

Offices of Democrats NYTimes

By ROBERT M. SMITH Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Aug. 1 Two Federal agencies are inspecting the financial records of the major Republican cam-paign organization, the Com-mittee for the Re-Election of the President.

The Office of Federal Elections-a new watchdog agency within the General Accounting Office-today searched the financial-disclosure reports of the committee to determine whether it had violated the Federal Election Campaign Act. And the Federal Bureau of Investigation has purchased from the Office of Federal Elections copies of 250 pages of material filed by the reelection committee. The F.B.I., under its normal practice, refused to comment on the investigation.

Link to Break-in Studied

Both inquiries are apparently in part connected wit the question of whether Republican campaign funds may have been diverted to the alleged ringleader of the break-in at Democratic national headquarters here in mid-June.

The office of Federal Elections began its investigation following the disclosure by The Washington Post today that a \$25,000 cashier's check apparently intended for President Nixon's re-election campaign had been deposited in the Miami bank account of Bernard L. Barker, one of five men arrested during the break-in.

Kenneth H. Dahlberg, the Midwest finance chairman for Republicans, said today the that he had personally given Maurice H. Stans, the chief Republican fund-raiser, the cashier's check for \$25,000.

In a telephone interview, Mr. Dahlberg said that he had no idea how the check had gotten into the trust account of Barker Associates, Inc., in the Republic National Bank. 'I gave it to Maurice Stans," he said, 'and that's absolutely the end

of it as far as I knew." Mr. Dahlberg, a Minneapo-Continued on Page 17, Column 1

Continued From Page 1, Col. 5 lis businessman, said that about a month ago two agents of the F.B.I. had asked him about the check. One of the questions the agents asked him, he said, was whether anyone had seen him give the check to Mr. Stans. He said that he had told them he, did not know. Mr. Stans could not be reached today. His secretary

said he was traveling and was "a very busy man" who was "tightly scheduled," with "ap-

Tightly scheduled," with "ap-pointments every hour." There were indications that Mr. Stans had also been inter-viewed by the F.B.I. Asked if that was so, one Federal offi-cial said, "You can assume that all likely witnesses are being contacted."

Devan L. Shumway, press spokesman for the Committee for the Re-Election of the President, refused to answer ques-tions about the check or any other aspect of possible con-nections between the committee and the break-in.

Mr. Shumway would only read the following statement issued on behalf of Clark Mac-Gregor, Mr. Nixon's campaign director:

"This entire matter is under thorough investigation by Fed-eral authorities, an investiga-tion that has the full and com-plete cooperation of the Committee for the Re-Election of the President. In light of these facts and the nature of the investigation, I do not believe would be proper to make fur-ther comment."

The question of Mr. Dahl-berg's check came up at the White House briefing this morning. Ronald L. Ziegler, the

President's press secretary, said, "no comment." In the interview, Mr. Dahl-berg explained that he lives in Boca Raton, Fla., during the winter and had collected cash there from some contributors to the Republican re-election to the effort.

He said that all the money had been collected by April 7, the deadline for campaign con-tributions that would not have to be reported under the new Federal law regulating cam-paign finances.

paign finances. Istayed in Boca Raton over the weekend," he said, "and not wanting to carry the cash into Washington, I elected to convert it to a cashier's check at the closest bank." The cash-ier's check bears the date





d Press Kenneth H. Dahlberg, left, Midwest finance chairman for the Republicans, said he personally gave a cashier's check for \$25,000 to Maurice H. Stans, right, the chief party fund-raiser.

April 10.

Handed Over in Hotel "The next morning," Mr Dahlberg continued, "I attend-ed a' meeting 'of the finance committee and gave it to Mau-rice Stans." He said he en-dorsed the check and handed it Mr. Stans during a coffee dorsed the check and handed it Mr. Stans during a coffee break at the meeting held in the Washington Hilton Hotel. Mr. Dahlberg said that he did not know whether anyone had seen him give Mr. Stans the check. "The F.B.I. asked the same question," he remarked. Mr. Dahlberg said he was be-ing open with the press, as well as with the F.B.I., because "I didn't do anything wrong." The check was deposited by

Mr. Barker on April 20. That was the same day that Mr. Barker deposited four Mexican checks totaling \$89,000.

The \$25,000 check bore only Mr. Dahlberg's endorsement, not Mr. Baker's. Banking authorities in Florida characterize the omission of the second en-

dorsement as highly irregular. Four days later Mr. Baker withdrew \$25,000 in the form of a check made out to himself

and dated April 21. When Mr. Barker and four other men were arrested inside Democratic headquarters, they Democratic headquarters, they had electronic bugging devices, photographic copying equip-ment and a substantial amount of money that included 53 \$100 bills. Through the serial num-bers, Federal investigators iden-tified the \$100 bills as part of \$89,000 withdrawn from the bank in early May by Mr. Barker. Barker.

Speculation on Checks

The involvement of the Mexi-can bank drafts—issued in the name of Manuel Ogarrio Da-

guerre, a Mexico City lawyer-has given rise to speculation here that some Republican officials may have been trying to make it impossible to trace campaign contributions back to the donors.

the donors. Assuming that Mr. Dahlberg's memory of the dates involved is correct, officials at the General Accounting Office said there appeared to have been at least two and perhaps three violations of the Federal Elec-

tion Campaign Act. Phillip S. Hughes, director of the Office of Federal Elections, the Office of Federal Elections, said, "Regardless of when some guy out in the field collects a lot of miscellaneous cash, the date he turned it in to the committee is the governing date for the required reporting. If it was April 10, then the law was in effect and they were required to report it to us when as far as we can tell they did not." In addition, Mr. Hughes said,

when as lat as the short of the did not." In addition, Mr. Hughes said, the financial filings of the Com-mittee for Re-election of the President also failed to dis-close the expenditure or trans-fer of any amount that would fit the facts surrounding the \$25,000. "That would be a separate violation, if they did that," he said. Finally, Mr. Hughes said, his investigators are checking to see if Mr. Dahlberg's check con-

investigators are checking to see if Mr. Dahlberg's check con-The maximum penalty for tributor. The maximum penalty for each violation proved would be one year in prison and a \$1,000 fime, or both. In the meantime, Joseph F. Califano Jr., counsel to the Democratic National Commit-tee, told reporters at a break-fast here that the Democratis plan to make their third re-quest for appointment of a spe-