# Appeals Court Getting The Times Case Today

**NYTimes** 3 Judges Will Rule on Secret Papers JUN 2 1 1971

By FRED P. GRAHAM

The court struggle between preme Court by the end of the interviews across the country.

judge panel of the United States City, L. I., last night that as a Court of Appeals for the Second result of the publication of the Circuit will hear arguments on secret documents in The New the Government's appeal from York Times and The Washingthe decision of Government's ton Post "most of us share a appeal from the decision of Fed-common sense of pain and eral District Judge Murray I. danger." Gurfein in favor of The Times.

be held in secret.

Instead, lawyers for both City Hotel. sides are expected to file secret "What they do believe is that briefs with the Court of Appeals the government lies, and this today, in addition to the normal disbelief has reached a new legal briefs, which will be made high with this week's publipublic. The secret briefs will dis-cation of the Pentagon papers, cuss the "in camera" testimony he continued. "They are the in which three Washington offi-daily front-page story and the cials gave Judge Gurfein their urgent concern of anyone who reasons why publication of the cares about the trust that binds material would be harmful to America together." the nation's security.

Continued on Page 10, Column 4 | Continued on Page 10, Column 1

NYTimes Reactions Focus on

By LACEY FOSBURGH

The controversy over the the Justice Department and The legal, ethical and political im-New York Times over publica-plications of the publication of tion of secret information will secret documents on the United move to the Federal Court of States involvement in Vietnam Appeals today. With lawyers continued yesterday as present for both sides operating on an and former government ofaccelerated legal timetable, the ficials described their reactions case is likely to reach the Su-to the papers in speeches and

Senator Edmund S. Muskie At 10:30 A.M. today a three-told an audience in Garden

"There is the simple fact that The argument is expected to countless citizens no longer bebe much shorter than the day-lieve in their government," the long trial of the case Friday. No Maine Democrat told about witnesses will be heard, and, 1,000 people who paid \$150 unlike Friday's session, no part each to attend the annual Nasof the argument is expected to sau County Democratic Committee dinner in the Garden

The Senator, considered a The oral arguments today leading contender for the Dem-

### TIMES CASE GOES TO APPEALS COURT

Continued From Page 1, Col. 6

with the case think that the Monday, June 28. court could rule later in the

of Appeals rules, the losing side Appeals has done, or might ent complement of seven active will be at the Supreme Court hold the case over until the new judges could be assembled. Building with papers asking for term begins in October. immediate relief.

judicial circuit where the case order. was heard. In this instance, that lan, who is the circuit justice United States Courthouse on free to resume the series at that for the Second Circuit.

Most lawyers believe that in

nificance—the first attempt by sists of Chief Judge Henry J. the Government to enjoin a Friendly and Circuit Judges J. newspaper from printing a story Joseph Smith and Paul R. on grounds of national security Hays.

—any action would be taken by the entire court, and not a sin-be the two who made the magle justice.

should thus be completed in a scheduled to adjourn for the torney, will argue for the Govfew hours. Lawyers involved summer after meeting again on

day, but that a decision on speculate as to whether the paper. Court would dispose of the mat-Whatever the decision, it is ter swiftly by letting the Court delay a ruling would be a decertain to touch off a scramble of Appeals decision go into efcision by a majority of the full to get the case to the Supreme fect without hearing arguments. Court of Appeals that the case Court. Both sides have made it If the Supreme Court should is so important that the entire clear that if they lose, they will appeal immediately.

Within hours after the Court

Within hou

The New York Times series, Entire Court May Rule

Such emergency petitions for stays are normally addressed to the justice who is assigned to the justice who is assigned to the justice who is assigned to the court matters for the Curfoin's temporary restraining less the three-judge panel expenses the formatters for the curfoin's temporary restraining less the three-judge panel expenses the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining less that there-judge panel expenses the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining less that there-judge panel expenses the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining the American interest and provide the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining the American interest and provide the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining the American interest and provide the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining the American interest and provide the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining the American interest and provide the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining the American interest and provide the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining the American interest and provide the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining the American interest and provide the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining the American interest and provide the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining the American interest and provide the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining the American interest and provide the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining the American interest and provide the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining the American interest and provide the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining the American interest and provide the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining the part of the curfoin's temporary restraining the part of the curfoin's temporary handle such matters for the Gurfein's temporary restraining less the three-judge panel ex-

Foley Square.

The three-judge panel for the a case of this Constitutional sig-week-selected by lot-con-

The opposing lawyers will The Supreme Court will meet today to release opinions and is chedyled to adjourn for the ernment; Prof. Alexander M. Bickel of the Yale Law School, Lawyers involved with the an authority on constitutional law, will argue for the news-

One eventuality that could

#### Order Expires at Noon

tends the restraining order, was heard. In this instance, that would be Justice John M. Har uled for the 17th floor of the its decision, The Times will be time.

