## CIA

## WXPost MAR 251975 The Washington Merry-Go-Round

THE WASHINGTON POST Tweeday, March 25, 1975 C21 

## Press Forgets Prime Responsibility

## **By Jack Anderson** and Les Whitten

There have been signs of late that the press-battle-scarred from its jousts with two Presi-dents and shaken by the enormity of its victory over them-has begun to wear a hair shirt.

The older blather about "re-sponsibility" to keep secrets instead of exploding abuses has begun to creep back into press parlance.

The old pre-Watergate, pre-Vietnam ideals of partnership with government, of cozy inti-macy with the high and mighty, macy with the high and mighty, of a camaraderie of secrets shared by this peerage but kept from the public, begins to ap-peal once more to a press con-cerned that its abrasive suc-cesses have earhed it a bad name and a hostile reception.

At such time, we reporters need a reminder that we exist not to lie down with the lions but to fend them off, to cause the turmoil by which the free sys-tem cleanses and energizes itself.

The story of the Central Intelligence Agency-Howard Hughes Gomar Explorer is just such a reminder. Some of the nation's top news organizations knew about the abortive attempt to salvage an 18-year-old Soviet sub that sank to the bottom of the Pacific.

They chose, for reasons weighty and altruistic, not to re-veal it. We made the opposite choice.

Certainly, there are legiti-mate secrets that ought not to be revealed. Sometimes, the right of the public to know and the press to print should be voluntarily subordinated to the interests of national security or the safety of endangered individuals.

his predecessors. Last May, we less-in a gamble to recover an

your stories so as to protect sig-nificant and still continuing intelligence sources." But this time. Colby's argu-

ments for secrecy were not com-pelling. It was hardly conceiva-ble that the Glomar expedition was still a secret from Soviet intelligence.

Thousands of people in our government and industry had played some part in it over a played some part in it over a seven-year span; some of them were leaking it out; newsmen were asking questions; a ring of thieves and blackmailers had broken into the Hughes offices in Hollywood and had stolen documents describing the Glo-mar operation; and on Fab 8

mar operation; and on Feb. 8, 1975, the Los Angeles Times had published key elements of the story.

So the Russians knew. We knew they knew. They knew we knew they knew. But, as Colby told us, it would be "rubbing their noses in it" to let the American people know.

What was at stake in publishing, then, was not national secu-rity but international etiquette, not American secrets but Soviet face, not the sabotage of a sec ond Glomar mission but the ruf-fling of Russian tail feathers if

we should go ahead with it. These are considerations not to be mocked, but we hold them to be insufficient reasons for renewing the dread precedent of cutting off the news—the wind-pipe of the American system.

All right. If there is no com-pelling reason to suppress, is there a public need to know a story that might inconvenience the conduct of our diplomacy? In the past, we have been We think so. An estimated \$350 million was spent outside of the CIA Director William Colby and legitimate appropriations proc-We think so. An estimated \$350 million was spent outside of the

received a letter from Colby archaic diesel sub, obsolete Committee, Stuart Symington missiles and outdated codes. "Please let me express my appreciation and that of the seum piece would have been of Agency for your recent coopera-tion on the wording of one of your stories so as to protect sig-

could have financed the down, payment on 100,000 new homes? Was it a national necessity or was it an admiral's toy? Until the story was published, these questions were not being asked. Now they will be asked by Sen. Frank Church (D-Idaho) as part of his inquiry into CIA operations.

The Glomar incident confirms again that congressional oversight of costly and provocative CIA operations has been a bad joke—such a bad joke that the second-most-senior member of the Senate Armed Services \*1975, United Feature Syndicate the

press. The late President Harry Tru-man, who founded the CIA, be-gan to grow uneasy about it in his later years. In 1963, he wrote for the North American News-paper Alliance: "We have grown up as a na-tion respected for our free in-

tion, respected for our free institutions and for our ability to maintain a free and open society. There is something about the way the CIA has been functioning that is casting a shadow over our historic position, and I

feel that we need to correct it."

1