## CIA THE NEW YORK TIMES, FRI. NYTimes MAR 1 4 1975 C.I.A. Link to Hughes Reported Disclosed by

## By JAMES PHELAN

Special to The New York Times LOS ANGELES, March 13— Safecrackers who looted How-ard Hughes's Romaine Street headquarters here last year got documents that disclosed relations between the Central Intel-ligence Agency and Mr. Hug-hes's Summa Corporation, ac-cording to sources connected with the investigation.

with the investigation. Details of the burglary and the trend of the investigation have been pieced together through interviews with a num-ber of sources familiar with the situation. The sources in-clude people in the Hughes operation, local and Federal investigators and private people directly involved in the investigations. According to these sources

According to these sources, Government officials learned of this security breach when the safecracker tried to blackmail the Hughes organization for \$1-million.

\$1-million. The extortion attempt and a later effort by law enforce-ment officers to buy back the stolen documents for \$1-million both failed, and the confidential documents; described as filling two footlockers, are believed to be still in the hands of the burglars.

Grand Jury Inquiry

Grand Jury Inquiry Loss of Mr. Hughes's con-fidential files was disclosed on Feb. 9, after a Hughes security agent confirmed that he had received a telephoned demand for the money from a man who described himself as an "intermediary" for the four-man burglary team. The burgla-ry is being investigated by a Los Angeles County grand jury. The sources also said that "Romaine," as the operations headquarters at 7020 Romaine Street is known throughout the

headquarters at 7020 Romaine Street is known throughout the Hughes empire; was never ap-proved by the Defense Depart-ment as a repository for classi-fied documents. Mr. Hughes's Summa Corporation and his Hughes Aircraft Company have defore contracts running into defense contracts running into the hundreds of millions of

the hundreus of the Ro-dollars. "We never inspected the Ro-maine Street building's security system, because the building was never designated as a repo-sitory for classified material," said Dan O'Connor, public af-fairs officer for the Defense Contract Administration Servi-Contract Administration Servi-

ces. The agency must approve the type of safes in which sensitive documents are kept, the kinds of locks on th the security alarms and the guard system, D.C.A.S. agents then inspect the building every three months to make sure that proper security is being maintained.

## Guard Was Surprised

When the four burglars loot-ed the Romaine Street building

shortly after midnight last June 5, only one guard and one other Hughes employe were on duty law enforcemt sourcessa-

other Hughes employe were on duty, 1aw enforcemt sourcssa-d According to the init ial police report, the guard was surprised by a gunman while on patrol outside the building and forced to admit the safe-crackers. During a four-hour foray, between 12:45 A.M. and 4:45 A.M., the burglars burned open two safes with acetylene torches and rifled files and desks.

desks. On July 29, a Hughes agent On July 29, a Hughes agent received a phone call attempt-ing to extort \$1-million from Mr. Hughes, who is one of the nations's wealthiest men. The burglars sought to sell back the stolen files in two installments of \$500,000 each. The negotiations were taken over by Ralph Winte, head of the Hughes organization's West Coast internal security division. After a series of telephone con-

After a series of telephone con-versations, which were tape-recorded on the Hughes end, the extortion demand was re-fused. Hughes officials rea-soned that the material would probably be photocopied by the burglars for further extortion demands. After a series of telephone con-

## **Hughes Aides Questioned**

The loss of the billionaire's files caused consternation in his organization, because Mr. Hughes has a penchant for se-crecy in even routine business transaction. Hughes officials have speculated privately that have speculated privately that the burglary was an "inside job," and number of Hughes

job," and number of Hughes employes have been subjected to lie detector tests. Among the data that the burglars boasted they had sto-len were documents discussing an arrangement between the C.I.A. and Mr. Hughes's Summa Corporation. Reliable sources familiar with the investigation say that at least one document taken was a memorandum ex-plaining to Mr. Hughes in detail the relationship that would exthe relationship that would ex-ist between his corporation and the C.I.A.

Summa Corporation is a successor to the Hughes Tool Com-pany as the top holding compa-ny for the billionaire's proper-ties. He is the sole stockholder

in the corporation. The sources gave the follow-ing account of what happened after the extortion attempt failed:

An automobile salesman named Donald R. Woolbright approached a television script writer here and told him that he had access to the stolen Hughes files. Mr. Woolbright told the writer that the burglars

told the writer that the burglars wanted to sell the documents which Mr. Woolbright de-scribed as "politically explo-sive;" to some publication out-side the United States. The writer, who asked that he not be identified, says he made one telephone call to the New York office of Der Spiegel, a West German maga-zine He then consulted his attorney, the writer said, and

DAY, MARCH 14, 1975 Burglary on Coas

was advised to inform local dollars in Federal funds." Law enforcement officials about Law enforcement officials say that the plan was kspt secret, because the case "involved the nationllal seccity at the highest level."

He said that Mr. Woolbright told him that the burglary had been staged "on co ission" by four men from St. Louis. Mr. ley, head of the Federal Bureau Woolbright has an extensive of Investigation, William Sulli-police record on charges that include burglary, possession of burglars' tools and receiving stolen property. The writer said that he had Department.

stolen property. The writer said that he had given, Mr. Woolbright \$4,000, which was intended to pur-chase one file from the bur-glarS. Soon, the writer said Mr. Woolbright broke off con-tact with him and Around September, local law enforcement officials set up a plan to buy back the stolen Hughes files with what law officials describe as "a million" Davis of the Los Angeles Police Department. Chief Davis has declined to Department. Chief Davis has declined to discuss the case, because it i before a Los Angeles County grand jury. A Los Angeles F.B.I. spokes-man said only, "We looked into the burglary at the outset gating it." When asked about the report

Police Make Complaint

that "national security" is in-Mr. Woolbright was, at his volved in the theft, he said home at 7734 Glassport Avenue "We will not respond to that question." Los Angeles.

Police Make Complaint Police officers charge that Hughes officials gave them,lit-Hughes officials gave them,lit-Mr. and Mrs. James Breese, last Oct. 29, some two months after the television writer went to a police source, Mr. Hughes's long-time personal secretary, Nadine Henley, was asked to take a telephone call from an "intermediary" for the burglars so they could assure themselves that they were dealing with a high Hughes official Instead, the police source said, Miss Henley went to a party. Other aspects of the police familiar with the case. At the time law enforcement officials were trying to re-establish contact with the burg-lars with a million-dollar bait, for questioning. He vanished He and his wife sold the

from Canoga Park in early November. Informed that Mr. Woolbright had begun hunting for him, Chief Davis said, "We have a lot of crime here, and we are understaffed."

A Hughes spokesman, ques-tioned about the Romaine bur-glary, said, "We will not com-ment on any aspects of this matter matter.