Nixon-Congress Battle

President's Executive Privilege View Brings Issue Closer to the Crisis Stage

By JAMES M. NAUGHTOMAR 1 4 1973 NYTimes Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, March 13-The President and Congress are headed once again for an impasse over executive privilege, the unwritten doctrine under which the executive branch has kept secrets from the legislative branch since 1796.

No Congress in all that time

No Congress in all that time has had the nerve to go to court to test the President's assert-de right to with-Analysis hold some infor-mation from Con-gress. But the mood 'between the second Nixon Administration and the 93d Congress was acrimonious

93d Congress was acrimonious even before the President declared in a 1,000-word state-ment yesterday that it would be "inappropriate" for his ades, past or present, to be subject to Congressional questions.

As Mike Mansfield, the cau-tious Democratic leader, said today on the Senate floor, "the unstion of execution of the question of executive privilege may be approaching a crisis stage."

Legislation to set limits on use of executive privilege is pending in Congress. Two Sen-ate subcommittees will begin joint hearings next Monday on Administration policies on se-crecy. And the Senate Judiciary crecy. And the Senate Judiciary Committee voted unanimously this afternoon to try to ques-tion John W. Dean 3d, Mr. Nixon's White House counsel, about the fitness of L. Patrick Gray 3d to become permanent director of the Federal Bureau of Invortingtion of Investigation.

Nixon and Ervin Views

"No President could ever agree to allow the counsel to the President to go down and testify before a committee," Mr. Nixon said at his news conference March 1. His policy statement on executive privilege yesterday merely codified that attitude.

attitude. But Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr., Democrat of North Carolina, countered that Mr. Nixon's pol-icy on Mr. Dean's unavailabil-ity "represents the essence of the conflict." And Senator Mansfield said today that Mr. Nixon was seemingly trying to extend executive privilege to "cover too much territory."

George Washington refused in 1796 to tell Congress all about the Jay Treaty with Great Britain and virtually all Great Britain and virtually all of his successors have refused to give some information to the Senate or the House of Representatives. Congress has often objected and has occa-sionally confronted the White House, but it has never re-solved the issue. The problem now, according to Senator Ervin and others, mostly Democrats, is that while previous Presidents have with-

previous Presidents have with-held information from Congress, Mr. Nixon is withholding witnesses.

According to Mr. Nixon's declaration yesterday, the Pres-ident is not accountable for his use of his executive powers under the Constitution and it is therefore "equally inappropri-ate" to question his aides "for their roles are in effect an extension of the Presidency."

View Called Unfounded

Arthur Bestor, professor of history at the University of Washington, told a Congres-sional study conference last week that such an >ssertion of executive privilege was un-founded and that Congress would be well advised to dis-regard it. He theorized that Presidents had resorted to the custom of citing executive custom of citing executive privilege as a response to the Congressional use of investiga-

tive power. Similarly, Raoul Berger, the Charles Warren Senior Fellow of Harvard Law School, told the conference at the Capitol the conference at the Capitol that Congress had been "too bashful about asking for what belongs to you" and that when an official refused to testify Congress should "stop being sissy about it—just clap him in jail." No one in Congress has gone that for in congress has gone

that far in opposing President Nixon's use of executive priv-Nixon's use of executive priv-ilege. Senators Ervin and Mans-field concede that the President has a right to maintain con-fidentiality over his private discussions with intimate ad-visers. But they contend that the right does not extend to dealings between White House officials and third parties.

The Senate Judiciary Committee is asking to examine Mr. Dean not on his personal advice to the President but on his dealings with Mr. Gray during the bureau's investiga-tion of the Watergate case last vear.

'Testimony Last Year

The situation appears to be comparable to one that in-volved Sherman Adams, Presi-dent Eisenhower's closest aide, in 1958. Although General Eisen hower refused to allow the sen hower refused to allow the Senate to question Mr. Adams about his role in the Dixon-Yates power controversy of 1955, he permitted a House panel to interrogate Mr. Ad-ams three years later about his relationship with Bernard Gold-fine, the Boston industrialist. At the time, Mr. Nixon was Vice President. There is an even more recent precedent for the interrogation

There is an even more recent precedent for the interrogation of Mr. Dean. Last year, Mr. Nixon agreed to limited ques-tioning of Peter M. Flanigan, a White House aide, in Senate confirmation hearings on the nomination of Richard C. Kleindienst to be Attorney General.

The Constitution does not specifically give Congress the power to demand information, nor does it give the President

authortiy to deny information. Senator Ervin's solution is to try to write rules for the use of executive privilege. His proposal would require an Admin-istration official at least to show up, with a written excuse

istration official at least to istration official at least to show up, with a written excuse from the President, to claim the privilege when called before a Congressional committee. The committee would then judge the validity of the request. If it refused, it could insist on the information and, denied it any-way, could seek a citation for contempt of Congress. President Nixon is certain to veto such a proposal if Con-gress sends it to him, and en-actment of it over his veto would pose a constitutional is-sue for the courts to decide. No one on Capitol Hill appears to want matters to go that far. Senator Robert C. Byrd, Dem-ocrat of West Virginia, noted today that Mr. Nixon intended to apply executive privilege even to former White House aides. He said that, with Con-gress and the President already arguing over war powers and spending authority, the White House attitude would "only heighten the pitch of the battle. Senator Mansfield said he

Senator Mansfield said he Senator Mansfield said he would prefer to "reach an ac-commodation" with the Presi-dent over executive privilege. Senator Edmund S. Muskie, Democrat of Maine, said in a speech last night in Texas that "the best political medicine" to such constitutional disputes such constitutional was "compromise." disputes