NYTimes

OCT 2 6 1972

32

THE 1972 CAMPA Ziegler Denies an Article Linking Haldeman to Fund Angrily Rebukes Washington Post for Campaign Money Report-Official Gives 'Assurances' to MacGregor b) II

С

By ROBERT B. SEMPLE JR. Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Oct. 25-The White House, appearing increasingly restive about the so-called "corruption" issue in the presidential campaign, angrily denied today a news report linking President Nixon's chief of staff, H. R. Haldeman, with a "secret fund" allegedly used to underwrite a reported campaign of political espionage.

At his morning briefing, Ron-At his morning briefing, Ron-ald L. Ziegler, the White House press secretary, repeated a White House statement issued last night that the story was "untrue," and accused The Washington Post, which pub-lished the allegation, of "shab-by journalism" and "a blatant effort at character assassing effort at character assassination

tion." Simultaneously, at the Com-mittee for the Re-election of the President, the campaign di-rector, Clark MacGregor, said he had received "personal as-surances" from Mr. Haldeman that he had no authority to dis-MacGregor joined Mr. Zianlar in MacGregor joined Mr. Ziegler in



Associated Press Ronald L. Ziegler, White House press secretary, at news session yesterday.

attributing political motives to The Post's coverage of the espi-onage issue, accusing that paper of "operating in close philo-sophical and strategic cooper-ation" with the McGovern cam-paign paign.

The two statements repre-sented the first flat, official denial of any of the recent al-legations printed in The wash-ington Post and other news-papers and magazines linking officials close to the President with the alleged espinage campaign and the "fund" used to finance it.

No Denials Before Mr. Ziegler's previous tactic has been to denounce the charges and the newspaper that has printed most of them, The Post without explicitly denying the allegations. He has denounced but has not denied, for example allegations linking for example, allegations linking the espionage campaign with Dwight Chapin, a junior White House aide who is close to both the President and Mr. Haldeman

Mr. Ziegler's explanation as to why the Administration had decided to intensify its counter-attack was explicit: the charg-es, he said, were simply untrue and required rebuttal.

and required rebuttal. It's just gone too far," he said in the course of an un-usually passionate and at times bitter attack on The Post that included, again for the first time, a criticism of The Post's executive editor, Benjamin Bradlee. Mr. Ziegler said it would not be difficult to es-ablish that Mr. Bradlee was "not a supporter of the presi-dent." Mr. Bradlee had no comment

Mr. Bradlee had no comment on Mr. Ziegler's charges about him. As for Mr. Ziegler's other charges, he said: "We stand by our story." The vigor of the Administra-tion's counterstand

tion's counterattack suggested to some observers, however, that Mr. Nixon's strategists and perhaps even the President him-self were growing increasingly concerned about the cumulative impact of the articles and had decided that strong counter-

measures were required to prevent erosion in Mr. Nixon's lead over his challenger, Senator George McGovern of South Dakota.

McGovern Uses Issue

In addition, Mr. McGovern himself has shown no reluc-tance to accept the accuracy of the charges and to use them, with increasing frequency, in his campaign speeches. His tel-evision address tonight dealt largely with the corruption and

largely with the corruption and espionage issue. In its article this morning The Post said that Mr. Halde-man was one of several key officials with authority to ap-prove payments from a "secret" fund. The paper identified its sources as "Federal investiga-tore" none of whom it named tors"—none of whom it named —as well as "accounts of sworn

-as well as "accounts of sworn teatimony before a grand jury" given earlier by Hugh W. Sloan Jr., former treasurer of the Nixon campaign. The paper did not, however, say at any point that Mr. Haldeman had in fact author-ized disbursements from the "fund" for purposes of sabot-age or espionage. It asserted

that such a fund, existed and that he, had, partial, authority over it. Mr. Ziegler sought to rebut The Post on several specific points. He said Mr. Haldeman had never had "access" to such a fund and that in fact such a a fund and that, in fact, such a fund never existed.

"I'm told that there is no secret fund," he said at one point, adding later, "Mr. Dean informed me that there was no secret fund." The Mr. Dean to whom the

press secretary referred is John W. Dean 3d, the White House counsel who conducted an internal investigation at the President's request following the arrest of several persons em-ployed by the re-election cam-paign in the Watergate offices of the Democratic National Committee.

After the investigation, Mr. After the investigation, int. Nixon told a news conference that he was satisified that no one "presently employed" in the White House was involved

in the Watergate bugging. On Aug. 26, the General Ac-counting Office, the investe gative arm of Congress, dis-closed that the Nixon camclosed that the Nixon cam-paign organization maintained a cash fund of \$350,000 and kept it in a safe in the office of Maruice H. Stans, finance chairman of the re-election committee. That fund quickly became known in newspaper shorthand as a "secret" fund that, according to The Post, "financed an apparently un-precedented spying and saboprecedented spying and sabo-tage campaign."

tage campaign." Mr. Ziegler further asserted that Mr. Sloan's attorney had denied that Mr. Sloan, whose sworn testimony was cited by The Post as one of the sources of its article had differences on the sources of its article, had given such testimony to the grand jury. In a related development today, John Joinca, the Chief Judge of the United States Dis-trict Court here, denied most of the motions filed on behalf of the seven men indicted in the Watergate break-in.

Change of Venue Denied

The judge denied several motions to dismiss the indict-

motions to dismiss the indict-ment against E. Howard Hunt and G. Gordon Liddy and a motion on behalf of all seven to have the trial moved from Washington. William O. Bittman, the de-fense lawyer for Mr. Hunt, ar-gued that the publicity on the case was so intense in the cap-ital that it would be impos-sible to pick an impartial jury. Judge Sirica, however, said that he would not grant the change of venue unless it be-came apparent during the quescame apparent during the quescame apparent during the ques-tioning of prospective jurors that they could not be fair. Earl J. Silbert, the assistant United States attorney and chief prosecutor in the case, estimated that the trial might last from two to three weeks and would be narrowly focused on the bugging of the Demoon the bugging of the Demo-cratic headquarters.