C.I.A. Rebuffs Request in Trial For Data on a Hughes Burglary NYTimes

NYTIMES Special to The New York Times JAN 6 1976 LOS ANGELES, Jan. 5—The Hughes document detailing the Central Intelligence Agency re-fused today to produce in court Los Angeles police expressed any information regarding the mysterious burglary of Howard R. Hughes's headquarters in 1974. 1974.

1974. The information had been sought by Donald R. Wool-bright, who faces trial in con-records were necessary for the records were necessary for the defense. He also sought records the offices at 7000 Romaine Street. Mr. Woolbright is Street. Mr. Woolbright is charged with attempting to ex-tort \$1 million from Mr. Hug-hes's Summa Corporation for the return of two footlockers with the billionaire's confiden-tial files, reportedly stolen on June 5, 1974, by four unknown safecrackers. ters. Mr. Woolbright's attorney todef the court that the C.I.A records were necessary for the records were necessary for the defense. He also sought records from the Federal Bureau of Investigation regarding the bur-request but had not yet decided how it would respond. The Hughes organization re-fused to pay the million-dollar extortion demand in 1974,

safecrackers. Assistant United States At Assistant United States At-torney Dominick Rubalcava told Superior Judge Earl Rilev that the C.I.A. would not yield up information subpoe-naed by Mr. Woolbright's attor-ney Mr. Kubalcava offered no explanation for the refusal. Sought Documents

We Woolbright had sought We Woolbright had sought "all notes, letters, memoranda, internal, reports, tape record-ings' relating to the "alleged burglary" and to "security mea-sures" on documents involving the C.I.A vessel Giomar Explo-ren.

Summa served as a front for the intelligence agency in a covert attempt by the Glomar Explorer to recover a Soviet sunken nuclear submarine from the Pacific in 1974 Summa summer nuclear submarine from the Pacific in 1974. Summa posed as owner of the Glomar, a sophisticated electronics equipped vessel, and announced that the ship would engage in private ocean mining. This C.I.A. cover was exposed by the burglary, in which a sed

ters.

how it would respond. The Hughes organization re-fused to pay the million-dollar extortion demand in 1974, which was voiced in a series of telephone calls after the burglary

burglary.