NYTimes

2 LAWYERS DECRY SNOOPING BY U.S.

FEB 7 1975 Eavesdropping Is Described at a Judiciary Hearing as 'Almost Beyond Control' NYTimes

By JAMES M. NAUGHTON

WASHINGTON, Feb. 6-Representatives of the American Civil Liberties Union told a House subcommittee today that Government eavesdropping on citizens under the guise of national security had gone "al-most beyond control."

John H. P. Shattuck, national staff counsel to the A.C.L.U., and Leon Friedman, a professor of law at Hofstra University, urged the Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on Civil Liberties to approve legislation that would prohibit surveillance of Americans without a court order that was based on probable cause a crime might be committed.

Citing documents from civil. liberties lawsuits in which they had, been involved, the two lawyers contended that existing law offered little or no protection against such surveillance activities as the following:

The wiretapping, without prior court approval, of an unidentified person and group from 1942 to 1967 by the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

¶The interception of tele-phone conversations between lawyers and their clients by the

F.B.I. and Army agent overseas. **GA** plan outlined in F.B.I. files, but apparently never car-ried out, to "disrupt and con-fuse" the Black Panther party in San Francisco by feeding it false information false information.

false information. The activities were do-cumented in 17 sets of court papers that Mr. Shattuck and Mr. Friedman submitted to the committee. Nearly all of the in-formation had been disclosed previously, but Mr. Shattuck contended that it was a "very small tip of the iceberg" of Fe-deral surveillance activities not expressly banned by law or court rulings.

High Court Ruling

In 1972, the Supreme Court ruled that domestic security wiretaps could not be installed without court warrants, but the ruling did not prohibit electro-nic surveillance to obtain "foreign intelligence." Mr. Shatuck and Mr. Fried-man contended today that Fe-deral agencies had continued to

deral agencies had continued to deral agencies had continued to conduct surveillance of civi-lians under the guise of foreign intelligence purposes that could not be justified in lawsuits joined by the A.C.L.U. Referring to the wiretap that went on for 25 years, Mr. Fried-man said, "People grew up and died and had babies and this wiretap continued all this time."

The testimony was given at the first of the subcommittee's hearings on measures intended to curtail Government surveillance.

The subcommittee's chair-man, Representative Robert W. Kastenmeier, Democrat of Wis-consin, said in an opening statement that wiretapping without court order "could form the cornerstone of a fu-ture police state." He also said that 13 measures would be exa-mined by the panel to seek some legislative remedy against surveillance abuses. The subcommittee's

Closing Loopholes one measure, prohibiting surveillance of citizens for any surveillance of citizens for any reason without a court order, was outlined to the subcommit-tee by Senator Charles M.C. Mathias Jr., Republican of Ohio. They said it would close loop-holes that no wpmreit agencies to open mail, enter private homes, install eavesdropping devices and obtain telephone, credit and other private records without a showing of possible criminal wrongdoing. Several subcommittee mem-bers e xpressed misgivings

Several subcommittee mem-bers e xpressed misgivings about the proposal. Representa-tive Robert F. Drinan, Demo-crat of Massachusetts, said he was concerned that "the fact of the matter is Federal judges virtually never deny requests" for wiretap authority. Representative Charles E. Wiggins, Republican of Califor-nia, said the measure might take away judicial flexibility to

KMARYLAND

SURV

decide when surveillance was warranted.

Asked whether he would support the bill, Mr. Friedman said it was "not the whole answer" but that "anything is better than the anarchy now."

In a related development, the new Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Operations adopted rules requiring strict confidentiality for its inquiry into the conduct of Federal intelligence agencies.

Senator Frank Church, Democrat of Idaho who is chairman

of the committee,

said that any staff

any staff members who disclosed confidential information acquired in the inquiry would be dismissed. Mr. Church also said the Sen-

ate panel would cooperate with a comparable committee being organized in the House, even to the point of holding some joint theories on percent to divide the point of holding some joint hearings or agreeing to divide responsibility for various pha-ses of the investigation if both panels thought it wise to do so. The Senate committee unani-mously approved the appoint-ment of William G. Miller, a 43-year-old former Foreign Service office and Congressional adde

office and Congressional aide, as staff director.