# MITCHELL ASSURES NEWSMEN ON FI

Will Seek Agreements With Mass Media Before Any Subpoenas Are Issued

#### FEB 6 1970

Text of Mitchell's statement will be found on Page 40.

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Feb. 5-Attorney General John N. Mitchell said today that the Justice Department was taking steps to assure that no subpoenas would be issued to members of the news media without an attempt first to reach agreement on the scope of the subpoenas.

The statement issued by Mr. Mitchell followed criticism by the media over the issuance of wide-ranging subpoenas to news organizations that had investigated the activities of

radical political groups. Officials of The New York Times, the Columbia Broadcasting System, Time Inc., and Newsweek had expressed concern that the Government's attempts to obtain the raw files of newsmen could dry up reporters' news sources by disclosing the names of confidential informants.

Each of these companies had been the subject of grand jury subpoenas demanding such raw

### Continued on Page 40, Column 3

Continued From Page 1, Col. 7 data as reporters' notes, tape recordings, news film and unedited files. The subpoenas were issued by grand juries that were investigating the actions of the Weatherman faction of the Students for a Democratic Society and the Black Panther party.

## Media Still Concerned

By HENRY RAYMONT Reactions to Mr. Mitchell's statement yesterday were marked by continued concern in the news media over the vul-nerability of the journalist in protecting confidential sources.

Norman E. Isaacs, president of the American Society of Newspaper Editors, was among those who said he believed the current controversy remained unresolved, despite Mr. Mitch-ell's suggestion that the Justice Department would not insist on obtaining all the material it had demanded from newsmen in a

demanded from newsmen in a recent series of subpoenas. Mr. Isaacs, who is editor of The Louisville Courier-Journal, said the society was pressing ahead with a plan to join with any newspaper prepared to re-sist the disclosure of confiden-tial files in the courts.

Commenting on Mr. Mitchell's remarks in a speech prepared for delivery at the University of

Kentucky, Mr. Isaacs said: "It may be helpful for the moment. But I'm of the old school which feels that you can almost never trust the Govern-ment or politicians about what ment or politicians about what they're going to do next." A number of executives from

some of the news organizations that received subpoenas for un-edited files and filmsn in con-nection with Government in-vestigation of radical groups such as the Black Panther party expressed doubt that the Justice Department's apparent change of heart represented a real change in policy. real change in policy.

#### C.B.S. Awaits Proof

C.B.S. Awaits Proof Richard S. Salant, president of the C.B.S. news department, said: "Mr. Mitchell's statement is very nice to have but the proof of the pudding will be how much more specific and restrained the Government will be and how far it is going to go in trying to protect news-men and in taking into account the free flow of information." Mr. Salant had opposed a Federal subpoena issued last week for both used and un-used portions of a C.B.S. film on the Black Panthers. Today he said he hoped the Mitchell statement did not simply mean

statement did not simply mean that Justice Department officials in the future would be "more courteous" in their discussions with lawyers representing the news media. H. Roger Tatarian, vice presi-

A Roger ratarian, vice presi-dent and news editor of United Press International, said in a statement last night that the recent debate over the sub-poenas represented "a remind-er to us all of the glaring ab poenas represented "a remind-er to us all of the glaring ab-sence of any guarantee" that a reporter has any legal right to withhold confidential infor-mation from the courts. "The Justice Department's re-treat," Mr. Tatarian added, "may diffuse the debate but does not change the fact that the degree to which a newsmap

the degree to which a newsman can honor a confidence depends solely on the courtesy, caprice or political sensitivity of the presecutor's office."

## U.S. Law Suggested

Mr. Tatarian was among sev-Mr. Tatarian was among sev-eral news executives who spe-culated abouthe possibility of Federal legislation that would offer immunity to newsmen from having to divulge confi-dential sources similar to the "Shield laf" now in existence in 14 states. LAN? The states are Albama, Arkansas, Arizona, California, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Montana, New Jersey, Ohio, Pennsylvania and Washington. "until there is remedial leg-

and Washington. "until there is remedial leg-islation," he said, "reporters will risk fines or prison for in-sisting that they, like lawyers or doctors, have the right to been certain things to themkeep certain things to them-selves."

selves." Bu Wes Gallagher, execu-tive general director of The Associated Press, expressed doubt that "this is the kind of a problem that can be solved through a legislative move." Mr. Gallagher characterized the Attorney General's state-ment as "a little vague." He said, however, that he hoped it would lead to a change in the recent subpoena policies, the recent subpoena policies, which, he said, "could destroy news sources all over the country."