

A6 Court Ruling Doesn't Affect irrent Wiretaps, Levi Says

THE WASHINGTON POST

By Ronald Kessler

Thursday, June 26, 1975

rently in use by the Justice Department in national secur-or foreign security cases, as ity cases do not violate this distinguished from criminal security and foreign intelliweek's Court of Appeals ruling narrowing the grounds on which such telephone taps can be installed, Attorney General Edward H. Levi said yesterday.

In a luncheon meeting with reporters, and in a letter to tion in foreign security cases. Sen. Edward M. Kennedy (D-This week's ruling by the Mass.), Levi said the department's present policy is in line with the holding of the court.

The government has long headquarters of the cases.

orders are required for wiretaps in domestic security cases. not acting in collaboration The decision left open the with a foreign power." question of court authoriza-This week's ruling by the U.S. appeals court here said a warrant must be obtained before a wiretap can be installed on a domestic group which is not an agent of a foreign power or a collaborator of a foreign power.

This left open the question of whether court approval would be required to wiretap a group or individual suspected of spying on the United States or of being a foreign agent. However, the court said its reasoning could be applied to all foreign security wire-taps conducted without court

Jewish Washington Post Staff Writer Warrantless wiretaps cur-ently in use by the Justice with a court order in domestic cases. However, the Supreme Court directed at an individual or three years ago said court organization that is neither a nagent of a foreign power

While a Justice Department spokesman said yesterday that no decision has been made on whether to appeal the court ruling, Levi told reporters, "The Department of Justice is only in the case as a lawyer for [former Attorney General John N.] Mitchell and nine FBI agents, in their official and private capacity. They may or may not wish to appeal." Levi added, "I doubt if we

would wish to argue with the holding in the case. But what do you do with an opinion of a judge that wanders all over th emap and . . . suggests that the Department of Justice taps conducted without court order. Commenting on the recent decision, which involved a wiretap on the New York way."