCord -had been conditional on his acceptance by early November, before the 1972 Presidential elections.

"We tried to break this case Continued on Page 36, Column 3

aide, Seymour Glanzer, said they were surprised when McCord turned down the October offer.

"We were ultimately willing to reduce the charges to conspiracy, reducing his ultimate exposure from a maximum of 40 years in prison to a maximum of 120 months in prison upon conviction of the conspiracy count.

Six of seven men indicted in the bugging case were sentencing of McCord after he indicated that he would supply the authorities with more information about the case.

"We gave him an offer he condidn't refuse," Mr. Silbert also noted that died the flow of transcriptions and logs stemming from the illegal telephone tap there. Both Mr. Silbert and Mr. Glanzer emphasized during the trial Judge Sirica repeatedly urged the defendants and their attorneys "to come to the mind their attorneys "to come to Highest in Hierarchy

The prosecutor explained that he and his colleagues had sinhal the and his colleagues had sinhal the and his colleagues had sinhal the added that McCord could have been component to the five men caught inside the Democratic headquarters, he obvious lyong the trial Judge Sirica repeatedly urged the defendants and legt the flow of transcriptions and logs stemming from the illegal telephone tap there.

Both Mr. Silbert also noted that deled the flow of transcriptions and logs stemming from the illegal telephone tap there.

Both Mr. Silbert also noted that flow of transcriptions and logs stemming from the illegal telephone tap there.

Both Mr. Silbert also noted that the defendants and their attorneys "to come to the five device and his colleagues had sinhal the archy."

Committee for the President with knowledge of the Democratic headquarters, he obvious ly was "the highest in the conspiration and trial to link other officials of the Committee for the Election of the Committee for the Relevance of the Committee for the Silvert was not evidence brought before the five men caught inside the Democratic headquarters, he obvious ly was "the highest in the conspiration and trial to link other officials of th

Continued From Page 1, Col. 2 open, within bounds of legal propriety, before the elections," the prosecutor said.

Both Mr. Silbert and his chief aide, Seymour Glanzer, said they were surprised when Mc-Cord turned down the October during the Accord turned down the October during the also noted that to accept it. A second prosecution offer, made in January was also rejected by gate trial had described Mc-Cord as the official who handled the flow of transcriptions.

75° 00T (MX POST) 8 NINCK)

> * DURING EIRST WEEK OF TRIAL MX POST 28 MAR