Ervin Disputes Pentagon on Spying Curb

WASHINGTON, April 10—Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr. and a Defense Department official sharply disagreed today over the need for legislation to prevent a recurrence of military spying on civilians, a practice that resulted in the compiling of military files on an estimated 100,000 Americans in the late nineteen-sixties.

regulation, there is no one in a position to say 'no.'"

D. O. Cooke, Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense, said that the proposed legislation, rence M. Baskir, Mr. Cooke sai that the Defense Department had engaged in six surveillance operation was "ongoing," with infiltrators being used to keep track of a group of civilians and servicemen advocating sabotage.

Yesterday, David E. McGiffert, former Under Secretary of the late nineteen-sixties.

The disagreement came on the second and final day of hearings before the Senate Subcommittee on Constitutional Rights, which is headed by Senator which is headed by Senator Ervin. The subcommittee is The subcommittee is considering a bill, introduced by the North Carolina Democrat and co-sponsored by 34 other Senators, to prohibit military surveillance and the gathering of information on "the secure of such "purpose of such "prohibit the conducting of security clearances and prevent the Army Corps of Engineers in terms of the military's discussion of the prohibit military such as a such prohibit military such as a such prohibit military such as a considering a bill, introduced onal Record, ering of information on "the beliefs, associations or political activities" of anyone not in the armed forces. Such spying

would be punished if the bill becomes law, by a \$10,000 fine and two years in jail.

The defense Department ended its widespread civilian surveillance operation with a directive in March, 1971, from Melyin R. Laird, then the Section would be punished if the bill senator Ervin, in question-response to domestic violence. A staff member of the sub-pentagon official of throwing accused the Pentagon official of throwing would be drafted in about a month. The bill is expected to be similar to Senator Ervin's most interesting thing I've read objections, the aide said. Melvin R. Laird, then the Sec-

retary of Defense.

Misgivings Remain

In his opening statement yesterday, Senator Ervin said that the Defense Department by its directive, had made "a good faith and apparently successful effort to get itself out of the business of spying on civilians." While acknowledging that the Pentagon had destroyed "most" Pentagon had destroyed "most" of the intelligence reports reports on civilians, the Senators said he had "misgivings" about the department's "regulatory scheme."

Senator Frvin said that he be-

Senator Ervin said that he believed a law was needed be-cause "if the Defense Depart-ment should decide to invoke qualifications and exceptions or, even worse, violate its own

gence.

'Numerous Flaws' Cited

pact statements.

Because of such "numerous flaws" in the bill, Mr. Cooke said the department "must record our unqualified opposition to S. 2318."

Separtor Errin in question turbance McGiffert of local "physical access rou were the response to the second secon

Yesterday, David E. McGiffert, former Under Secretary of the Army in the Johnson Administration, cast doubt on the

tion gathered is useless in terms of the military's disturbance responsibilities." Mr. McGiffert said that the names of local officials and the "physical information" of access routes and bivouac areas were the main needs for quick response to domestic violence.