small a sum-for \$8 million is virtually the Chilean government. President game-could have caused the fall of nothing in the modern intelligence mony. It is hardly thinkable that so zation" of the regime. The implication was that the CIA arranged the coup Allende. The letter said the funds were ernment of Chilean President Salvador opposition to the Popular Front govand subversion. suage this suspicion. will have to go out of his way to asto heal the country, President Ford Joseph Kraft was not used by Mr. Colby in his testiused in order to achieve the "destabilified that the agency spent \$8 million leaked to the press. The letter purgrew out of a letter written by Rep. Michael Harrington (D-Mass.) and the present stir over Chile. The case in making and unmaking foreign govasked at all times about the CIA. The of national security. If he truly wants really about that agency or that coun-Intelligence Agency in Chile is not which overthrew Allende last year. between 1970 and 1973 to help the the present director of the CIA. House subcommittee by William Colby, ported to summarize testimony to a general suspicion of the instruments try. It emerges chiefly from a deep irst engages the role of the agency In fact, the 'word "destabilization" That issue seems to be central to According to the letter, Colby testi-The fuss over the role of the Central Two major questions ought to be hile, the CIA and National Securi WXPost ed the Chilean coup tee that the United States had fomenthigh officials—including Secretary of State Henry Kissinger and former CIA Director Richard Helms — denied in wishes of the Nixon administration. letter in July, wrote to Rep. Harrington at that time, saying he saw nothing Fulbright (D-Ark.), who received the the Senate Foreign Relations Committestimony before various elements of keeping Congress informed. Several in Chile the CIA was obedient to the ed leadership in the White House and the Congress. Everybody agrees that The second big question turns on the responsiveness of the CIA to the electnew in it. in the Chilean coup. Indeed, Foreign Relations Committee Chairman J. W. the CIA did not play a significant role democratic newspapers and political most informed sources also say-that Ford be in this sort of murky business that leaders. It is as clear as it can ever the money was used only to sustain What is in doubt is the question of murky business that the CIA did not play a "It is as clear as it can ever be in this sort of said at his news conference what significant role in the Chile coup." SEP 1 9 1974 THE WASHINGTON Many intelligent and well-meaning people have come to believe that the other instruments of national security about the operation of the CIA and in other words, Messrs. Kissinger and Helms and the others were operating whole apparatus of national security is for covering up common crimes. "national security" as the justification Watergate case, Fresident Nixon and those around him invoked the term country were systematically deceived war, the Congress and much of the years does. Throughout the Vietnam within established guidelines. policy. Over and over again in to the Foreign Relaions Committee, Congress but to a special watchdog committee. Even if they did not tell Chilean case do not justify the fuss. the strict truth about such operations of the agency are not revealed to the the general atmosphere of the past few regular legislative committees of the traditional that black bag operations to have been accurate. Moreover, it is However, if the particulars of the Technically, those statements appear POST, the THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER account of those divisions. He will have to try to understand the other side. Otherwise, he will end up, as his two predecessors did, limping out of the pardoning of President Nixon. The administration theory was that the curse would be taken off the pardon by the amnesty for Vietnam war rethe White House. President Ford is going to have to take try is seriously and deeply divided on dodgers. relatively trivial issue of the draft. rested on deep general doubts about realize that the opposition to Vietnam sisters. Mr. Ford evidently did not fundamental issues of national security. tered into the blunder committed national security stand the depths of the doubts about national security." plement foreign policy and to protect actions in the intelligence field to imother governments, does take certain of the 1950s: "Our government, like ference Monday night, he gave a nanational security. Thus when ques tioned about Chile at his news con-Unfortunately, he seems not to underhe will have to soften these feelings has called the long national nightmare, Chile have excited such attention. apparent improprieties of the CIA in mate and improper. That is why the bogus—a cover for something illegiti-The point of all this is that the coun-The same lack of understanding en-If President Ford is to end what he actions-not on the in

ALA

© 1974, Field Enterprises, Inc.