HE NEW YORK TIMES, THURSDAY, MAY 29, 197!

HUGHES GETS BILL

day that he had 1975 assessment against the subject to ship, which last year attempted for the C.I.A. to raise a sunken Soviet submarine from three miles down in the Pacific Glomar Explorer, but got a reply that did not address itself to the question.

When the Glomar Explorer was buil tin 1973 Summa Cor-poration announced that it had been paiR for by Mr. Hughes as a private sea mining venture

as a private sea mining venture to retrieve valuable mineral nodules from the ocean floor.

Last March, however, William E. Colby, the C.I.A.'s director, privately acknowledged to a number of newspapers that Mr. Hughes had served as a "cover" for a C.I.A. attempt to retrieve a nuclear armed Soviet submarine that sank 700 miles northwest of Hawaii.

Gover:ment sources asserted

Gover:ment sources asserted

at that time that the Glomar had been paid for with Federal funds, unofficially estimated at \$350-million.

MAY 2 9 1975

Los Angeles County Levies

an Assessment on Ship.

350-million.

Mr. Ntson said that he had appraised the Glomar Explorer at \$105-million and levied the tax bill against Mr. Hughes's Summa Corporation after trying without success to establish

And Assessment on Ship

NYTIMES

Special to The New York Times

LOS ANGELES, May 28—The refusal of Howard Hughes and the Central Intelligence Agency to disclose who owns the ship Glomar Explorer has brought Mr. Hughes's Summa Corporation at tax bill for \$3,119,865.

Philip Watson, the Los Angeles County Assessor, said today that he had levied that 1975 assessment against the ship, which last year attempted for the C.I.A. to raise a sunken Summa Corporation after trying without success to establish the vessel's true owni.

The assessor said that he had dagger" visits from men who said that they represented the C.I.A., but carried no C.I.A. on the ground that the ship was owned by the Government, but that they declined to document this contention. Government property is not subject Lo county taxes.

Mr. Watson said that he had written the Summa Corporation

to the question.

"They just said that the vessel was registered in Delaware, which doesn't affect tax liability if it is based in Long Beach," the assessor said.
"Summa Corporation is the registered owner of the ship, so I've sent Summa the tax so I've sent Summa the tax bill."