Agnew's Speech: Three in One

By MAX FRANKEL

inaugural plea for a lowering of voices. But he and many other Administration officials believe that well-amplified critics were taking advantage of the President's moderation and that the time has come to fight

The White House certainly The White House certainly seems pleased with the political impact of Mr. Agnew's tough talking in recent weeks, and it leaves the impression that the themes he has struck will be further developed by the President's aides in the months ahead.

The Vice President's newest themes ranged far beyond his announced subject of television announced subject of television news. They dealt, also, with the practical and philosophic problems of private broadcasting on the public airways. They dealt with a democracy's inability to project unity in the middle of a war. And they dealt, almost casually, with the diplomacy surrounding that war.

In effect, therefore, Mr. Ag-

In effect, therefore, Mr. Agnew delivered three speeches wrapped into one, and the questions he raised can be grouped accordingly:

The first and dominant theme of Mr. Agnew's speech was that theairways belong to all the people and that a "small and unelected elite" of television producers and commentators had to be somehow stripped of their great power to shape public opinion.

Not a New Complaint

They have no right to claim the First Amendment's freedom of the press guarantee as news-

was that theairways belong to all the people and that a "small teachers and thousands of othsion producers and commentators had to be somehow stripped of their great power to shape public opinion. Not a New Complaint

They have no right to claim the First Amendment's freedom of the press guarantee as newspapers do, he said, and should be compelled by their listenters—though not by the Government—to "represent the views of America."

This is not a new complaint. Which poor and the blacks who felt washington have been irked by the filtration of their views through the press and by its distortion of reality as they perceive it.

Because it offered them the hance to address the people directly, television was at first seen by the politicians as a welcome antidote to the press, and daministration's growing reflectly, television and radio for television and good looks, and, recently, its attempts to balance, its special reward for style and good looks, and, recently, its attempts to balance it will be a specially involved and the press and thousands of other or power in find the press and thousands of other opposition of the complaint.

The sociology of power invitably that the President's and uncertain solities, and the cere opposition oppower in the three sections are anothered the compoundable to the pressent the shows who feel of rome the cere of his title solven the complaint. To reinforce his argument and to discredit some of his trice, Mr. Agnew devoted a causation. He charged that the president's specially afficient if it comes in the pression of the views of Ammistration but from the pression of the views of the great power in the blacks who felt bla

By MAX FRANKL

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Nov. 14—
With Americans flying to the moon and parading in sober protest past the White House, this is hardly the weekend here for reasoned discussion of major public issues. Yet the challenge flung last night at the television networks and others by Vice President Agnew has suddenly filled this capital's agenda News with a list of imanuscent in that will either stimulate long debate or provoke yet another emotional row across the land. Which it will be is not yet clear.

Mr. Agnew did not speak in Mr. Agnew did not speak in

Even though the Vice President rejected Government supervision as a solution and even though the television networks vowed to resist all pressure, both sides knew that a locally pressed today, that all media of organized campaign of complaint against the local stations that hold the licenses could indeed force program changes.

• Romney's Theory

Either way, prominent Republicans are coming to the theory that George Romney expressed today, that all media of journalism are "dominated by the thought centers of New York and Washington and by thought critics there who are

No thoughtful reporter, edi-tor or television producer who has ever tried to define what is and what is not fit to print or broadcast has everpretend-transmitted words of a President of the print of the prin or broadcast has everpretended that fixed and satisfactory answers are available. But who "elects" industrialists, bankers, teachers and thousands of other powerful influences on a society?

Romney's Theory

that hold the licenses could indeed force program changes.

Questions Raised

Do the Administration and Dean Burch, the new chairman of the Federal Communications Commission, wish to use the licensing power for indirect influence over television news and commentary?

What would this portend for public television, which is trying to build a new network with public funds?

What of entertainment program changes.

York and Washington and by thought critics there who are of the New Culture."

President Johnson felt the same alienation, but attributed it to Eastern resentment of a Texan. George C. Wallace demonstrated that the cry against the Eastern press can be extremely popular before many audiences.

Behind this complaint, too, lie important questions about the influence of Eastern thought oritics there who are of the New Culture."

Texan. George C. Wallace demonstrated that the cry against the Eastern press can be extremely popular before many audiences.

Behind this complaint, too, lie important questions about the influence of Eastern thought or the New Culture."

fluence over television news and commentary?

What would this portend for public television, which is trying to build a new network with public funds?

What of entertainment programs and, indeed, what of the commercial profits of television if the country follows the brutal logic of the premise that the airways belong to all the people?

No thoughtful reporter, edingle for the extremely popular before many audiences.

Behind this complaint, too, lie important questions about the influence of Eastern thought on the nation's communications system. But Mr. Agnew seemed to be arguing that all the power and influence and television time available to the White House are ineffective against this hidden conspiracy.

He seemed to be saying that

He seemed to be saying that not just Eastern commentators dent-unless the analysis was

positive.

In recent years, this issue has usually evoked the oppo-site complaint—and especially from Republicans — namely, that the Presidency has grown too powerful for the national good.

11-15-69 NAT