## Mitchell and Press Problems

be betrayed at the behest of the Government has never forced a newsman into court to testi-

By MAX FRANKEL Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Feb. 5—In Offering today to "negotiate" with the news media for a "compromise" about how much unpublished information on the Black Panthers they would be forced to surrender to the courts, Attorney General John M. Mitchell offered an unusual confession of error and expression of sympathy for the "peculiar problems" that a Analysis subpoena to testify raises for reporters.

According to other officials at the Justice Department, the Attorney General also recognized that he might not fare so well if the courts were asked by the press to weigh those peculiar problems and to give reporters some peculiar privileges against subpoena. But the source has not hear significantly for the special convention.

But in recent years also, not a newsman into court to testify against his will.

That, at least, is the view of more experienced newsmen, and they have treated their reputation for respecting a cone of the most frience as one of the most protecting a cone of the most frience as one of the most frience as one of the most protection and essential tools of the riots at the 1968 Democratic National Convention, reporters have encountered special problems and such race-tify raises for reporters.

According to other officials at the Justice Department, the Attorney General also recognized that he might not fare so well at the courts were asked by the press to weigh those peculiar problems and to give reporters some peculiar privileges against subpoena. But the least, is the view of more experienced newsmen, and they have treated their reputation for respecting a cone of the most frience as one of

reporters some peculiar privileges against subpoena. But the issue has not been significantly tested in the courts because many news organizations have been equally unwilling to risk defeat.

There has thus developed a tradition of mutual nonaggression and a pattern of cloudy law, leaving the Government and the media to work out specific cases as they develop.

The "peculiar" problems usually aised by the news media, and arknowledged by the Government, arise from the special arrangments that reporters and caneramen must make in the pusuit of information.

Condential Judgments

Even at public events, they are givn special access to persons n the news, special permissing to pass through police

betrayal or simply ideological the demand.

Even simply ideological or simply ideological the demand.

Even simply ideological the demand.

Even black reporters working for the so-called "white press" have been regarded with suspicion by the Panthers and other groups and have been regarded with suspicion by the Panthers and other groups and have been regarded with suspicion by the Panthers and other groups and have been regarded with suspicion by the Panthers and other groups and have been regarded with suspicion by the Panthers and other groups and have been regarded with suspicion by the Panthers and other groups and have been regarded with suspicion by the Panthers and other groups and have been regarded with suspicion by the Panthers and other groups and have been regarded with suspicion by the Panthers and other groups and have been regarded with suspicion by the Panthers and other groups and have been regarded with suspicion by the Panthers and other groups and have cutives suspected an effort to prosecute leading members of the energetic effort to prosecute leading members of the Panthers by Serve reporters, and other groups and have developed with news are defined and other groups and have developed with news from the groups and have developed with news sources, even if the demand is firmly resisted.

Difference on

Even at public events, they are givn special access to persons a the news, special permissing to pass through police lines and often special entry to plees barred even to the police. They can see and hear thing not intended for the publicy or ear.

In rivate dealings with persons rho figure in the news, reports obtain not only onthe-roord comments but also confinital judgments and fact that ey then use to appraise the curacy and meaning of othernen's words and deeds.

Wiout that access and with such confidential relationings, much important informion would have to be gathed by remote means and much could never be subjected t cross-examination. Politician who weigh their words, officils who fear their superiors, dizens who fear persecution reprosecution reprosecution refused that confidences would In recent memory, the Federal special cacess to news, special permission to mean admit them to their circles if the demand is sources, even if the demand is partial sympathy for the panthers in their contest with the police.

Difference on Testimony
Traditionally, therefore, reporters have been willing to the existify only to the accuracy of their published materials, attended they described or heard what they quoted. But government has taken the view that reporters in most cases and places enjoy no special immunity from testifying to other observations that may pertain to remind lacts.

Government attorneys say that they have turned to the news media for testimony only when other sources of necessary information were exhausted.

Government attorneys say that they have turned to the news media for testimony only when other sources of necessary information were exhausted.

Government attorneys say they have often retreated. If shown in the police.

Traditionally, therefore, reporter versol the demand is desired to destrib the police.

There is now evident on both sides, however, a desire to avoid confrontation in the teadition of reporters and to leave unsolved they duty of a reporter when he heres a confidential fremat