At 8 A.M. today lawyers for the Government and The Washington Post will begin a trial before U.S. District Judge Gerhard A. Gesell. Judge Gesell ruled Friday that the courts had no legal authority to enjoin a newspaper on "national" security" grounds from publishing material.

However, the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia quickly reversed the ruling and ordered the judge to hear the Government's evidence, to determine whether publication of the material would severely damage the national security. He was ordered to give his decision by 5 P.M. today.

## Reactions Focus on Security Methods

ocratic Presidential nomination The Times." in 1972, said that the danger of | "I read the series and I from the Pentagon papers." "loss of trust" and "corrosion didn't see anything that would of ideals" was so great that be harmful to the national in- Pentagon official who has been specific steps must be taken to terest," he said. prevent any government from

said he intended to introduce has been taking advantage legislation to create an independent seven-man board with pendent seven-man board with order to cover up various mathematics.

"After a two-year waiting period, the board could make a document public and at any time send relevant documents Johnson, who figures promition the appropriate committee nently in the secret documents "He flatly refuse of the Congress," he said. "This published so far, was described ment on whether he had, in system would give the Presiby Time Magazine as eager to fact, turned the classified dent and the departments the "defend himself" against their papers" over to reporters, the strongest incentive to be frank contents.

a fre country, that is not necessary and it is not safe."

#### Javits 'Encouraged'

Senator Jacob K. Javits, the ever heard of." Senator Jacob K. Javits, the senior Republican Senator from New York, said here that he your "healt of the New York, said here that he your "healt of the New York, said here that he your "healt of the New York, said here that he your "healt of the Mr. Johnson "believes that his greatest mistake in the Viethnam war was waiting until he had been in office 18 months had been in office 18 months had been your American here."

New York, said here that he greatest mistake in the Viethnam war was waiting until he had been in office 18 months had been in office 18 months. harmful to the national inter-before sending more American A. Kissinger, the President's maries. But we make decisions est," rather than "a government official just marking he felt that Vietnam was fairs, in September because, as said. Now Newsweek put it, "he was conpapers."

courage" in printing the classi-fied material. He said he was Again convinced the confrontation in report, the magazine describes the courts between the news- Mr. McNamara as believing "the Kissinger said. 'Anybody on in protest against an editorial

ment would end "in favor of many who leaked them and get

In Washington, operating in so secret a fash-ion in the future.

In wasnington, Senator to The New York Times, told been obvious to us for years

To this end Senator Muskie been obvious to us for years To this end, Senator Muskie that the executive department its out. I'm flattered to be susauthority to declassify secret ters they do not want people government documents.

### Johnson Reaction Reported

Former President Lyndon B.

true story because they are a real understanding of the mostly contingency plans, war." He described the papers some of which neither he nor as "the U.S. equivalent of the Secretary of State Dean Rusk Nuremberg war-crimes docu-

est," rather than "a govern-soldiers into battle, for by then adviser for national security af-very differently now,' Henry

In the same issue, Time de-

Continued From Page 1, Col. 7 paper and the Justice Depart- country should forget about the on with the task of learning

Dr. Daniel Ellsberg, a former named in some reports as the Senator one who gave the secret study pected of having leaked it."

In its current issue the magazine said that Dr. Ellsberg was interviewed last week in Cambridge, Mass., where he is a researcher for the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Newsmen have been uable to

"He flatly refused to commagazine said.

about the facts, which would in any case come out almost immediately or very soon.

"It is said that in the modern world nations always decide in secrecy," he added, "but in the documents do not tell the true story because they are a real understanding of the secret which is the story because they are a real understanding of the secret which is ments.'

vinced that the Nixon Adminis-

your staff work it over?' Again Kissinger said, 'No.' I urged him to read at least the sumsaid. Now he can read it in the

Here in New York City the Speaking on the WNBC-TV scribes former Secretary of program "Newslight," Senator Javits praised The New York Times for "historic journalistic leaked the report will be for-"

Scribes former Secretary of tration was merely continuing controversy over the Pentagon the same strategy Johnson had study reached into the editorial offices of The Daily News. "I asked him if he had a copy More than 70 reporters, editors of the McNamara study." Dr. land other news employes signed of the McNamara study," Dr. and other news employes signed Again giving no source for its Ellsberg said. "'Yes,' he said. a statement in support of pub-

> that appeared in The News on Thursday.

> "We want to emphasize the issue is not the one of the Vietnam war," one reporter explained in a news conference, 'but rather why didn't management support freedom of the

At issue are several phrases emphasizing support for The Times's actions and the concept of a free press that appeared in the first edition of Thursday's News, but were deleated in the second edition.