

By FRED P. GRAHAM Special to The New York Times

Justice Department disclosed today that it would not insist on obtaining all of the material subpoenas.

A spokesman for the depart-

ficial said, which resulted in the and Newsweek. issuance without prior notice Each company had been the ed a conciliatory posture by of wide-ranging subpoenas on subject of grand jury sub-

Will Not Insist on Obtaining that were investigating the ac-WASHINGTON, Feb. 4-The All the Data It Had Asked-Concedes Policy Breach

poenaing their files. There was a breakdown in and concern by officials of The that they felt placed radical the established pattern of pre-subpoena negotiations," the of-Broadcasting System, Time Inc., The statement today from

news organizations that had poenas demanding such raw investigated the activities of data as reporters' notes, tape after informal negotiations with

tivities of the Weatherman faction of the Students for Democratic Society and the Black Panther party.

The companies' statements that it had demanded from they were chagrined over what expressed concern that the Govnewsmen in a recent series of they said appeared to be blun-ernment's attempt to obtain ders by officials who had newsmen's raw files could dry served newsmen with sub-up reporters' news sources by ment said that investigating of poenas that gave the impres- revealing the names of confificials had breached a long- sion the Nixon Administration dential informants. There was standing policy of agreeing with had instituted a rigid new poli- also talk in some circles here newsmen on the informa-tion to be demanded before The subpoenas had been met expression of displeasure by of-ficials at some news stories that the subpoenas might be an

The statement today from the Justice Department reflect-

recordings, news film and un- one news magazine, the Jus-Privately, some Justice De-edited files. The subpoenas one news magazine, me jus-

EEB 5 1970

negoiate the question of what material must be presented, as it had with others who were served with subpoenas with-out a prior understanding of what would be demanded. Prior to the recent series of subpoenas, subpoenas for news-men's materials had almost always been the product of a prior bargaining process. Typically, the reporters would insist that they could not dis-tion such as informants' iden-tion such as informants' id

Continued From Page 1, Col. 4object to the subpoenas them-
selves, because they felt that
to refuse to give appearing voluntarily
orgen was not one of the
gestion that they were acting
as an investigative arm of the
police.law privilege to refuse to give
name of their sources.
abridgement of press freedom
tradition may entail an
abridgement of press freedom
to reduce any sug-
dozen states that have passed
statutes giving reporters the
net official also said that the
police.law privilege to refuse to give
information may entail an
abridgement of press freedom
upon the availability of the
right to refuse to give such
net adopted.
Miss Buchanan appealed,
amed ment's free press quar
antee gave her the right to
stand mute in order to preserve
stand mute in order to preserve
stand mute in order to preserve
her sources of information. The
twice in this Administration
and that the recent exceptions
are examples of miscues and
the department vas willing on
the department was willing to
recordings bearing on the
and that the recent exceptions
are examples of miscues and
the department official and the news media
in advith others who were
stared amutes be presented, as
the department was willing to
the department official and the news media
it had with others who were
stared amutes be presented, as
the dustion of the press
cause both apparently feel that
that would be demanded.
Prior to the recent series of
the supreme Court apreed.law privilege to refuse to give and
the supreme Court department was willing to
the and the news media
an that the recent exceptions,
the department was willing of
the department official and the news media
the department official and the news media
the department official and the news media
the department official and the news

t usually cite a 1958 decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in New York, involving a suit by the actress Judy Garland against Marie Torre, a colum-nist for The New York Herald Tribune. After Miss Torre quoted anonymous "network execu-tives" concerning Miss Garland, the actress sued for damages and demanded that Miss Torre give her informants' names. The columnist refused on first amendment grounds, and the case was decided in an opinion by Potter Stewart, who was then a Court of Appeals judge. He declared that "the com-pulsory disclosure of a jour-first.