CIA

THE NEW YORK TIMES, TUESDAY, APRIL 2, 1974

Judge Backs Publishing of C.I.A. Book If 27 of 339 Sought Deletions Are Made APR 2 1974 NYTIMES Knopf, Inc., of New York, said

By LESLEY OELSNER By The Associated Press WASHINGTON, April 1—A judge has ruled that a contro-versial manuscript about the Central Intelligence Agency may be published if the authors and publisher delete 27 items. The Government demanded 330 Knopf, Inc., of New York, said they planned to appeal. "It leaves open a lot of First Amendment issues," Floyd Abrams, the lawyer for Knopf, said today. Melvin L. Wulf of the Ameri-resenting Mr. Marchetti and his co-author, John Marks, a for-

and publisher delete 27 items. The Government demanded 339 deletions. Judge Albert V. Bryan Jr. of the United States District Court in Alexandria, Va., thus reject-ed to a large degree the Gov-publications would injure the national defense. He based his decision partly on the guaran-tees of the First Amendment, In and the states of the first Amendment, the Source of the Size of the Si

tees of the First Amendment, saying that these should not be left to the "whim" of a Government official. **'Secrecy' Contract** However, he rejected the con-tention of the authors and pub-lishers that the First Amend-ment protected them against **Classification system.** Irwin Goldbloom, a Justice Department attorney who rep resente dthe Government, said that, while a decision to appea was up to the Solicitor Gen eral, it was likely that the de-partment would both appeal and ask for a stay of Judge Bryan's ruling pending that ap-peal.

tention of the authors and pub-lishers that the First Amend-ment protected them against any deletions. He thus relied on a decision he made in 1972 in the case-substantially upheld by the court of Appeals—supporting the Government's right to re-view the manuscript before publication. One of the authors, Victor Marchetti, is a former C.I.A. of-ficial, and Judge Bryan had ruled that Mr. Marchetti's right to write about the agency was governed by a "secrecy" con-tract he signed when he joined the agency. While calling Judge Bryan's latest ruling a substantial vic-ory, lawyers for the authors, and the publisher, Alfred A.

Under the previous rulings in

provide evidence that there had been the type of "affirmative action" envisioned by the Ex-ecutive order that describes t

"Although this is here denie by them, the decision as to ea item here in question by an individual deputy director seems to have been made on an ad hoc basis as he viewed the ad hoc basis as he viewed the ad hoc basis as he viewed the manuscript, founded on his be-lief at that time that a particuh lar item contained classifiable information which ought to be classified," the judge said.

'Public Domain'