By William E. Colby

of the State Department. assistant to the intelligence director C.I.A. for fourteen years as a Soviet-The following article is adapted from a speech that William E. Colby, Direc-John D. Marks, an analyst and staff sistant to the deputy director, and military specialist and executive as-CIA and the Cult of Intelligence," by cil. In it, he alludes to the book "The the Los Angeles World Affairs Countor of Central Intelligence, gave before Victor Marchetti, who worked for the •

he acknowledged that he would be currently engaged in the courts in an hold it secret unless we released it. receiving information and agreed to when he came to work with us. In it effort to enforce the secrecy agreement that one of our ex-employes signed The Central Intelligence Agency is

names of a number of foreigners, publicity which could do substantial to prevent the publication of the injury to individuals who once put classified. We are struggling, however, we initially identified as technically cluding about half of the items that book he proposed to write, even intheir confidence in us, We are not objecting to most of a

some cases, publication of the fact of our knowledge of a situation can be of major assistance to another nation exposure could prevent our receipt of details of specific operations where further information of great value. In Similarly, we hope to withhold the

The C.I.A. and the Public

in deducing how we must have learned of it and shutting us off from it.

or operations. been careful not to reveal our sources criticism in which the authors have cleared several such books full of our ex-employes' opinions. We have I might add that we do not censor

to our country. when it could be of critical importance struggle is that if we cannot protect our sources and methods, friendly foreign officials and individuals will be less forthcoming with us in the future, The most serious aspect of this

to covenants, or "open intelligence openly arrived at." a variation of the Wilsonian approach ington's maxim could be replaced by has ever believed that George Wash-No serious intelligence professional

Senate confirmation. gress. Some of my foreign counter-parts around the world display considerable shock when they learn that intelligence is our relationship to Conthe television cameras as a part of my appeared in an open hearing before Another unique aspect of American

parliaments, and their identities are frequently unknown. jected to detailed scrutiny by their Many of them would never be sub-

country had an intelligence service. vealing the startling fact that their den-hardly a closed society-for rejournalists were prosecuted in Swe-Some months ago, for example, two

it is subject to oversight by the Conpropriated annually by Congress. gress, and it depends upon funds apthority stems from an act of Congress, In our country our intelligence au-

> and the demands of our open society. way of resolving the dilemma between the need for secrecy in intelligence Congress has provided for itself a

Central Intelligence Agency or review its budgets are fully informed of our to any questions they raise. given detailed and specific answers activities, inspect us at will, and are designated to exercise oversight of the

is of course subject to change. ment-was established by Congress and intelligence activities. This arrangequently receive the same intelligence assessments of the world situation as provided the operational details of our on a classified basis, but they are not are provided to the executive branch, gressmen and other committees fre-

sight of intelligence activity is a matby which Congress exercises its over-My own position is that the method

questions about the nature of Amerischool students, have visited our faciliwe are not in the public-information ties, where we try to respond to their Groups of our citizens, including highcan intelligence,

tion are aware that ours must be an Thus we in the intelligence profes-

Those Senators and Congressmen

Other individual Senators and Con-

ter for Congress to decide.

telligence in this open society, I might conduct a public-relations program; the news media and to the public. information as possible available to business. But we do make as much the public and the press. We do not say something about our relations with As a related aspect of American in-

intelligence effort conducted on Amer-

other nations. lic than the intelligence activities more open and responsive to our pubican principles and that it must

of

of our foreign associates as to our openness, and concern among some tinue to arouse wonderment from some methods. We will consequently conto protect our intelligence sources and the essential professional requirement embodied in the National Security Act At the same time, we must respect

at all. ties and the public are three major exposure to our constitutional authoritual process of assessment, and our are to conduct an intelligence effort the intelligence profession. contributions America has made American citizens that we still must keep some information secret, if we Technical intelligence, the intellec-

ф

openly available throughout the world ever, of concealing the fact that intelyears. telligence profession in the last twenty have certainly revolutionized the inour study and assessment of material tivity. Our technical intelligence and ligence still requires clandestine ac-I do not want to be accused, how-

about it or to protect ourselves. as open as ours, too late to negotiate threat, especially from a nation not aware of a new and overpowering nation, so that we do not become which might threaten the safety of our search abroad into some new weapon need to identify at an early stage repowers. They have not removed the needs of our national policymakers for information on the intentions of other But they have not removed the

