'HE NEW YORK TIMES, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 1970

Fortune Magazine Fights Subpoena of

By PETER KIHSS

whose sales eace a year. In Washington, the depart-ment said that a letter by At-torney General John N. Mit-chell had been mailed yester-day to James Shepley, presi-dent of Time, Inc., Fortune's parent concern, expressing re-gret that the subpoena "may the subject of any the subject of any state of the subject of the s parent concern, expressing re-parent that the subpoena "may misunderstanding or implied any lack of concern of the freedom and independence the press." Mr. Mitchell re-affirmed an intent to negotiate such issues.

him, had turned over the tapes to the Justice Department. Through his lawyers, Mr. Ling had indicated willingness to have the department get the other material. The subpoena, dated last Oct. 2, was served in connection with a civil suit filed by the Mitta a civil suit filed by the Antitrust Division in Federal Court in Pittsburgh last April. cept for wording he would In this, the Federal agency is seeking to force Ling-Temco-Vought, Inc., the conglomerate, to divest itself of control of Corporation, which it had ac-kind divest itself of control of Corporation, which it had ac-kind divest itself of control of Corporation, which it had ac-kind divest itself of control of Corporation, which it had ac-kind of immediate or potential

quired by investing more than 'antitrust problem.'" \$425-million in 1968. In a letter Jan. 14,

Another Justice Department subpoena for confidential jour-nalistic files became known yesterday. In this, the Antitrust Division has sought materials dealing with a Fortune maga-zine interview with James J. Ling, head of a conglomerate whose sales exceed \$3-billion a year. In Washington, the depart.

such issues. The subpoena had been pro-tested by Mr. Shepley and Dan-iel Seligman, senior staff editor of Time Inc., as a threat to freedom to search out news, undermining off-the-record re-lationships between newsmen and their sources. But it also became known here that Mr. Ling, to whom Fortune had given the tapes of two day-long interviews with him, had turned over the tapes to the Justice Department official was understood to have visited its headquarters and to have obtained the written material through a misunderstanding. Mitchell Seeks Solution Mr. Mitchell's letter said "the proposed deposition of Mr. McDonald has been post-poned indefinitely" and added that he had instructed the Antitrust Division to seek "an amicable solution" with Time Inc. lawyers before using any of the subpoenaed material. In a letter on Dec. 23 to

In a letter Jan. 14, Mr. Selig-

Data for an Antitrust Suit

Mr. Shepley, in a letter to Mr. Mitchell, protested "the threat to free and responsible journalism posed by the Gov-ernment's demanding access to an interview that was con-ducted in complete confidence." Earlier controversy over Jus-tice Department subpoenas to news organizations had in-volved grand jury investiga-

s hn