Washington Post \$100,000 Gift to Nixon Campaign Is Traced to Texas Corporation

By Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein

Washington Post Staff Writers The FBI has established that \$100,000 given to President Nixon's campaign-a donation that surfaced during the Watergate investigation—originally came from a corporate bank account in Houston.

Campaign contributions by corporations are illegal. FBI sources said this week that this contribution was so well disguised that it is virtually impossible to prove wrongdoing.

The \$100,000 came from the First City National Bank account of the Gulf Re-sources and Chemical Corp. on April 3.

At the time, Gulf Re-ources' major subsidiary, an Idaho mining operation, sources' was under pressure by the Federal Environmental Protection Agency to correct extensive water and air pollution problems. Since then the pressure has diminished.

The \$100,000 contribution came to light when it was learned that \$89,000 of it, in the form of four Mexican's cashier's checks, was deposited in the bank account of Bernard L. Barker, one of the five men seized in the Watergate raid on the Demheadquarters June ocrats' 17.

The money has been traced from Gulf Resources the Nixon re-election mittee in a series of to committee four complicated steps. Government law enforcement sources, in describing the

nance chairman of the Nixon re-election capaign, personally approved the se-cret transfer of the funds through Mexico, according to a confidential report prepared by the House Banking and Currency Committee

staff last month. "The entire transaction in-volves the payment of an apparently inflated fee to a Mexican lawyer through the tribution disclosure law took in Kellogg, Idaho. c o m p a n y 's subsidiary-- effect. Bunker Hill had \$86 mil-

WATERGATE, From A1

ness for two years. We'll never figure it out and it's the hardest kind of case to prove," one law enforcement. official said.

Richard Haynes, attorney for Gulf Resources, said all the transactions involving the \$100,000 were perfectly. proper and include no illegal activity.

Haynes confirmed the findings of the FBI, in which the money reportedly moved in these steps:

1. Gulf Resources, whose president, Robert H. Allen, the chief Nixon fundis raiser in Texas, transferred \$100,000 on April 3 from its corporate account to its subsidiary in Mexico called Compania de Azufre Vera-cruz, S.A. (CAVSA), a sulfur company.

(CAVSA discontinued operations in December, 1969, and Gulf Resources took a \$12,688,000 tax write off that year because the business became inactive according to records at the Securities and Exchange Commission. The subsidiary, maintains adm however. administrative personnel in Mexico City for financial transactions.)

2. CAVSA then turned the \$100,000 over to Manuel Ogarrio Daguerre, a Mexico City attorney, saying it was payment for legal services.

FBI sources said they strongly suspect the legal fee was inflated. Haynes, the Gulf Resources attorney, ernment law enforcement did not deny this, but noted sources, in describing the that it would be "nearly improcedure, said it is similar possible to penetrate" be-to that used by organized cause the reason for such crime leaders to conceal se. fees is protected by the con-fidentiality of the attorney-Maurice H. Stans, the fi-

3. Ogarrio, or a represent-ative; then converted \$89,000 3. Ugarlo, ative, then converted \$89,000 of this money to four cash-ier's, checks and \$11,000 to cash. The \$100,000 was then back to Texas and be-on March 29, five days be-the transfer began,

whom have not been pups liely revealed, then became the contributors to the Nixon committee.

Haynes, the Gulf Resources lawyer, said as a result of these transactions-the \$100,000 was "not a corporate contribution or one from a Mexican national."

He said the money was not a compaign contribution when it reached Ogarrio. "Then if it is his dough, he can do anything with it," when Haynes said.

Government sources said the four Mexican cashier's checks were later deposited in the bank account of Barker, one of the Watergate suspects, to avoid the federal gift tax. On \$89,000, the gift tax would be about \$6,300, according to an Internal Revenue Service spokesman.

"It had nothing to do with the financing of the Watergate," one knowledgeable Republican source said. He said the checks were con-verted to cash so the \$89,000 could be divided into separate contributions of \$3,000 or less, the maximum that can be given free of gift tax.

The government sources said that G. Gordon Liddy, at the time the finance counsel to the Nixon com-mittee, had Barker cash the checks so they could never be traced as campaign contributions by IRS.

Barker, a Miami real es-tate, agent with extensive. CIA contacts, and Liddy, a former White House aide and FBI agent, are two of the seven men who were in-dicted Sept. 15 in the Wa-tergate bugging incident.

In the the weeks before ne \$100,000 transfer of the funds from Gulf Resources, environmental problems oner air and water pollution

came part of \$700,000 in fore, the transfer began, Nixon contributions that EPA informed Bunker Hill were rushed to Washington that it would impose stiffer in an oil executive's suitcase air pollution control standin an oil executive's suitcase air pollution control stand-on April 5, just two days be- ards on the company's lead fore a stricter campaign con- and zinc mining operation

which has been out of busi-See WATERGATE, A36, Col. 1 nationals are illegal. Ogar-Patman 'concerned' over judge's gag order in Water-gate case. Page A33. Donations by foreign lion in sales last year Ray Least on paper-relations for the firm, said last week that "the survival \$100,000 to several taxans of the company could be de-These persons, the names of termined by the decision on

air pollution standards."

Bunker Hill's position the EPA has improved considerably since the transfer of funds from Gulf Re-

sources to Mexico. First, no stiffer air pollution standards have yet been imposed

imposed. Second, the EPA has recently reversed an earlier

decision and will not sue Bunker Hill on water pollution, according to the EPA regional general counsel.

On April 18, when a suit was still threatened, Bunker Hill signed a consent agreement with Idaho, adopting the state's water pollution control standards.

At least one EPA official, Leonard A. Miller, director of enforcement in the Northwestern states region, criticized the Idaho standards, calling them insufficient.

Ted Rogowski, general counsel for EPA in the region, said EPA officials were unaware of the Bunker Hill-Idaho agreement when it was made. "Goddamn, what's going on!" Rogowski said was the general reaction when EPA representatives learned of the agreement.

Nevertheless, Rogowski said, EPA will not press for, stronger water standards. "Within two weeks we'll agree . . . we're on the verge of agreement for quite the same (water standards) as the state," he told a reporter last week. Asked why EPA seemed

Asked why EPA seemed satisfied with the relaxed standards, Rogowski said: "They are a good company. They are spending more money, millions of dollars to correct the problems."

Miller, head of the enforcement division of EPA said that Bunker Hill "is one of the most significant water polluters in the area," discharging an average of 93 per cent of all zinc and lead found in the Coeur d'Alene River.

This makes the river "very, very, very toxic," killing all the fish in it and bringing the levels of zinc in the river to the point where the water becomes unsafe to drink, according to Miller. He said Bunker Hill discharges 12,000 pounds of zinc in the river each day.

The U.S. Attorney for Idano, Sidney E. Smith, was contacted last week by telephone to inquire why the proposed water pollution suit against Bunker Hill was dropped. Smith said he would check his file and call back. He never did, and four separate attempts to reach him again were unsuccessful.

The stiffer air pollution control standards proposed or March 29 have not been imposed on Bunker Hill.

SEE MISO WX POST 4 NOV 72