Defense Denies APR 1 1 1975

Wide Wiretaps

By George Lardner Jr. Washington Post Staff Writer

The Defense said yestreday that only five weeks ago.) of its agencies are authorized "under strictly controlled conditions" to engage in wiretap- of defense for administration, ping and electronic eavesdrop-

In a two-page statement, the Pentagon denied that the Pentagon.

"The inquiry," Cook then added in the affidavit, tation put on a series of affidavits made public Wednesday by the American Civil Liberties Union.

which had The affidavits, been prompted by a recent-ly concluded court-martial ly concluded court-martial in West Germany, suggested that more than 20 federal agencies—including as many as 16 in the Defense Depart-ment—were engaged in electronic surveillance either here or abroad.

In response to those reports, Sen. Edward M. Kennedy (D-Mass.), asked for an immediate report from the Justice Department on the precise extent of the practice by government agencies.

He pointed out that former Attorney General William B. Saxbe, in congressional testimony last May, had said that he knew of no wiretaps being carried out, in this country at least by any agency but the least, by any agency but the

The affidavits in question were filed in connection with the court-martial of Lt. Matthew Carroll in an effort to determine whether he or his lawyers had ever been the target of electronic surveil-The affidavits in question

The responses were filed on behalf of more than 20 government agencies—ranging from the Defense Mapping Agency to the Secret Service—who said that a check of their records turned up no such evidence on surveillance (The National Security Agency "has dence on surveillance. (The National Security Agency "has charges against Carroll, who a signals intelligence mission had been accused of refusing which is to produce foreign into obey an order to cut his telligence information only."

Department hair, were dropped about two

The most extensive affidavit was filed by David O. Cooke, the deputy assistant secretary who said he had caused a search of the records of 16

"encompassed a search of the records of all electronic surveillance conducted by the Department of Defense on national security grounds, criminal ground, and pursuant to counterintelligence needs."

The records of 'each of the referenced agencies" showed

referenced agencies" no electronic surveillanc of Carroll or his lawyers, Cook concluded, attaching a list of the agencies that had been checked.

The Pentagon said yester-day, however, that this did not mean that all the agencies engaged in electronic surveil-lance. "Whenever the Department of Defense is required to respond to a court inquiry whether it has engaged in electronic surveillance of a particular person, all Defense ment said, without elaborating on why this is done for agencies that do not engage in the practice.