## White House Assails Ervin Arrest Threat

3/20/73

By Carroll Kilpatrick Washington Post Staff Writer

The White House reacted point where the Senate would sharply yesterday to Sen. Sam attempt to arrest an aide to the President. arrest of any White House arrest of any White House aides who refuse to testify before the select committee inpionage and the Watergate bugging case.

alism," said the real issue is

which Ervin heads and to supply information to it, Ziegler emphasized.

On Capitol Hill, Senate Minority Leader Hugh Scott (R-Pa.) said he did not believe the issue would reach the

"I do not believe it will hapvestigating alleged political es- ment on Sunday that "I'd recommend to the Senate they send the sergeant-at-arms of bugging case.

Press secretary Ronald L.

Ziegler, charging "sensation-ness who refuses to appear."

alism," said the real issue is not whether an aide testifies but whether the administration provides full information.

President Nixon has promabout executive privilege." President Nixon has promised to cooperate fully with the investigating committee which Erwin heads and to am about executive privilege."

Mr. Nixon has made it clear the will not permit White

See PRESIDENT, A6, Col. 5

Senators criticize agreement to permit only two cenators and two aides to see FBI's Watergate files.

Page A6.

## PRESIDENT, From A1

House counsel John W. Dean sional committees. III to testify before Ervin's committee.

Commenting further on Ervin's statement Scott told appear in person to answer reporters: "That's a small bit questions. of TV fustian. I can't imagine someone walking into the vin's statement sensation., White House and putting Liegler said he was not adhandcuffs on a White House dressing his comments "to any specific individual." There was commented the commentation of the results of the commentation o aide. The public reaction would be terribly adverse." When Ziegler was asked

what would happen if the Sensergeant-at-arms White tempted to arrest a White House aide, he replied that he did not know that would hap-pen and that he would not comment on Ervin's remarks.

Then he added that "sensational statements about forcing White House aides to testify should not obscure the central fact that the President will provide information consistent with" the President's responsibility to "uphold the doctrine of separation of pow-

Ziegler suggested that some critics have ignored the President's repeated offers to said he would support Ervin if

while declining to aides to testify before congres-

Ervin has said he would not be satisfied with written answers to his questions but would insist that people called

being discussed, however, in connection with an arrest procedure.

Information will be uphold the separation of powers," Ziegler said.

He declined to say whether the report Dean prepared for the President on the Watergate case would be made available to the committee. The press secretary said he did not know whether the report was made orally or in writing. He has declined to try to find out.

Byrd (D-W. Va) cooperate fully in providing he sought the arrest of a balky written answers to questions witness.

Before the sergeant-at-arms could be ordered to arrest a person who refused to testify, a vote of the Senate would be required and the warrant for the arrest would have to be signed by the president of the Senate.

That would not necessarily require the signature of Vice President Agnew, however. If he did not want to signit, he could ask the president pro tem. Sen. James O. Eastland (D-Miss.), to sign.

The first time the Senate sergeant-at-arms was sent out vided the select committee in to arrest a balky witness was a form "consistent with the responsibility to was in 1934, when William Mc-Cracken was taken into custody after refusing to answer questions and provide correspondence dealing with airmail contracts.

The warrant for McCracken's arrest was issued by the president of the Senate after a vote of the Senate, and he was ordered to testify. He refused and was sentenced to 10 days in jail.

McCracken appealed to the Supreme Court, where he lost his case, and then served the 10 days. He never testified.