CIA May Scrap Airline It Doesn't Need

Plan to Sell Southern Air Transport Stirs Controversy

By Jack Egan Washington Post Staff Writer

The Central Intelligence Agency appears to be changing its mind about selling an airline it no longer seems to need and may decide to liquidate it instead.

Opposition by competitor airlines, some strong congressional pressure and a spate of press stories seem to have caused the publicity-shy spy agency to back off from its attempt to spin off Southern Air Transport and reconstitute it as a legitimate business enterprise.

In the past ten days, Southern Air Transport—which is the subject of an extremely secret change of ownership pro-ceeding at the Civil Aeronautics Board—has turned down a lucrative Air Force contract and announced it will not press to renew valuable flight authority in another CAB hearing.

"The CIA is not interested in getting too public," according to one congressional ing to one congressional source close to the intrigue, and the affair so far has been "saddled with too much fuss and fanfare."

Earlier this year Southern Air Transport (not connected in any way with Southern Airlines, a scheduled carrier) bid successfully for a \$3.8 million share of a \$38.3 million Air Force contract for domestic cargo service.

The award, however, was challenged by two other supplemental or charter airlines who previously had the so-called Logair contracts to themselves. They claimed that Southern was government-controlled, pointing toward the CIA and that it was "fundamentally unfair that a taxpaying privately owned company should be compelled to bid against another firm . . . actually supported by government funds."

The General Accounting Office, the congressional watch-dog agency responsible for reviewing bid challenges, skirted the factual issue of who actually owns Southern Air Transest existed.

But three weeks after the favorable ruling, Southern informed the Air Force it was no longer interested. "They gave no explanantion, they just withdrew," said Col. John Miller, chief of the Air Force's contract management division.

At the same time that the bid challenge was being exmined by GAO, Stanley G. Williams, president and chief executive officer of Southern, was trying to get CAB permission to buy out his partnerstwo former government officials—and gain 100 per cent control of the airline for \$5.1 million.

But three supplemental airlines feared potential competition from an airline with some valuable operating authority and one that would now no longer merely be a front operation. They challneged the ownership transfer on the same grounds: that Southern was "owned and controlled by the United States govern-ment" since 1960.

The airlines claimed that Southern had received heavy government subsidies through Air America, a Southeast Asia operation frequently linked in the past to the CIA. The airlines further claimed that the government had in efnationalized fect Southern when it was acquired and that under the Federal Aviation Act, it could not be validly certificated. Therefore, there was no certificate to transfer, they argued.

No decision on the ownership change is expected for several months. However, Southern at the end of last week informed a separate CAB hearing looking into renewal of international authority for charter airlines that Southern would no longer "prosecute its applications," in effect giving up its valuable transpacific and Caribbean operating rights.

That was the authority the competitor airlines were most concerned about.

In effect this leaves the airport and decided in early Au- line only with domestic cargo fense Department cargo.

Attorneys for the challenging airlines indicate that Southern Air Transport had approached each of them several weeks ago offering both to turn down the Air Force contract and to let the CAB operating rights lapse if the airlines in turn would drop their objections to the change in ownership title. The air-lines contend they either ig-nored the offer or rejected it outright.

It appears, however, that Southern has unilaterally gone ahead with the steps it offered—hoping to either mitigate the opposition before the CAB or, as some attorneys interpret it, to prepare for a liquidation of the airline. They note that the airline would have a hard time making a profit if it has given up its pacity.

A n o

the CIA is a strong possibility. pressure.

gust that no conflict of inter- and passenger authority, and He explained that Southern worldwide rights to carry De- had sought the Air Force contract as a way of tiding the airline over in its transition to a private enterprise operation, and in order to facilitate getting financing for the airline.

"In order to get credit you need business," he said, "and an Air Force contract is just a form of credit."

He pointed out that strong congressional pressure top-ranking House and Senate members with close ties to both the CIA and Defense Department had been brought to get Southern Air Transport to back off from its Air Force contract.

He added, however, that the Air Force was interested in keeping Southern Air Transport in operation, not as a favor to the CIA but because it had valuable aircraft ca-

Air Transport were available for comment on the moves too eager in bidding for yesterday. too eager in bidding for the Air Force work and therefore According to a congressional source, liquidation by lines, which caused all the