WXPost APR 1.0 1975 Wiretapping by 20 U.S. Agencies **Revealed** in Military Court Data

Use Wiretaps, **Records Show**

By George Lardner Jr. Washington Post Staff Writer More than 20 federal agencies engage in electronic sur-veillance either here or veillance either abroad, court records indicate.

According to affidavits prompted by a recently con-cluded military court martial in West Germany, the agencies range from the Defense Mapping Agency and the administrative services section of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to several divisions within the Internal Revenue Service.

Electronic surveillance could include telephone taps, the interception of face-to-face conversations without the knowledge of any of the participants or the use of informers secretly wired for sound.

"The affidavits show that each of these agencies engages or has engaged in wiretap-ping," declared John H. F. Shattuck, national staff counsel for the American Civil Lib-erties Union. "Whether that wiretapping was with or without a warrant is not entirely clear. On their face, the affidavits

See TAP, A6, Col. 1

TAP, From A1

left open the possibility that some of the surveillance was the work of informers secretly outfitted with tape recorders, but Shattuck said he doubted it. He said the affidavits were produced under provisions of law calling for the disclosure of communications that have been intercepted without the consent of any participant.

The documents were made public by officials of the ACLU, whose lawyers, including Shattuck, helped represent tive services section of the the Army officer involved in the court martial. The charges against the officer were dropped about two weeks ago.

case, however, the military judge, Maj. Dennis Hunt, required a broad check of fed-eral agencies to determine surveillance electronic of

national security, criminal c a s e s, ("counter-intelligence cases, "counter-intelligence U.S. Army Unin needs," and other unspecified tion Command. purposes.

0

had to be checked:

The FBI, the Postal Inspection Service, the IRS Intelligence Division and the IRS Inspection Service's Internal Security Division, the Drug En- all records of electronic surforcement Administration, the Secret Service, and the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and found nothing "directed at Firearms.

Also, the Naval Investigative Service, the administra- Lt. Matthew Carroll, or his Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Defense Intelligence Agency, the Defense Mapping Agency, the Defense Nuclear Agency, the During the course of that Defense Security Assistance Agency, the Defense Supply Agency, the Defense Civil Preparedness Agency, the Defense Advanced Research Projwhether the defendant or his ects Agency, the Defense attorneys had been the target Communications Agency and the Defense, Contracting Audit

The check produced no sign of any such bugging, but the affidavits indicated that a broad array of government agencies, both military and ci-intelligence, U.S. Army, vilian, engage in electronic surveillance for the sake of national security, criminal U.S. U.S. Army, Europe, and the U.S. Army Criminal Investiga-

The list was rounded out by ington office.

The documents showed the the Department of the Air following agencies with elec- Force, the Defense Investigatronic surveillance files that tive Service and the National Security Agency.

> A typical affidavit was that of Postal Inspector A. O. Peffer, who said he had supervisory custody and control "of veillance conducted by the Postal Inspection Service" and premises owned, leased or licensed" by the Army officer, lawyers.

Carroll, who was defended by the ACLU's Lawyers Military Defense Committee, was charged with refusing to obey an order to cut his hair.

ACLU officials charged that the affidavits conflicted with General Wilthen Attorney liam B. Saxbe's assurances to a Senate Foreign Relations subcommittee last year steady progress in mak 01 making steady progress in making sure "that no American citizen can be wiretapped any place in the world without the ap-proval of the Attorney Gen-eral of the United States."

"It's just one more case of one more Attorney General lying to the American people, asserted Charles Morgan Jr. director of the ACLU's Wash-